Case: 17-15386 Date Filed: 10/01/2018 Page: 1 of 2

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

| FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| No. 17-15386             |  |

Non-Argument Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 2:16-cr-00568-MHT-CSC-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOSHUA LANG WHIGAN,

Defendant-Appellant.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama

\_\_\_\_

(October 1, 2018)

Before WILSON, BRANCH, and FAY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Case: 17-15386 Date Filed: 10/01/2018 Page: 2 of 2

Joshua Whigan appeals his 180-month sentence for being a felon in possession of ammunition, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1), imposed as the result of his classification as an armed career criminal under the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA), 18 U.S.C. § 924(e). On appeal, he argues that his sentence was a violation of the Eighth Amendment and substantively unreasonable.

We review for plain error when a defendant fails to object to an alleged error before the district court. *See United States v. Raad*, 406 F.3d 1322, 1323 (11th Cir. 2005) (per curiam). When a defendant expressly consents to or affirmatively seeks a district court's decision, he is deemed to have invited any error the court may have made and thus waives appellate review for plain error. *United States v. Brannan*, 562 F.3d 1300, 1306 (11th Cir. 2009).

Whigan waived these challenges to his sentence by requesting the 180-month mandatory minimum sentence that the district court imposed. In any event, our circuit and "every circuit to have considered the issue has concluded that the 15-year minimum mandatory sentence under ACCA is neither disproportionate to the offense nor cruel and unusual punishment." *United States v. Reynolds*, 215 F.3d 1210, 1214 (11th Cir. 2000) (per curiam).

## AFFIRMED.