IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

WILLIAM O. BARKSDALE,	§
	§
Defendant Below,	§ No. 202, 2020
Appellant,	Ş
	Ş
V.	§ Court Below–Superior Court
	§ of the State of Delaware
STATE OF DELAWARE,	Ş
	§ Cr. ID No. 1403019776 (N)
Plaintiff Below,	Ş
Appellee.	Ş
	Ş

Submitted: February 15, 2021 Decided: April 14, 2021

Before SEITZ, Chief Justice; VALIHURA and VAUGHN, Justices.

<u>ORDER</u>

After careful consideration of the appellant's opening brief, the State's motion to affirm, and the Superior Court record, we find it evident that the judgment below should be affirmed on the basis of and for the reasons assigned by the Superior Court in its well-reasoned decision dated April 30, 2020.¹ The appellant, William Barksdale, argues for the first time on appeal that his co-defendant's admission that he stored drugs where the drugs that led to Barksdale's criminal charges were found is new evidence of Barksdale's actual innocence. But the co-defendant's confession is neither new evidence nor evidence that Barksdale is actually innocent of the

¹ State v. Barksdale, 2020 WL 2096148 (Del. Super. Ct. Apr. 30, 2020).

charges to which, as the Superior Court found and this Court affirmed, he knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily pleaded guilty.²

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the State's motion to affirm is GRANTED, and the judgment of the Superior Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Karen L. Valihura_____ Justice

² *State v. Barksdale*, 2015 WL 5676895, at *5 (Del. Super. Ct. Sept. 21, 2015) (denying Barksdale's motion to withdraw his guilty plea and, relevant here, finding that (i) Barksdale had knowingly and voluntarily pleaded guilty and (ii) Barksdale did not have a basis to assert that he was legally innocent), *aff'd*, 2016 WL 2585892 (Del. Apr. 6, 2016).