

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

MIGUEL RODRIGUEZ,	§	
	§	No. 603, 2007
Petitioner Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below--Family Court of
	§	the State of Delaware in and
v.	§	for Kent County
	§	
YOLANDA GOMEZ,	§	
	§	File No. CK05-02088
Respondent Below,	§	Pet. No. 06-40574
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: December 3, 2007

Decided: December 21, 2007

ORDER

This 21<sup>st</sup> day of December 2007, it appears to the Court that the Clerk's notice dated November 16, 2007, directed that the appellant show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed as untimely filed.<sup>1</sup> The appellant did not respond to the notice to show cause.<sup>2</sup> The dismissal of the appeal is deemed to be unopposed.<sup>3</sup>

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 3(b)(2), 6(a)(i) and 29(b), that the appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Myron T. Steele  
Chief Justice

---

<sup>1</sup> The notice of appeal was filed on November 16, 2007 from a Family Court order dated July 11, 2007. *See* Supr. Ct. R. 6(a)(i) (providing that notice of appeal must be filed within thirty days); Supr. Ct. R. 29(b) (providing for involuntary dismissal of untimely appeal).

<sup>2</sup> *See* Supr. Ct. R. 29(b) (providing that appellant must respond to notice within ten days).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* (providing that appellant's failure to respond to notice to show cause of dismissal is deemed to be consent to dismissal). *See also* Supr. Ct. R. 3(b)(2) (providing for dismissal by single Justice when appellant's failure to respond to notice to show cause is deemed to be consent to dismissal).