



(2) Under Supreme Court Rule 42, applications for interlocutory review are addressed to this Court's sound discretion. In determining whether to accept the interlocutory appeal, this Court may consider all relevant factors, including the trial court's decision of whether to certify the appeal.

(3) In a detailed order dated June 20, 2016, the Superior Court carefully considered the relevant factors in Rule 42(b) and explained why interlocutory review was not warranted. We give considerable weight to a trial judge's thoughtful consideration of the Rule 42(b) factors. For the reasons stated in the Superior Court's well-reasoned order, the Court determines in its discretion that the application for interlocutory review does not meet the requirements of Rule 42(b) and should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Leo E. Strine, Jr.

Chief Justice