IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

PETER D. SAHAGEN and SAHAGEN	§	
SATELLITE TECHNOLOGY	§	
GROUP, LLC	§	
	§	No. 268, 2004
Counterclaim Defendants	§	
and Reply Counterlcaim	§	
Plaintiffs Below,	§	
Appellants/Cross Appellees,	§	Court Below: Chancery Court
rippenante, erote rippeneet,	ş	in and for New Castle County
v.		In and for fiew Custic County
v.	§ §	
DAVID J. CASTIEL, ELLIPSO, INC.,	8 8	No. 17995
VIRTUAL GEOSATELLITE	8 8	110. 17775
HOLDINGS, INC.,	5 8	
HOLDHVOS, HVC.,	§ §	
Defendant-Counterlcaim	ş	
	8	
Plaintiffs Below,	§	
Appellee/Cross Appellants,	§	
and	§	
	§	
MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS	§	
HOLDINGS, INC.	§	
	§	
Reply Counterclaim	§	
Defendant Below,	§	
Appellee/Cross Appellant.	§	

Submitted: December 1, 2004 Decided: December 14, 2004

Before HOLLAND, JACOBS and RIDGELY, Justices.

ORDER

This 14th day of December, 2004, the Court, having considered this matter at

oral argument and on the briefs of the parties, concludes that the matter should be

affirmed on the basis of and for the reasons assigned by the Court of Chancery in its decisions dated March 10, 2003 and June 1, 2004.¹

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the judgments of the Court of Chancery be *AFFIRMED*.

BY THE COURT:

<u>/s/Henry duPont Ridgely</u> Justice

¹ The Court of Chancery dismissed the counterclaims for damages with prejudice for the reasons stated in a pretrial conference on December 10, 2003.