

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| MARC KASKY, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff and Appellant, |) | |
| |) | S087859 |
| v. |) | |
| |) | Ct.App. 1/1 A086142 |
| NIKE, INC., et al., |) | |
| |) | San Francisco County |
| Defendants and Respondents. |) | Super. Ct. No. 994446 |
| _____ |) | |

MODIFICATION OF OPINION

THE COURT:

The majority opinion in this matter is modified as follows:

In the second full paragraph on page 7 of the opinion, the last sentence and accompanying citation are amended to read: “An order for restitution is one ‘compelling a UCL defendant to return money obtained through an unfair business practice to those persons in interest from whom the property was taken.’ (*Kraus v. Trinity Management Services, Inc., supra*, 23 Cal.4th at pp. 126-127.)”

This modification does not affect the judgment.