IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

BRISTOW HELICOPTERS, INC.,	§	
	§	No. 122, 2013
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below-Superior Court of
	§	the State of Delaware in and for
v.	§	New Castle County
	§	
NEIDY HERNANDEZ DE SALAS,	§	C.A. No. N12C-05-008
individually, and as Representative	§	(CONSOLIDATED)
of the Estate of Jose Luis Salas	§	
(deceased), and as next friend of	§	
KARYME SALAS, a minor; and	§	
LIDIA SALAS, individually,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs Below,	§	
Appellees.	§	
v. NEIDY HERNANDEZ DE SALAS, individually, and as Representative of the Estate of Jose Luis Salas (deceased), and as next friend of KARYME SALAS, a minor; and LIDIA SALAS, individually, Plaintiffs Below,	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County C.A. No. N12C-05-008

Submitted: March 26, 2013 Decided: March 28, 2013

Before **STEELE**, Chief Justice, **JACOBS** and **RIDGELY**, Justices.

ORDER

This 28th day of March 2013, upon consideration of the notice and supplemental notice of interlocutory appeal, it appears to the Court that:

(1) On March 18, 2013, defendant/appellant, Bristow Helicopters, Inc. (hereinafter "Bristow"), petitioned this Court pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42 to accept an interlocutory appeal from the Superior Court's order of February 20, 2013 that denied Bristow's motion to reopen under Superior

Court Civil Rule 60(b). By order dated March 19, 2013, the Superior Court

denied Bristow's application for certification.

(2) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the

sound discretion of this Court and are granted only in exceptional

circumstances. Having examined the transcript of the February 19, 2013

hearing held on Bristow's motion to reopen, the February 20, 2013 order

denying the motion to reopen, the underlying November 30, 2012 opinion

denying Bristow's motion to dismiss for forum non conveniens, and the

criteria set forth in Supreme Court Rule 42, we have concluded that

exceptional circumstances meriting interlocutory review do not exist in this

case.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the

interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Henry duPont Ridgely

Justice

2