IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

EDWARD LITTLE, ¹	§
	§
Respondent Below-	§ No. 659, 2007
Appellant,	8
	§
V.	§ Court Below—Family Court
	§ of the State of Delaware,
TIFFANY WHITE,	§ in and for New Castle County
	§ File No. CN04-08707
Petitioner Below-	§
Appellee.	Ş

Submitted: June 20, 2008 Decided: September 16, 2008

Before HOLLAND, BERGER, and JACOBS, Justices.

<u>O R D E R</u>

This 16th day of September 2008, upon consideration of the parties' briefs and the record on appeal, it appears that the appellant, Edward Little (Father), filed this appeal from the Family Court's denial of his motion to reopen a judgment under Family Court Civil Rule 60(b). The judgment Father seeks to reopen is the Family Court's registration of a child custody order entered by a French court in 2004. The issues in this case are the same issues raised and resolved against Father in a 2006 appeal filed in this

 $^{^{1}}$ The Court assigned pseudonyms to the parties in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

Court.² The Family Court did not err in holding that Father's second motion to reopen was barred by the doctrine of *res judicata*, which prohibits relitigation of issues previously resolved by a court.³

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Family Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger Justice

² Letsos v. Warren, 2006 WL 1640218 (Del. June 12, 2006).

³ Bradley v. DCSE, 582 A.2d 478, 482 (Del. 1990).