IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FO	R THE ELEVENTH CIRC	CUIT FILED
	No. 07-12124	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT October 31, 2008 THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D.C. Do	ocket No. 05-00247 CR-T-	-23-MAP
UNITED STATES OF AMI	ERICA,	
		Plaintiff-Appellee,
	versus	
SCOTT SCHWEICKERT, a.k.a. MstrScott,		
		Defendant-Appellant.
	rom the United States District of Flo	
	(October 31, 2008)	
Before ANDERSON, BARI	KETT and HILL, Circuit J	udges.
PER CURIAM:		

In a three-count indictment, a federal grand jury charged Scott Schweickert with conspiracy to possess a controlled substance, gamma-hydroxybutyric acid, (GHB), with intent to distribute it to others without their knowledge and with intent to commit a crime of violence, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(b)(7)(A) and (B) (Count One), and did knowingly and intentionally distribute for human consumption GHB to Victims #1 and #2, without their knowledge and with the intent to commit a crime of violence, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(7)(A), (B), and 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Counts Two and Three). A jury found Schweickert guilty of conspiracy with Steven Lorenzo (Count One) and distribution of GHB to Victim #2 (Count Three). Schweickert was acquitted on Count Two pertaining to Victim #1. The district court sentenced him to serve consecutive terms of twenty years' imprisonment on each count of conviction, or forty years.

Schweickert now raises five evidentiary issues on appeal. He also raises a sixth issue that there was insufficient evidence to sustain his conviction.

After oral argument and careful consideration, we find that the district court did not abuse its discretion or plainly err in its evidentiary rulings. The evidentiary arguments raised on appeal are wholly without merit and rejected

without need for further discussion.1

In addition, we conclude that there was ample and sufficient evidence of Scweickert's conspiracy with Lorenzo and his participation in the drug distribution of GHB to support the jury's verdict of conviction of Scweickert on Counts One and Three.

AFFIRMED.

¹ They are, that the court erred (1) in denying Schweickert's motion to suppress certain statements; (2) in admitting internet chats made by co-conspirator Lorenzo; (3) in admitting photos of Lorenzo and Schweickert; (4) in admitting internet chats made between Lorenzo and Schweickert; and (5) in not granting a new trial based on cumulative evidentiary errors.