Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 11-BG-291

BEFORE:

IN RE: JOSEPH P. SINDACO,

Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 224832

Blackburne-Rigsby, Associate Judge, Nebeker and King, Senior Judges.

**BDN: 41-11** 

## **ORDER**

(FILED - June 23, 2011)

On consideration of the of the certified order from the Supreme Court of Florida disbarring respondent by consent and imposing conditions for reinstatement, *see The Florida Bar v. Sindaco*, 44 So.3d 582 (Fla. 2010), this court's April 1, 2011, order suspending respondent pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why identical reciprocal disciplines should not be imposed, the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file either a response to this court's order to show cause or the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Joseph P. Sindaco, Esquire, is hereby disbarred from the practice of law in the District of Columbia and any petition for reinstatement is conditioned upon compliance with the conditions imposed by the state of Florida. *See In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) and *In re Willingham*, 900 A.2d 165 (D.C. 2006) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate, including those involving disbarment). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as she files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

PER CURIAM