Case: 13-13728 Date Filed: 03/31/2014 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
No. 13-13728 Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 3:10-cr-00277-TJC-TEM-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LYDIA I. CLADEK,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida

\_\_\_\_

(March 31, 2014)

Before PRYOR, MARTIN, and FAY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Case: 13-13728 Date Filed: 03/31/2014 Page: 2 of 2

Lydia Cladek appeals the district court's denial of her <u>pro se</u> Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 33 motion for a new trial. Maurice Grant, II, appointed counsel for Cladek, has moved to withdraw from further representation of the appellant in this appeal and filed a brief pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967). Although Cladek argued in her Rule 33 motion and in response to Grant's <u>Anders</u> motion that counsel was ineffective, the record in this case is insufficient to review counsel's effectiveness. <u>See United States v.</u> <u>Franklin</u>, 694 F.3d 1, 8–9 (11th Cir. 2012). Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel should usually be raised in a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. <u>United States v.</u> Curbelo, 726 F.3d 1260, 1267 (11th Cir. 2013).

Because our independent examination of the record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the denial of Cladek's Rule 33 motion for a new trial is **AFFIRMED**. In addition, Cladek's <u>proseco</u> see request for new counsel in this appeal is **DENIED** as moot, and Cladek's various other requests in this appeal for relief, also filed <u>prose</u>, are **DENIED**.