
The “officially released” date that appears near the beginning of each opinion is the date the opinion will be published in the Connecticut Law Journal or the date it was released as a slip opinion. The operative date for the beginning of all time periods for filing postopinion motions and petitions for certification is the “officially released” date appearing in the opinion. In no event will any such motions be accepted before the “officially released” date.

All opinions are subject to modification and technical correction prior to official publication in the Connecticut Reports and Connecticut Appellate Reports. In the event of discrepancies between the electronic version of an opinion and the print version appearing in the Connecticut Law Journal and subsequently in the Connecticut Reports or Connecticut Appellate Reports, the latest print version is to be considered authoritative.

The syllabus and procedural history accompanying the opinion as it appears on the Commission on Official Legal Publications Electronic Bulletin Board Service and in the Connecticut Law Journal and bound volumes of official reports are copyrighted by the Secretary of the State, State of Connecticut, and may not be reproduced and distributed without the express written permission of the Commission on Official Legal Publications, Judicial Branch, State of Connecticut.

IN RE PETITION OF REAPPORTIONMENT
COMMISSION, EX REL.
(SC 18907)

Rogers, C. J., and Norcott, Palmer, Zarella, McLachlan, Eveleigh and
Harper, Js.

Argued February 6—officially released February 10, 2012*

Ross Garber, with whom, on the brief, was *Charles L. Howard*, for the Republican Members of the Connecticut Reapportionment Commission.

Aaron S. Bayer, with whom were *Bradley W. Moore* and *Thomas Clauss, Jr.*, for the Democratic Members of the Connecticut Reapportionment Commission.

David N. Rosen, with whom were *Jeremy Golubcow-Teglas* and, on the brief, *William M. Bloss*, for the Coalition for Minority Representation Statewide.

Andrew J. McDonald, for the Governor of the state of Connecticut, Dannel P. Malloy.

George Jepsen, attorney general, *Gregory T. D'Auria*, solicitor general, and *Maura Murphy Osborne*, assistant attorney general, filed a brief for the state of Connecticut.

Opinion

PER CURIAM. Pursuant to the authority conferred by Article third, § 6 (d), of the Constitution of Connecticut, as amended by Articles XII, XVI and XXVI of the Amendments, the Court hereby adopts as the established plan of congressional districting the plan depicted and described in Exhibits 1 and 4 of the Draft Report and Plan of the Special Master, Nathaniel Persily, dated January 13, 2012, designated by the Special Master to be his final report and plan on January 19, 2012. The plan complies in every respect with our January 3, 2012 Order Directing Special Master.

Appended hereto is the Draft Report and Plan of the Special Master and its supporting Appendix.¹ The foregoing materials, along with the census block equivalency file(s) that provide the details of the plan, will be filed today with the Secretary of the State for publication. Upon publication, the plan of congressional districting shall have the full force of law.

The Special Master has submitted to the Court an itemization of the fees incurred in producing the report and plan. Those fees total \$36,400, an amount which we find to be reasonable. Pursuant to this Court's December 27, 2011 order, the fees of the Special Master are to be assessed against the Reapportionment Commission. The Commission shall remit full payment directly to Special Master Persily.

* February 10, 2012, the date that this order was issued, is the operative date for all substantive and procedural purposes.

¹The Draft Report and Plan of the Special Master and its supporting Appendix are contained in the file of this case in the Supreme Court clerk's office and are provided on the Secretary of the State's Web site, available at <http://cga.ct.gov/red2011/>.
