

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

ALEX B. ATONSAH,	§	
	§	No. 624, 2006
Plaintiff Below,	§	
Appellant,	§	Court Below—Superior Court
	§	of the State of Delaware in and
v.	§	for New Castle County
	§	
JIMMY HACKETT and	§	
BARBARA HACKETT,	§	
	§	
Defendants Below,	§	C.A. No. 04C-11-209
Appellees.	§	

Submitted: February 16, 2007

Decided: March 15, 2007

Before **STEELE**, Chief Justice, **HOLLAND** and **BERGER**, Justices.

ORDER

This 15th day of March 2007, the Court has considered the opening brief filed by the plaintiff-appellant, Alex B. Atonsah (“Atonsah”), and the motion to affirm filed by the defendants-appellees, Jimmy Hackett and Barbara Hackett (“the Hacketts”). The Court has concluded that this appeal should be affirmed on the basis of the Superior Court’s well-reasoned decision dated October 31, 2006. In the absence of expert testimony

supporting Atonsah's claim as to damages, it is manifest that the Superior Court properly granted the Hacketts' motion for summary judgment.¹

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the motion to affirm is granted. The judgment of the Superior Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Randy J. Holland
Justice

¹ *Accord Reybold Group, Inc. v. Chemprobe Tech., Inc.*, 721 A.2d 1267, 1270-71 (Del. 1998); *Burkhart v. Davies*, 602 A.2d 56, 60 (Del. 1991).