IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN RE COX RADIO, INC. SHAREHOLDERS LITIGATION	\$ \$ \$
TOWERVIEW LLC, HARTZ CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, LLC, METROPOLITAN	§ §
CAPITAL ADVISORS, LP,	§
METROPOLITAN CAPITAL ADVISORS	§
INTERNATIONAL, LTD., JEFFREY E.	§
SCHWARZ, and METROPOLITAN	§
CAPITAL ADVISORS SELECT FUND,	§
L.P.,	§ No. 221, 2010
Ohia ataus Dalass	§ No. 331, 2010
Objectors Below,	§
Appellants,	§ Count Polovii
••	§ Court of Changery
V.	§ Court of Chancery
CEODCE LEON of Trustee for the	§ of the State of Delaware
GEORGE LEON, as Trustee for the	§ C.A. No. 4461
George Leon Family Trust, and CORAL	0
SPRINGS POLICE PENSION FUND,	§ 8
Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	§ 8
Similarly Situated,	§ 8
Plaintiffs Below,	§ 8
•	§ 8
Appellees,	§ §
and	§ §
and	
HIANITA D DADANCO NICY W	§ §
JUANITA P. BARANCO, NICK W.	
EVANS, JR., JAMES C. KENNEDY,	§ 8
G. DENNIS BERRY, JIMMY W. HAYES,	§
PAUL M. HUGHES, MARC W.	§ 8
MORGAN, ROBERT F. NEILL,	§ 8
NICHOLAS D. TRIGONY, COX RADIO,	§ 8
INC., COX ENTERPRISES, INC., and	§ 8
COX MEDIA GROUP, INC.,	§ 8
Defendants Below,	§ §
•	§ §
Appellees.	R

Submitted: November 17, 2010

Decided: November 22, 2010

Before **HOLLAND**, **BERGER** and **RIDGELY**, Justices.

ORDER

This 22nd day of November 2010, on consideration of the briefs and arguments

of the parties, the Court has concluded that this matter should be affirmed on the basis

of and for the reasons assigned by the Court of Chancery in its Memorandum Opinion

dated May 6, 2010. The Court of Chancery concluded that, even if the transactions

at issue were subjected to the entire fairness standard of review, the proposed

settlement should be approved. Accordingly, we need not and do not express any

view as to the proper standard by which the underlying transactions should be

reviewed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the judgment of the

Chancery Court be, and the same hereby is, AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger

Justice

¹Cf. Kahn v. Lynch Communication Systems, Inc., 638 A.2d 1110 (Del. 1994); In Re Pure

Resources, Inc. S'holders Litig., 808 A.2d 421 (Del. Ch. 2002).

2