United States Court of AppealsFor the First Circuit

Nos. 09-1308, 09-1309

GLOBAL NAPS, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, Appellant,

V.

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC. d/b/a VERIZON MASSACHUSETTS,

Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff, Appellee,

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY; PAUL B. VASINGTON, in his capacity as Commissioner; JAMES CONNELLY, in his capacity as Commissioner; W. ROBERT KEATING, in his capacity as Commissioner; DEIRDRE K. MANNING, in her capacity as Commissioner; and EUGENE J. SULLIVAN, JR., in his capacity as Commissioner.

Defendants,

v.

GLOBAL NAPS NEW HAMPSHIRE, INC.; GLOBAL NAPS REALTY, INC.; GLOBAL NAPS NETWORKS, INC.; FERROUS MINER HOLDINGS, LTD.; and FRANK GANGI,

Counterclaim Defendants, Appellants,

CHESAPEAKE INVESTMENT SERVICES, INC.; 1120 HANCOCK STREET, INC., 321 HEATH STREET REALTY TRUST; CJ3, INC.; and RJ EQUIPMENT, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

ERRATA SHEET

The opinion of this Court issued on April 29, 2010, is amended as follows:

On page 3, line 6, "fourth" is changed to "fifth".

On page 25, line 12, "third" is changed to "other".

On page 25, footnote 18, lines 5-7, "But we did not rely on that rule to decide the case, nor did we consider the effect of the 1990 statute on it. <u>Id.</u> It does not bind us here." is changed to "<u>Iglesias</u> assumed that "Section 1367 incorporates the common law doctrines of pendent and ancillary jurisdiction," <u>id.</u> at 241, which, we explain below, the Supreme Court has since squarely rejected, <u>see Allapattah</u>, 545 U.S. at 558-59. We will therefore reconsider this issue. <u>See, e.g., Muskat</u> v. <u>United States</u>, 554 F.3d 183, 189 (1st Cir. 2009)."

On page 25, footnote 19, " $\underline{\text{Exxon Mobile Corp.}}$ v. $\underline{\text{Allapattah}}$ $\underline{\text{Servs., Inc.}}$, 545 U.S. 546, 552-57 (2005)" is changed to " $\underline{\text{Allapattah}}$, 545 U.S. at 552-57".