IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

ANTONIO SERPA,	§	
	§	No. 426, 2008
Petitioner Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below—Superior Court
	§	of the State of Delaware in and
v.	§	for Sussex County
	§	
STATE OF DELAWARE	§	
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION,	§	
	§	
Respondent Below,	§	C.A. No. S07M-08-017
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: June 12, 2009

Decided: September 15, 2009

Before STEELE, Chief Justice, JACOBS and RIDGELY, Justices.

ORDER

This 15th day of September 2009, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) The petitioner-appellant, Antonio Serpa, filed this appeal from the Superior Court's July 21, 2008 dismissal of his petition for a writ of mandamus. We have determined that there is no merit to the appeal. Accordingly, we AFFIRM.
- (2) On September 18, 2007, Serpa pled guilty to Possession with Intent to Deliver Cocaine in violation of title 16, section 4751(a) of the Delaware Code. Because Serpa had a previous conviction for the same offense, the Superior Court

sentenced him to a three-year minimum mandatory period of incarceration

pursuant to title 16, section 4763(a)(2) of the Delaware Code.

In his petition for a writ of mandamus and in his opening brief on (3)

appeal, Serpa asserts that, pursuant to title 11, section 4381(a) of the Delaware

Code, he is entitled to the application of good time credits on his three-year

minimum mandatory sentence. The Superior Court dismissed Serpa's mandamus

petition on the basis that good time credits may not be applied to a minimum

mandatory term of imprisonment. We agree with that decision.

In Delaware, good time is an administrative device that provides for (4)

an inmate's early release from a term of imprisonment. "[E]ntitlement to [good

time] credits is implicitly denied to prisoners serving minimum mandatory

sentences."2

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Superior

Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Henry duPont Ridgely

Justice

¹ Snyder v. Andrews, 708 A.2d 237, 242 (Del. 1998).

² Watson v. Burgan, 610 A.2d 1364, 1367 (Del. 1992) (citing Richmond v. State, 446 A.2d 1091

(Del. 1982)).

2