IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

WASHINGTON INSURANCE GUARANTY ASSOCIATION,	§ §
Third-Party Defendant Below-Appellant,	§ No. 279, 2004§§
v.	§ § §
PLUM CREEK TIMBER COMPANY, L.P., PLUM CREEK TIMBERLANDS,	<pre> § Court Below—Superior Court §</pre>
L.P., PLUM CREEK MANAGEMENT COMPANY, L.P., PCMC INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, L.P.,	§ of the State of Delaware,§ in and for New Castle County§ C.A. No. 99C-11-263
P.C. ADVISORY CORP. I, P.C. ADVISORY PARTNERS, I, L.P., and	§ §
PLUM CREEK TIMBER COMPANY, INC.,	§ § §
Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs/Third Party	\$ \$ \$
Plaintiffs Below-Appellees,	§ §
and	\{\} \{\} \{\}
RELIANCE INSURANCE COMPANY,	§ §
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Below-	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Appellee.	§

Submitted: July 1, 2004 Decided: July 19, 2004

Before STEELE, Chief Justice, HOLLAND, and JACOBS, Justices.

ORDER

This 19th day of July 2004, it appears to the Court that:

(1) Third-party defendant, Washington Insurance Guaranty Association

(WIGA), has petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to appeal

from interlocutory orders of the Superior Court dated April 15, 2004 and June 10,

2004. Among other things, the Superior Court's April 15 order granted partial

summary judgment to the defendants/counterclaim plaintiffs. The June 10 order

denied WIGA's motion for reargument.

(2) On June 30, 2004, the Superior Court denied WIGA's application to

certify an interlocutory appeal to this Court.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound

discretion of this Court and are granted only in extraordinary cases.

(4) In the exercise of its discretion, this Court has concluded that the

application for interlocutory review does not meet the requirements of Supreme

Court Rule 42(b) and should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the within

interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Myron T. Steele

Chief Justice