Ry /

10-3-2011

10-1389

Honorotte Magaret and Oluh 5 Appears n Coul S Appears n the Funt Count

Janes N. THNIERS 31 101 April 31 Mus Ly Mr 8/413

Silget Stay of Mm Batu Dul 41 106 Friend Street Amesbury, MA 10193 October 3, 2011

United States Court of Appeals
For the First Circuit, John Joseph Moakley
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500, Boston, MA 02210

Case Number 10-1389

James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff- Appellant

Versus

Town of Amesbury
Mayor Kezer; Town Assessor; Town Clerk; Agent of the Library;
Defendants-Appellees

To the Honorable Ms. Margaret Carter, Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals in the First Circuit a request for a Stay of the Mandate from the Order entered September 26, 2011:

The Plaintiff-Appellant, James N. Thivierge, Pro-Se "in forma pauperis" moves for a stay of the mandate until further order of the court, on the grounds that the appellant expects and intends, in good faith, within the time allowed by law, to apply to the Supreme Court of the United States of America by petition for a review under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 41 for a review on writ of certiorari of the order.

The substantial issue in question "the abridgement of my voting rights on February 5, 2008, the date of the Presidential Preference Primary," as enabled by chapter 179 of the Acts of 2007, the no trespass letter issued by the Town of Amesbury on December 19, 2007 responded to by the plaintiff on December 24, 2007 and earlier 09.17.2007 to another letter issued by the town of Amesbury, is still in force and not lifted till the result of a mid-summer agreement, as well as other infringements cited on December 26, 2007 in a brief filed in the Newburyport Superior Court subsequently involving violations of the Ninth Amendment and the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights, plus another issue involve with the Presidential Preference Primary, February 5, 2008 and the election of town committees.

I believe good cause exist because The Town of Amesbury violated my civil rights "abridges my right to vote" on the day of the Massachusetts Presidential Primary February 5, 2008 with the 'No Trespass letter" in force under section 1973 and 1973c of the Voting Rights Act. My reinstatement to the library via the Judge's ruling on February 4, 2010 of the trail realizes that the plaintiff knew the law on the issue and the Town of Amesbury erred was negligent, and that the Mayor violates his oath of office, as well as other issues identified.

The appellant is ready within the bounds of 'ad pauperis" able and willing to make a good sufficient bond, conditioned as provided by law.

Have a substantial issue(s) and, I believe, good cause, and within the time frame, and seek appropriate relief, and a stay of the mandate.

Respectfully, James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff-Appellant, Case 10-1389

Certificate of Service, I, James N. Thivierge, hereby certify that on the below date, I faxed a copy of the foregoing, A Request for a Stay of the Mandate under Rule 41 to the following party of record Atty. Katherine Doyle, Kopelman and Paige 10.3.2011. Dated James N. Thivierge

James N. Thivierge

ROY WA

10-3-2011

Honorette Magaret and Amorette Magaret and Oluh J Appelan n Coul J Appelan n the Funt Count

Jane N. Thviery

Johnson Mr. 1819

Musky Mr. 18192

Silget Stay of M. M. Batu Dul 41 Oct. 3. 2011 3:02PM No. 4638 P. 1 Lawrence Law Library 10-3-2011 Cope Lumber 10-1389 to the Honorabe MARGARET Clake Clark of the United States Court of Appeal for the Trest agant : JAMS N THIVIELS. 106 Friend St. 106 Junes Juny, MA 01913 5 form Subject 1 Styl of Mindale 1

Subject 1 Styl o 106 Friend Street Amesbury, MA 10193 October 3, 2011

United States Court of Appeals
For the First Circuit, John Joseph Moakley
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500, Boston, MA 02210

Case Number 10-1389

James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff- Appellant

Versus

Town of Amesbury
Mayor Kezer; Town Assessor; Town Clerk; Agent of the Library;
Defendants-Appellees

To the Honorable Ms. Margaret Carter, Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals in the First Circuit a request for a Stay of the Mandate from the Order entered September 26, 2011:

The Plaintiff-Appellant, James N. Thivierge, Pro-Se "in forma pauperis" moves for a stay of the mandate until further order of the court, on the grounds that the appellant expects and intends, in good faith, within the time allowed by law, to apply to the Supreme Court of the United States of America by petition for a review under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 41 for a review on writ of certiorari of the order.

