Not For Publication in West's Federal Reporter

United States Court of AppealsFor the First Circuit

No. 12-1213

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Appellee,

v.

STEPHEN L. VOISINE,

Defendant, Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAINE

[Hon. John A. Woodcock, U.S. District Judge]

Before

Lynch, <u>Chief Judge</u>, Torruella and Stahl, Circuit Judges.

 $\underline{\text{Virginia G. Villa}}$, Assistant Federal Public Defender, on brief for appellant.

 $\underline{\text{Ren\'ee}}$ M. Bunker, Assistant United States Attorney, and $\underline{\text{Thomas}}$ E. Delahanty II, United States Attorney, on brief for appellee.

January 18, 2013

Per Curiam. Defendant-Appellant Stephen L. Voisine asks us to reconsider arguments heard in and decided by this court regarding: (1) whether 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9) should be construed to exclude a purportedly non-violent offensive physical contact misdemeanor conviction as a predicate offense; and (2) whether applying § 922(g)(9) to such a prior conviction would violate a particular defendant's Second Amendment rights. See United States v. Booker, 644 F.3d 12 (1st Cir. 2011); United States v. Nason, 269 F.3d 10 (1st Cir. 2001). The Court considered and denied the exact same arguments in United States v. Armstrong, III, Case No. 12-1216 (1st Cir. 2012). Since there are no pertinent factual differences distinguishing the instant case from Armstrong, we accordingly incorporate its reasoning here and affirm the district court's denial of Defendant-Appellant's motion to dismiss the indictment.

So Ordered.