

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KRISHNA KUMAR, <sup>1</sup>	§	
	§	
Plaintiff Below,	§	No. 74, 2021
Appellant,	§	
	§	Court Below—Court of Chancery
v.	§	of the State of Delaware
	§	
KEYUR MODI,	§	C.A. No. 2019-0622 SEM
	§	
Respondent Below,	§	
Appellee.	§	

Submitted: March 19, 2021

Decided: March 29, 2021

Before **VALIHURA**, **VAUGHN**, and **TRAYNOR**, Justices.

**ORDER**

(1) The appellant filed this appeal from a Master in Chancery’s order, dated February 19, 2021, which denied his motion for a new trial. On March 10, 2021, the Senior Court Clerk issued a notice directing the appellant to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed based on this Court’s lack of jurisdiction to consider an appeal from a Master’s order. In response to the notice, the appellant attempts to argue certain grounds for reversal and states various circumstances that delayed or

---

<sup>1</sup> In the Court of Chancery, the plaintiff-appellant identified himself as Krishna Chilaka, and the matter is captioned *Chilaka v. Modi*, C.A. No. 2019-0622 SEM. In his notice of appeal, the plaintiff-appellant identifies himself as Krishna Kumar. This Court has captioned the case using the plaintiff-appellant’s name as it appears in the notice of appeal, but we note that the plaintiff-appellant has provided no explanation for the name change.

made more difficult his filings in the litigation.

(2) This Court lacks jurisdiction to hear an appeal directly from a decision of a Master in Chancery.<sup>2</sup> The appellant's right to review of the Master's order was to a judge in the Court of Chancery.<sup>3</sup> Having failed to pursue such review, the appellant waived his right to any further review or appeal.<sup>4</sup>

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, under Supreme Court Rule 29(b), that the appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ James T. Vaughn, Jr.  
Justice

---

<sup>2</sup> *Kalil v. Kalil*, 2018 WL 1915123 (Del. Mar. 12, 2018).

<sup>3</sup> *In re Estate of Webb*, 2011 WL 4838972 (Del. Oct. 12, 2011).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* (citing DEL. CT. CH. R. 144).