The substantial issue in question "the abridgement of my voting rights on February 5, 2008, the date of the Presidential Preference Primary," as enabled by chapter 179 of the Acts of 2007, the no trespass letter issued by the Town of Amesbury on December 19, 2007 responded to by the plaintiff on December 24, 2007 and earlier 09.17.2007 to another letter issued by the town of Amesbury, is still in force and not lifted till the result of a mid-summer agreement, as well as other infringements cited on December 26, 2007 in a brief filed in the Newburyport Superior Court subsequently involving violations of the Ninth Amendment and the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights, plus another issue involve with the Presidential Preference Primary, February 5, 2008 and the election of town committees.

I believe good cause exist because The Town of Amesbury violated my civil rights "abridges my right to vote" on the day of the Massachusetts Presidential Primary February 5, 2008 with the 'No Trespass letter' in force under section 1973 and 1973c of the Voting Rights Act. My reinstatement to the library via the Judge's ruling on February 4, 2010 of the trail realizes that the plaintiff knew the law on the issue and the Town of Amesbury erred was negligent, and that the Mayor violates his oath of office, as well as other issues identified.

The appellant is ready within the bounds of 'ad pauperis" able and willing to make a good sufficient bond, conditioned as provided by law.

Have a substantial issue(s) and, I believe, good cause, and within the time frame, and seek appropriate relief, and a stay of the mandate.

Respectfully, James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff-Appellant, Case 10-1389

Certificate of Service, I, James N. Thivierge, hereby certify that on the below date, I faxed a copy of the foregoing, A Request for a Stay of the Mandate under Rule 41 to the following party of record Atty. Katherine Doyle, Kopelman and Paige 10,3.2011. Dated James N. Thivierge

Japhes N. Thivierge

Oct. 3. 2011 3:03PM Lawrence Law Library

10-3.-201

CASE No: 10-1389 correction " + Marla to 14 mol"

Come humber 10-138No. 4638 P. 4 Honorable MARGARET Clare Clark of the bracked Stales Court of Appeal for the Frot GRant JAMS N THIVIEWS 5 from 106 Friend St. Ames Juy, MA 019/3 Subject "Stry of Mindale"

Subject "Stry of Mindale"

Man 26, Dou - order.

One correction "heart" 5 United States Court of Appeals
For the First Circuit, John Joseph Moakley
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500, Boston, MA 02210

Case Number 10-1389

James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff- Appellant

Versus

Town of Amesbury
Mayor Kezer; Town Assessor; Town Clerk; Agent of the Library;
Defendants-Appellees

To the Honorable Ms. Margaret Carter, Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals in the First Circuit a request for a Stay of the Mandate from the Order entered September 26, 2011:

The Plaintiff-Appellant, James N. Thivierge, Pro-Se "in forma pauperis" moves for a stay of the mandate until further order of the court, on the grounds that the appellant expects and intends, in good faith, within the time allowed by law, to apply to the Supreme Court of the United States of America by petition for a review under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 41 for a review on writ of certiorari of the order.

The substantial issue in question "the abridgement of my voting rights on February 5, 2008, the date of the Presidential Preference Primary," as enabled by chapter 179 of the Acts of 2007, the no trespass letter issued by the Town of Amesbury on December 19, 2007 responded to by the plaintiff on December 24, 2007 and earlier 09.17,2007 to another letter issued by the town of Amesbury, is still in force and not lifted till the result of a mid-summer agreement, as well as other infringements cited on December 26, 2007 in a brief filed in the Newburyport Superior Court subsequently involving violations of the Ninth Amendment and the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights, plus another issue involve with the Presidential Preference Primary, February 5, 2008 and the election of town committees.

I believe good cause exist because The Town of Amesbury violated my civil rights "abridges my right to vote" on the day of the Massachusetts Presidential Primary February 5, 2008 with the 'No Trespass letter" in force under section 1973 and 1973c of the Voting Rights Act. My reinstatement to the library via the Judge's ruling on February 4, 2010 of the trail realizes that the plaintiff knew the law on the issue and the Town of Amesbury erred was negligent, and that the Mayor violates his oath of office, as well as other issues identified.

