COURT OF CHANCERY
OF THE
STATE OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM B. CHANDLER III
CHANCELLOR

P.O. Box 581 Georgetown, DE 19947 Telephone (302) 856-5424 Facsimile (302) 856-5251

Submitted: November 22, 2004 Decided: November 29, 2004

Arthur L. Dent Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899

Raymond J. DiCamillo Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A. P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

> Re: Alan James v. William A. Furman, et al. Civil Action No. 597-N

Dear Counsel:

I have considered defendants' request for a stay of discovery, for a continuance of trial and plaintiff's response thereto. Trial is currently scheduled for January 24, 2005. For the reasons discussed below I deny defendant's requested stay of discovery, but I grant the requested continuance of trial.

Defendants have filed and submitted a brief in favor of their motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff has a right to prepare its answer to the summary judgment motion based upon the full record, not just those facts asserted by defendants. Defendants' assertion that plaintiff's remaining

claims are moot as a result of the board action taken on November 9, 2004,

is insufficient to deny plaintiff the procedural right of developing its own

independent record. I, therefore, deny the defendants' request for a stay of

discovery. I do, however, believe that all parties would be benefited by

postponing the trial date until the motion for summary judgment is decided

and, therefore, I grant the defendants' requested continuance of trial. A new

trial date will be set, if necessary, after the motion for summary judgment is

resolved.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Very truly yours,

/s/ William B. Chandler III

William B. Chandler III

WBCIII:jsm

2