## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

WADE DAVIS and § § § § LYNN DAVIS,\* Respondents Below, § No. 163, 2001 Appellants, § Court Below: Family Court of ٧. § the State of Delaware in and for DIVISION OF FAMILY § Sussex County § File No. 99-11-01TPR, 99-11-02TPR SERVICES and COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL § and 99-11-03TPR ADVOCATE, § § § Petitioners Below, Appellees.

> Submitted: October 16, 2001 Decided: October 19, 2001

Before WALSH, HOLLAND, and BERGER, Justices.

## ORDER

This 19<sup>th</sup> day of October 2001, upon consideration of the briefs of the parties, we conclude that this appeal should be affirmed on the basis of, and for the reasons set forth in, the decision of the Family Court dated March 22, 2001. The record fully supports the Family Court's determination that the parents failed to plan for their children and that termination of their parental rights was in the children's best interest.

<sup>\*</sup>Pseudonyms have been assigned pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 7(d).

With respect to the appellants' claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, we find no basis in this record to conclude that trial counsel was ineffective or that appellants sustained any prejudice by reason of joint representation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Family Court be, and the same hereby is,

AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

s/Joseph T. Walsh
Justice