



**COURT OF CHANCERY  
OF THE  
STATE OF DELAWARE**

JOSEPH R. SLIGHTS III  
VICE CHANCELLOR

417 S. State Street  
Dover, Delaware 19901  
Telephone: (302) 739-4397  
Facsimile: (302) 739-6179

July 15, 2016

John G. Harris, Esquire  
Berger Harris LLP  
1105 North Market Street, Suite 1100  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Mr. Mikhail Khenin  
1561 East 12th Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11230  
[mykhenin@gmail.com](mailto:mykhenin@gmail.com)

Re: *Utilisave, LLC v. Khenin*  
C.A. No. 7796-MZ (VCS)  
Date Submitted: July 11, 2016

Dear Mr. Khenin and Mr. Harris:

I am in receipt of Plaintiffs' Motion for Costs in Accordance with Court of Chancery Rule 54(d). The motion is denied without prejudice. According to Exhibit A to the motion, Plaintiffs are seeking to have the Court tax as costs to the Defendant items that are not taxable under the rule including, as non-exhaustive examples: photocopying costs – *Hutchinson v. Fish Eng'g Corp.*, 204 A.2d 752 (Del. Ch. 1964); travel expenses – *Id.*; court transcript fees – *Foley v. Elkton Plaza, LLC*, 2007 WL 959521 (Del. Super. Mar. 30, 2007); courier services – *Kuratle Cont., Inc. v. Linden Green Cond. Assoc.*, 2014 WL 5391291 (Del. Super. Oct. 22, 2014); and computerized legal research – *Pharmathene, Inc. v. Siga Tech., Inc.*,

*Utilisave, LLC v. Khenin*  
C.A. No. 7796-MZ (VCS)  
July 15, 2016  
Page 2

2012 WL 2146000 (Del. Ch. May 31, 2012). If Plaintiffs choose to re-file their motion, they must break down their costs by category and cite appropriate authority as support for their position that the Court may tax such items as costs against the Defendant.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Very truly yours,

*/s/ Joseph R. Slights III*

JRSIII/cap  
cc: Register in Chancery-K