uggan v. USS ecur Di bic

Not for Publication in West's Federal Reporter

United States Court of AppealsFor the First Circuit

No. 07-2494

D

GERALD BRYAN DUGGAN,

Plaintiff, Appellant,

 \mathbf{v} .

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Defendant, Appellee.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

[Hon. Reginald C. Lindsay, U.S. District Judge]

Before

Lynch, <u>Circuit Judge</u>, Selya, <u>Senior Circuit Judge</u>, and Howard, <u>Circuit Judge</u>.

Gerald Bryan Duggan on brief pro se.

Kevin D. Solonsky, Senior Counsel, Brian G. Cartwright,
General Counsel, Melinda Hardy, Assistant General Counsel, on brief
for appellee.

May 15, 2008

D o c

<u>Per Curiam</u>. After carefully considering the record and briefs on appeal, we <u>affirm</u> for substantially the reasons developed in the district court.

The Securities and Exchange Commission made an adequate showing at summary judgment that it had conducted a search that was reasonably calculated to disclose requested documents. Gillin v. IRS, 980 F.2d 819 (1st Cir. 1992); Maynard v. CIA, 986 F.2d 547 (1st Cir. 1993). The appellant could not rely upon mere innuendo and speculation to overcome that showing. Medina-Munoz v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 896 F.2d 5 (1st Cir. 1990). See also Davila v. Corporacion De P.R. Para la Defusion Publica, 498 F.3d 9 (1st Cir. 2007). Finally, the appellant made no showing that the district court abused its broad discretion in ruling on his discovery motions. Ayala-Gerena v. Bristol Myers-Squibb Co., 95 F.3d 86 (1st Cir. 1996).

Affirmed. 1st Cir. Loc. R. 27.0(c).