

***CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION***

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION THREE

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY,

Respondent;

MICHAEL STEWART etc., et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

B225393

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. Nos. BC407415,  
BC412065, BC412066, BC414639)

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION

[NO CHANGE IN JUDGMENT]

THE COURT:

The opinion filed in this cause on July 27, 2011, is modified as follows:

- (1) On page 27, footnote 20 should be modified to read as follows:

The first sentence of footnote 20 should be deleted and replaced with the following:

This conclusion is not limited to individual witnesses, but also applies to a court order directing that a party produce for deposition a specifically named non-resident witness (e.g., an employee, officer or director of a corporation).

(2) On page 28, at the end of footnote 20, the following sentence should be added:

We express no opinion, however, as to whether our analysis or the conclusions we have reached in this opinion would or should extend or apply to a court order made pursuant to section 2025.230 which provides for the circumstance where “[] the deponent named is not a natural person . . . .”

[There is no change in judgment.]