SAM GLASSCOCK III VICE CHANCELLOR COURT OF CHANCERY COURTHOUSE 34 The Circle Georgetown, Delaware 19947

March 27, 2013

Jeffrey S. Goddess Rosenthal, Monhait & Goddess, P.A. Suite 1401, 919 Market Street P.O. Box 1070 Wilmington, DE 19899-1070

Christian Douglas Wright Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP Rodney Square 1000 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Robert J. Katzenstein Smith, Katzenstein & Jenkins, LLP The Corporate Plaza 800 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1000 Wilmington, DE 19899

Sidney S. Liebsman Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads LLP 1105 N. Market Street, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: *Save our County, Inc., et al. v. New Castle County,* Civil Action No. 7151-VCG

Dear Counsel:

Today I address the outstanding Motion to Amend the Answer and Affirmative Defenses of Defendant New Castle County.

Chancery Court Rule 15 provides for liberal amendment of a party's pleadings. Where a motion to amend comes more than 20 days after the moving party was served, a party may still amend its pleading "by leave of Court or by written consent of the adverse party."¹ Rule 15 indicates that "leave shall be freely given when justice so requires."²

 2 Id.

¹ Del. Ch. Ct. R. 15(a).

In this case, I find that the interests of justice are best promoted by allowing the County to establish its factual position on the record. I find that the beneficial effect of correcting factual inaccuracies outweighs the risk of unfair prejudice. In this case, the only risk articulated by Defendant Barley Mill is that the County will argue that its new position should be entitled to deference should I find it necessary to resolve an ambiguity in the Unified Development Code. However, the likely dispositive issues in this case are legal, not factual. I also note that amendment of the County's answer is justified on the basis that Plaintiff Save our County, the party actually *adverse* to New Castle County, has agreed to the amendment. Accordingly, I have decided to grant New Castle County's Motion to Amend with the proposed amended answer as set forth in the exhibit attached to Mr. Liebesman's letter to the Court dated Feb. 25, 2013.

To the extent the foregoing requires an order to take effect, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sam Glasscock III

Sam Glasscock III