

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-13868
Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 6:14-cr-00248-JA-GJK-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JEAN ROUSSEL ELOI,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Middle District of Florida

(October 13, 2016)

Before TJOFLAT, WILLIAM PRYOR and JILL PRYOR, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Robert Godfrey, appointed appellate counsel for Jean Eloi, has filed a motion to withdraw on appeal, supported by a brief prepared pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967). Our

independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is GRANTED, and Eloi's convictions and sentences are AFFIRMED. All pending motions are DENIED as moot.¹

¹ We acknowledge that Eloi expressed dissatisfaction with trial counsel's performance and that he might wish to argue that his counsel was ineffective. Such claims, however, generally "are not considered for the first time on direct appeal," but rather are best reserved for postconviction proceedings. *United States v. Tyndale*, 209 F. 3d 1292, 1294 (11th Cir. 2000); *see Massaro v. United States*, 538 U.S. 500, 504-05 (2003).