Not For Publication in West's Federal Reporter Citation Limited Pursuant to 1st Cir. Loc. R. 32.3 **United States Court of Appeals** For the First Circuit

No. 05-1704

ROBERT T. BEVILL,

Plaintiff, Appellant,

v.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P.,

Defendant, Appellee.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

[Hon. Steven J. McAuliffe, U.S. District Judge]

Before

Boudin, <u>Chief Judge</u>, Stahl, <u>Senior Circuit Judge</u>, and Lynch, <u>Circuit Judge</u>.

Robert T. Bevill on brief pro se.

<u>R. Matthew Cairns</u> and <u>Ransmeier & Spellman</u>, P.C. and <u>Mark D.</u> Hinderks and Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP on brief for appellee.

January 5, 2006

Per Curiam. After carefully considering the briefs and record on appeal, we <u>affirm</u> the judgment of the district court. Among other problems, the appellant has no standing to litigate claims that belong to a corporation. Moreover, he has no right to litigate claims in this circuit that are integral to a suit already filed elsewhere, and he makes no showing that the actions are distinct. *See*, *e.g.*, <u>Montana</u> v. <u>United States</u>, 440 U.S. 147, 153-154 (1979) (describing the deleterious effects of repetitive suits); <u>Congress Credit Corp.</u> v. <u>AJC Intern., Inc</u>., 42 F.3d 686, 689 (1st Cir. 1994) (repetitive actions may be dismissed); <u>Gonzalez</u> v. <u>Banco</u> <u>Cent. Corp</u>., 27 F.3d 751, 275-6 (1st Cir. 1994).

Affirmed. 1st Cir. R. 27(c).