Case: 14-15431 Date Filed: 10/07/2015 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 14-15431

Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 1:14-cy-20612-BB

JESSE LOOR, individually, and as next friend for J.L. a minor,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

SAILY PUENTE, CARIDAD HERMIDA, LISSETTE TARRAGO, OFFICER J. HOROVITZ, #7578, Metro Dade Police Department, OFFICER ROSSI, #5731, Metro Dade Police Department, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

\_\_\_\_\_

(October 7, 2015)

Case: 14-15431 Date Filed: 10/07/2015 Page: 2 of 2

Before WILSON, JORDAN, and JILL PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

Jesse Loor, a state pretrial detainee proceeding in forma pauperis, appeals pro se from the dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 lawsuit for failure to state a claim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). After considering Loor's brief and conducting a de novo review of the record on appeal, we find that the issues and arguments Loor raises are unavailing. Since Loor is proceeding pro se, we liberally construe his pleadings; however, we may not rewrite Loor's complaint. See Snow v. DirecTV, Inc., 450 F.3d 1314, 1320 (11th Cir. 2006); Tannenbaum v. *United States*, 148 F.3d 1262, 1263 (11th Cir. 1998) (per curiam). Loor failed to state a facially plausible claim for relief against any of the named defendants. See Ashcroft v. Igbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009); see also § 1915(e)(2)(B) (compelling dismissal when an *in forma pauperis* case fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted). Accordingly, Loor's action was properly dismissed, and we affirm the district court.

## AFFIRMED.