Case: 12-16386 Date Filed: 07/22/2013 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-16386 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 8:12-cr-00005-JSM-EAJ-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

VALENTIN DE LA CRUZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida

(July 22, 2013)

Before TJOFLAT, PRYOR and HILL, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

George Bedell, appointed counsel for Valentin de la Cruz in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396,

Case: 12-16386 Date Filed: 07/22/2013 Page: 2 of 2

18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). Our independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and de la Cruz's conviction and sentence are **AFFIRMED**.