## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	C.A. No.: 10C-12-031 FSS
)	(E-FILED)
)	
)	
)	
)	

Submitted: January 28, 2013 Decided: February 7, 2013

## **ORDER**

## **Upon Plaintiff's Application for Certification of Interlocutory Appeal** - **CERTIFICATION DENIED.**

- 1. On January 28, 2013, Defendant filed an Application for Certification of Interlocutory Appeal from the January 17, 2013 order. A hard copy of the application was received in chambers on February 5, 2013.
- 2. This is landlord/tenant dispute. On December 31, 2012, the court denied, in part, several partial—summary judgment claims. The court denied reargument on January 17, 2013.
  - 3. In denying summary judgment, the court reviewed the parties

previous leases, including written or unwritten extensions. The court also considered

the parties' actions during the time between the last lease's expiration and the breach.

Considering that entire picture, the court decided that when the tenancy was finally

terminated, it was year-to-year or month-to-month.

The way the court characterized the lease left open factual 4.

questions about the lease's termination and damages.

5. While the December 31, 2012 and January 17, 2013 orders are

important and play a significant role in the case's final determination, trial issues

remain. No matter how an interlocutory appeal would be decided, this litigation will

continue.1

For the foregoing reasons, the court sees little benefit to an interlocutory

appeal that leaves matters for trial and further appeal, no matter what. Accordingly,

the court declines to certify an interlocutory appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Fred S. Silverman

Judge

Prothonotary (Civil) cc:

William J. Rhodunda, Jr., Esquire pc:

Nicholas G. Kondraschow, Esquire

Paul E. Bilodeu, Esquire

<sup>1</sup> See Supr. Ct. R. 42(b)(v).

2