

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 15-11140  
Non-Argument Calendar

---

D.C. Docket No. 6:14-cr-00119-RDB-DAB-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

versus

EUGENE ANTONIO GOVAN,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida

---

(December 9, 2015)

Before WILLIAM PRYOR, JILL PRYOR and FAY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Tom Dale, appointed counsel for Eugene Govan in this direct criminal appeal, has moved to withdraw from further representation of Mr. Govan and

prepared a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Our independent review of the record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Mr. Govan's conviction and sentence are **AFFIRMED**. Because the final judgment incorrectly listed the offense of conviction as 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1), 924(e)(4)—and because § 924 subsection (e)(4) does not exist—we **VACATE** and **REMAND** for the limited purpose of correcting this clerical error.