
The "officially released" date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the <u>Connecticut Law Journal</u> or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the "officially released" date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the "officially released" date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION v. MUTUAL COMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATES, INC., ET AL. (SC 16645)

Borden, Katz, Palmer, Vertefeuille and Parker, Js. Argued January 13—officially released February 4, 2003

Michael S. Lynch, with whom was *A. Reynolds Gordon*, for the appellants (defendant Jerome G. Terracino et al.).

John B. Farley, with whom was *James V. Somers*, for the appellee (substitute plaintiff Fairway Asset Management, Inc.).

Opinion

PER CURIAM. After reviewing the record on appeal and considering the briefs and oral arguments of the parties, we have determined that the appeal in this case should be dismissed on the ground that certification was improvidently granted.¹

The appeal is dismissed.

¹We granted the defendants' petition for certification to appeal from the judgment of the Appellate Court; *Federal Deposit Ins. Corp.* v. *Mutual Communications Associates, Inc.,* 66 Conn. App. 397, 784 A.2d 970 (2001); limited to the following issue: "Did the Appellate Court properly conclude that, under the applicable equitable principles, the plaintiff was entitled to the full amount of the deficiency judgment in this case." *Federal Deposit Ins. Corp.* v. *Mutual Communications Associates, Inc.,* 258 Conn. 949, 788 A.2d 98 (2001).