Case: 15-13855 Date Filed: 01/18/2017 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF AP	PEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	
No. 15-13855 Non-Argument Calendar	
D.C. Docket No. 0:15-cv-60480-JIC	
HEATHER ANDREWS MCGEE, LAKETHA D. WILSON,	
	Plaintiffs-Appellants,
versus	
BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	
	Defendant-Appellee.
Appeal from the United States District Co for the Southern District of Florida	ourt

(January 18, 2017)

Before MARCUS and WILLIAM PRYOR, Circuit Judges, and DAVIS,* District Judge.

PER CURIAM:

^{*} Honorable Brian J. Davis, United States District Judge for the Middle District of Florida, sitting by designation.

Case: 15-13855 Date Filed: 01/18/2017 Page: 2 of 2

The issue in this appeal is whether the extended charge that Bank of America assesses on its deposit accounts constitutes "interest" for purposes of the National Bank Act, 12 U.S.C. §§ 85–86. The plaintiffs concede that, under our decision in *Video Trax, Inc. v. Nationsbank, N.A.*, 205 F.3d 1358 (11th Cir. 2000), the extended charge is not interest. The plaintiffs brought this appeal because they want to petition the en banc Court to overrule *Video Trax*, a petition they are free to file within 21 days of our opinion, *see* 11th Cir. R. 35-2. The plaintiffs also argue that the district court should have allowed them to proceed to discovery before dismissing their complaint, but that argument fails because their complaint fails to state a claim as a matter of law.

We **AFFIRM** the dismissal of the complaint. We **DENY AS MOOT** the appellants' motion to dismiss the appeal.