

of a redacted complaint pursuant to Court of Chancery Rule 5.1, the Court of Chancery's October 14th decision ordered Al Jazeera to file a largely unredacted version of its complaint. On October 29, Al Jazeera filed a notice of interlocutory appeal from the same order in No. 600, 2013. The Court of Chancery granted certification of the interlocutory appeal on October 22, 2013.

(2) A rule to show cause was issued in No. 562, 2013 directing Al Jazeera to show cause why that appeal should not be dismissed as interlocutory. Al Jazeera's response contends that the order is appealable pursuant to the collateral order doctrine. In light of the Court of Chancery's subsequent certification of the interlocutory appeal in No. 600, 2013, we find no need to address whether the collateral order doctrine is applicable in this case.

(3) In the exercise of our discretion, we have concluded that the application for interlocutory review meets the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42(b) and should be accepted. In the interests of judicial economy, the appeal in No. 562, 2013 shall be dismissed.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the interlocutory appeal in No. 600, 2013 shall be ACCEPTED. The appeal in No. 562, 2013 is hereby DISMISSED.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Randy J. Holland
Justice