[DO NOT PUBLISH]

In the

## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fleventh Circuit

No. 23-12197

Non-Argument Calendar

\_\_\_\_\_

FE'ANAH BENT MARYAM,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

CAPSULE CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia D.C. Docket No. 1:23-cv-00975-SDG-JKL

\_\_\_\_\_

## Opinion of the Court

23-12197

Before JILL PRYOR, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM:

This appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. Appellant seeks to appeal from a magistrate judge's (1) paperless May 18 and 30, 2023 orders granting a defendant's motions for extensions of time to respond to the complaint; (2) June 7, 2023 denial of her motion to strike; and (3) June 15, 2023 order instructing her to amend her complaint. However, unless the parties consent to a magistrate judge conducting the entire proceeding, we lack jurisdiction to directly review magistrate judge orders, as an appeal from such an order must be taken to the district court. See United States v. Schultz, 565 F.3d 1353, 1359 (11th Cir. 2009); 28 U.S.C.  $\S$  636(c). It does not appear that the parties have consented to the magistrate judge conducting the entire proceeding in this case. Furthermore, even if the district court ultimately affirms the orders, the subsequent affirmance would not cure the premature notice of appeal. See Perez-Priego v. Alachua Cnty. Clerk of Ct., 148 F.3d 1272, 1273 (11th Cir. 1998).

No petition for rehearing may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir. R. 40-3 and all other applicable rules.

2