IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

VGS, INC.,	§	
	§	No. 564, 2000
Plaintiff Below, Appellant	§	
	§	
V.	§	Court Below: Court of
	§	Chancery of the State of
DAVID CASTIEL, VIRTUAL	§	Delaware in and for
GEOSATELLITE HOLDINGS, INC.,	§	New Castle County
and ELLIPSO, INC.,	§	C.A. No. 17995
	§	
Defendants Below, Appellees.	§	
	§	
VGS, INC., PETER D. SAHAGEN	§	
and SAHAGEN SATELLITE	§	
TECHNOLOGY GROUP, LLC,	§	
	§	
Counterclaim Defendants	§	
Below, Appellants,	§	
	§	
V.	§	
AND THE AND TH	§	
VIRTUAL GEOSATELLITE	§	
HOLDINGS, INC., and ELLIPSO, INC.,	§	
	§	
Counterclaim Plaintiffs	§ s	
Below, Appellees,	§	
1	§	
and	§ §	
VIDTUAL CEOCATELLITE LLC	8	
VIRTUAL GEOSATELLITE, LLC,	§ e	
Countanalaim Dlaintiff	§	
Counterclaim Plaintiff	§	
Below, Appellee.	§	

Submitted: May 22, 2001 Decided: May 23, 2001

Before VEASEY, Chief Justice, HOLLAND and BERGER, Justices.

ORDER

This 23rd day of May, 2001, the Court, having considered the

decision of the Court of Chancery dated August 31, 2000, and the briefs

and arguments of the parties, has determined that: to the extent the issues

raised on appeal are factual, the record evidence supports the trial judge's

factual findings; to the extent the errors alleged on appeal are attributed to

an abuse of discretion, the record does not support those assertions; and to

the extent that the issues raised on appeal are legal, they are controlled by

settled Delaware law, which was properly applied. Accord Schnell v.

Chris-Craft Industries, Inc., Del.Supr., 285 A.2d 437 (1971);

Rossdeutscher v. Viacom, Inc., Del.Supr., 768 A.2d 8 (2001).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the

Court of Chancery Court be, and the same hereby is

AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger

Justice

2