[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

No. 06-13929 Non-Argument Calendar

D. C. Docket No. 05-80159-CR-DTKH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

PEDRO ENRIQUE SERRANO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

(May 15, 2007)

Before BLACK, MARCUS and PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Arthur Wallace, appointed counsel for Pedro Serrano, has filed a motion to

FILED FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT MAY 15, 2007 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK

withdraw on appeal supported by a brief prepared pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Serrano's conviction and sentence is **AFFIRMED**. However, we discovered a scrivener's error in the judgment and, therefore, this case is **REMANDED** for the limited purpose of correcting the judgment so that it indicates that the statute of conviction was 21 U.S.C. § 846.