

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KUSHAL SHAH f/k/a GERRON M.	§
LINDSEY,	§
	§ No. 154, 2019
Defendant Below,	§
Appellant,	§ Court Below—Superior Court
	§ of the State of Delaware
v.	§
	§ Cr. ID No. 0002019767 (N)
STATE OF DELAWARE,	§
	§
Plaintiff Below,	§
Appellee.	§

Submitted: June 10, 2019

Decided: July 12, 2019

Before **STRINE**, Chief Justice; **VALIHURA** and **VAUGHN**, Justices.

**ORDER**

After careful consideration of the appellant’s opening brief, the appellee’s motion to affirm, and the record on appeal, we conclude that the Superior Court’s order,<sup>1</sup> dated March 7, 2019, adopting the Commissioner’s report and recommendation,<sup>2</sup> dated December 5, 2018, and denying Shah’s twelfth motion for postconviction relief should be affirmed. Shah was not convicted after trial and did

---

<sup>1</sup> *State v. Shah*, 2019 WL 1077274 (Del. Super. Ct. Mar. 7, 2019).

<sup>2</sup> *State v. Shah*, 2018 WL 6432979 (Del. Super. Ct. Dec. 5, 2018).

not satisfy the requirements of Superior Court Criminal Rule 61(d)(2).<sup>3</sup> The Superior Court did not err in denying Shah relief.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that motion to affirm is GRANTED and the judgment of the Superior Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen L. Valihura  
Justice

---

<sup>3</sup> Del. Super. Ct. Crim. R. 61(d)(2) (providing that a second or subsequent Rule 61 motion “shall be summarily dismissed, unless the movant was convicted after a trial and the motion” pleads with particularity new evidence creating a strong inference that the movant was actually innocent or a new rule of constitutional law made retroactive to cases on collateral review).