IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

PHILLIP GOLDSTEIN, § §	
Objectors Below- §	
Appellants, §	
§	
v. §	
§	
PAUL BERGER, BRYAN § No. 259, 2002	
BENTON, THOMAS TURBERG, §	
JOE BRECHER, DARREN §	
SUPRINA and DON HANKEY § Court Below-Court of Chance	ry
LIVING TRUST (DON R. § of the State of Delaware,	
HANKEY, TRUSTEE), § in and for New Castle County	
§ C.A. No. 18746	
Plaintiffs Below- §	
Appellees, §	
§	
and §	
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
UGLY DUCKLING §	
CORPORATION, ERNEST C. §	
GARCIA, II, VERDE § INVESTMENTS, INC., GREGORY §	
B. SULLIVAN, JOHN N. §	
MacDONOUGH, CHRISTOPHER §	
WILLEY, §	
8	
Defendants Below- §	
D. JENNINGS, and FRANK P. \$ WILLEY, \$ Defendants Below- Appellees. \$	

Submitted: July 29, 2002 Decided: August 14, 2002

Before **VEASEY**, Chief Justice, **WALSH** and **STEELE**, Justices ORDER

This 14th day of August 2002, the Court has considered the motion to affirm of defendants-appellees Garcia, Sullivan and Verde Investments, the motion to affirm of plaintiffs-appellees Berger, Benton, Turberg, Brecher, Suprina and Don Hankey Living Trust, and the motion to affirm of defendant-appellee Ugly Duckling Corporation pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 25(a) and finds it manifest on the face of the opening brief of objector-appellant Goldstein that the appeal is without merit for the reasons stated by the Court of Chancery in its well-reasoned decisions dated April 18, 2002.¹

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the motions to affirm are GRANTED. The judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED.²

BY THE COURT:

/s/ E. Norman Veasey Chief Justice

¹The Court of Chancery issued two decisions, one approving the parties' settlement of the class and derivative claims and one approving the application for attorney's fees and expenses.

²We do not reach the merits of the motion to dismiss filed by defendants-appellees MacDonough, Jennings and Willey, and in which other parties have joined.