

Opinions of the Colorado Supreme Court are available to the public and can be accessed through the Judicial Branch's homepage at <http://www.courts.state.co.us>. Opinions are also posted on the Colorado Bar Association's homepage at <http://www.cobar.org>.

ADVANCE SHEET HEADNOTE
May 28, 2019

2019 CO 39

No. 18SC186, *Town of Breckenridge v. Egencia, LLC*.

By operation of law, the decision of the court of appeals case no. 16CA1901 (Colo. App. Jan. 25, 2018) is affirmed by an equally divided court. *See* C.A.R. 35(b).

The Supreme Court of the State of Colorado
2 East 14th Avenue • Denver, Colorado 80203

2019 CO 39

Supreme Court Case No. 18SC186
Certiorari to the Colorado Court of Appeals
Court of Appeals Case No. 16CA1901

Petitioner:

Town of Breckenridge,

v.

Respondents:

Egencia, LLC; Expedia, Inc.; Hotels.com GP, LLC; Hotwire, Inc.; Internetwork Publishing Corporation d/b/a Lodging.com; Lowestfare.com, Inc.; Orbitz, Inc.; Orbitz, LLC; Priceline.com, Incorporated; Site59.com, LLC; Travelnow.com, LP; Travelport, Inc. f/k/a Cendant Travel Distribution Services Group, Inc.; Travelscape, LLC.; Travelweb, LLC.; and Trip Network, Inc. d/b/a Cheaptickets.com.

Affirmed by Operation of Law

en banc

May 28, 2019

Attorneys for Petitioner:

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP
Michael Plachy
Amy Danielle Wills
Joy Allen Woller
Thomas M. Rogers III
Denver, Colorado

Attorneys for Respondents:

Connelly Law, LLC
Sean Connelly
Denver, Colorado

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae American Society of Travel Advisors:

Blain Myhre LLC

Blain David Myhre

Denver, Colorado

**Attorneys for Amici Curiae Colorado Association of SkiTowns and Colorado Hotel
and Lodging Association:**

Richard B. Benenson

Justin L. Cohen

Brownstein Hyatt Farber & Schreck LLP

Denver, Colorado

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Colorado Department of Revenue

Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General

Scott R. Bauer, Senior Assistant Attorney General

Russell D. Johnson, Assistant Solicitor General

Denver, Colorado

PER CURIAM.

JUSTICE HART does not participate.

¶1 Justice Boatright, Justice Hood and Justice Gabriel are of the opinion that the judgment of the court of appeals should be affirmed; whereas Chief Justice Coats, Justice Márquez, and Justice Samour are of the opinion that it should be reversed. Justice Hart does not participate.

¶2 Because the court is equally divided, the judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed by operation of law C.A.R. 35(b).