## United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 13-1415

DAVID MILLS,

Plaintiff, Appellant,

v.

LYNNE CALERO, DOUGLAS MILLETT, MARY C. MAYHEW,

Defendants, Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAINE

[Hon. John A. Woodcock, Jr., <u>U.S. District Judge</u>]

Before

Lynch, <u>Chief Judge</u>, Stahl and Howard, <u>Circuit Judges</u>.

Joseph M. Baldacci on brief for appellant. Thomas A. Knowlton on brief for appellees.

October 23, 2013

**PER CURIAM**. We have carefully reviewed the briefs on appeal and considered the appellant's arguments. The district court was plainly correct to dismiss the complaint. Mills's arguments are based on a misapprehension of the <u>Rooker-Feldman</u> doctrine, <u>see D.C. Court of Appeals</u> v. <u>Feldman</u>, 460 U.S. 462 (1983), and of issue preclusion, and are without merit. For the reasons set forth in the district court's order, <u>Mills</u> v. <u>Calero</u>, No. 1:11-cv-00470 (D. Me. Mar. 7, 2013), we summarily affirm. <u>See</u> 1st Cir. R. 27.0(c).