

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

SETH BAINES, <sup>1</sup>	§
	§ No. 526, 2017
Respondent/Petitioner Below,	§
Appellant,	§ Court Below—Family Court
	§ of the State of Delaware
v.	§
	§ File No. CN11-02650
JENNIFER T. HUNTER,	§ Petition Nos. 17-30157
	§ and 17-30452
Petitioner/Respondent Below,	§
Appellee.	§

Submitted: March 23, 2018  
Decided: May 22, 2018

Before **STRINE**, Chief Justice; **VALIHURA** and **VAUGHN**, Justices.

**ORDER**

This 22<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2018, upon consideration of the parties’ briefs and the record below, the Court concludes that the November 14, 2017 Family Court order granting the petitioner/respondent below-appellee’s petition for a rule to show cause should be affirmed. To the extent the respondent/petitioner below-appellant claims that the Family Court disregarded the evidence he offered or disputes the Family Court’s factual findings, we cannot review those claims in the absence of a transcript

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court previously assigned pseudonyms to the parties under Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

of the November 7, 2017 rule to show cause hearing.<sup>2</sup> The appellant has not provided a transcript of the November 7, 2017 rule to show cause hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Family Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Karen L. Valihura  
Justice

---

<sup>2</sup> Supr. Ct. R. 14(e) (requiring that “the appellant's appendix shall contain such portions of the trial transcript as are necessary to give this Court a fair and accurate account of the context in which the claim of error occurred and must include a transcript of all evidence relevant to the challenged finding or conclusion”); *Tricoche v. State*, 525 A.2d 151, 154 (Del. 1987) (holding the burden is on the appellant to produce parts of the trial transcript that are necessary to give the Court the context of the claim).