The appellant is ready within the bounds of 'ad pauperis" able and willing to make a good sufficient bond, conditioned as provided by law.

Have a substantial issue(s) and, I believe, good cause, and within the time frame, and seek appropriate relief, and a stay of the mandate.

Respectfully, James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff-Appellant, Case 10-1389

Certificate of Service, I, James N. Thivierge, hereby certify that on the below date, I faxed a copy of the foregoing, A Request for a Stay of the Mandate under Rule 41 to the following party of record Atty. Katherine Doyle, Kopelman and Paige 10.3.2011. Dated James N. Thivierge

ated Japaes N. Thivierge

Oct. 3. 2011 3:03PM Lawrence Law Library No. 4638 P. 6

10-3.-201

CASE Noi. 10-1389 correction " + Marla ti u troila to

Lawrence Law Library No. 4637 Oct. 3. 2011 2:46PM 10-1389 MR George Wieht 10-3-2011 10-3-2011 Honorette Magaret auch Oluh J Appelan n the Furt Cush Jen James N. THIVIERY

101 Decent 85

Mrs Smy Mrs 81513 Silyet Stay of Man Data Que 4

106 Friend Street Amesbury, MA 10193 October 3, 2011

United States Court of Appeals
For the First Circuit, John Joseph Moakley
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500, Boston, MA 02210

Case Number 10-1389

James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff- Appellant

Versus

Town of Amesbury
Mayor Kezer; Town Assessor; Town Clerk; Agent of the Library;
Defendants-Appellees

To the Honorable Ms. Margaret Carter, Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals in the First Circuit a request for a Stay of the Mandate from the Order entered September 26, 2011:

The Plaintiff-Appellant, James N. Thivierge, Pro-Se "in forma pauperis" moves for a stay of the mandate until further order of the court, on the grounds that the appellant expects and intends, in good faith, within the time allowed by law, to apply to the Supreme Court of the United States of America by petition for a review under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Rule 41 for a review on writ of certiorari of the order.

The substantial issue in question "the abridgement of my voting rights on February 5, 2008, the date of the Presidential Preference Primary," as enabled by chapter 179 of the Acts of 2007, the no trespass letter issued by the Town of Amesbury on December 19, 2007 responded to by the plaintiff on December 24, 2007 and earlier 09.17.2007 to another letter issued by the town of Amesbury, is still in force and not lifted till the result of a mid-summer agreement, as well as other infringements cited on December 26, 2007 in a brief filed in the Newburyport Superior Court subsequently involving violations of the Ninth Amendment and the Massachusetts Declaration of Rights, plus another issue involve with the Presidential Preference Primary, February 5, 2008 and the election of town committees.

I believe good cause exist because The Town of Amesbury violated my civil rights "abridges my right to vote" on the day of the Massachusetts Presidential Primary February 5, 2008 with the 'No Trespass letter" in force under section 1973 and 1973c of the Voting Rights Act. My reinstatement to the library via the Judge's ruling on February 4, 2010 of the trail realizes that the plaintiff knew the law on the issue and the Town of Amesbury erred was negligent, and that the Mayor violates his oath of office, as well as other issues identified.

The appellant is ready within the bounds of 'ad pauperis" able and willing to make a good sufficient bond, conditioned as provided by law.

Have a substantial issue(s) and, I believe, good cause, and within the time frame, and seek appropriate relief, and a stay of the mandate.

Respectfully, James N. Thivierge, Plaintiff-Appellant, Case 10-1389

Certificate of Service, I, James N. Thivierge, hereby certify that on the below date, I faxed a copy of the foregoing, A Request for a Stay of the Mandate under Rule 41 to the following party of record Atty. Katherine Doyle, Kopelman and Paige 10.3.2011, Dated James N. Thivierge

Attorners Loyle & Reich No. 4637 P. 3 Oct. 3. 2011 2:46PM Chre It 10-3-2011 10-1389 10-3-2011 Honoreble Magaret and Olut J Appen n the Funt Crant Jen Signet Stay of M. M. Datu Pul H