

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 19-BG-60**

IN RE LIANA MARTINEZ

**2018 DDN 283**

An Inactive Member of the Bar of the  
District of Columbia Court of Appeals

**Bar Registration No. 420030**

BEFORE: Beckwith and McLeese, Associate Judges, and Nebeker, Senior Judge.

**ORDER**

(FILED – April 11, 2019)

On consideration of the certified order of the Supreme Court of Florida suspending respondent by consent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction for a period of sixty days followed by a three-year period of probation; this court's February 12, 2009, order suspending respondent and directing her to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline; and it appearing that respondent failed to file either her D.C. Bar R. XI, §14(g) affidavit or a response to this court's show cause order, it is

ORDERED that Liana Martinez is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of sixty days, followed by a three-year period of probation subject to the conditions imposed by the state of Florida. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483 (D.C. 2010); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's period of suspension will not begin to run until such time as she files a D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit.

**PER CURIAM**