## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

§	
§	No. 49, 2004
§	
§	
§	Court Below: Superior Court
§	of the State of Delaware
§	in and for New Castle County
§	
§	Cr. ID No. 0006003916
§	
§	
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Submitted: February 19, 2004 Decided: March 9, 2004

Before HOLLAND, STEELE, and JACOBS, Justices.

## ORDER

This 9th day of March 2004, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) On February 11, 2004, the appellant, Terrell Twyman, filed a *pro se* notice of appeal from a decision of the Superior Court dated January 30, 2004. In its decision, the Superior Court denied Twyman's request for a transcript.
- (2) On February 11, 2004, the Assistant Clerk of this Court issued a notice, pursuant to Supreme Court 29(b), directing Twyman to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed based on this Court's lack of jurisdiction to entertain a criminal interlocutory appeal.

(3) On February 18, 2004, Twyman filed a response to the notice to

show cause. In his response, Twyman discusses his reasons why he needs a

transcript and opines that the denial of a transcript is unconstitutional. Twyman

does not address the issue of this Court's lack of jurisdiction to entertain a

criminal interlocutory appeal. The Superior Court's refusal to provide a transcript

is clearly an interlocutory ruling in this criminal matter.<sup>1</sup>

(4) Under the Delaware Constitution, this Court may review only a

final judgment in a criminal case.<sup>2</sup> As a result, this Court does not have

jurisdiction to review the Superior Court's interlocutory ruling in this case.<sup>3</sup>

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule

29(b), that this appeal is DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jack B. Jacobs

**Justice** 

<sup>1</sup>See Robinson v. State, 704 A.2d 269, 271 (Del. 1998).

<sup>2</sup>Del. Const. art. IV, § 11(1)(b).

<sup>3</sup>See Gottlieb v. State, 697 A.2d 400 (Del. 1997); Rash v. State, 318 A.2d 603 (Del

1974).