


MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT

Docket Number(s): 10-1066 Caption [use short title] _____

Motion for: More time to file reply brief to Appellees, Reply letter briefs.
Set forth below precise, complete statement of relief sought:

Richardson v. Hartford Public Library
10-1066 CV

More time to reply to Appellee Defendant letter, 8/23/10 (Peter A. Janus) reply in lieu of brief. I like 30 (thirty) days to make an Appellant reply brief.



RECEIVED
SEP 23 PM 1:22
CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MOVING PARTY: Maxine Richardson
 Plaintiff Defendant
 Appellant/Petitioner Appellee/Respondent

OPPOSING PARTY: Peter A. Janus

MOVING ATTORNEY: Maxine Richardson
[name of attorney, with firm, address, phone number and e-mail]
286 Meadow Point
Westbrook, CT 06498
maxine.richardson@ecgi.com
860 669-6988 / 860 796-3833

OPPOSING ATTORNEY: Peter A. Janus
Siegel, O'Connor, O'Donnell & Beck, P.C.
150 Trumbull Street
Hartford, CT 06103
pjanus@siegelocannon.com 860 727-8900

Court-Judge/Agency appealed from: U.S. District Court of Connecticut

Please check appropriate boxes:

Has movant notified opposing counsel (required by Local Rule 27.1):
 Yes No (explain): _____

FOR EMERGENCY MOTIONS, MOTIONS FOR STAYS AND INJUNCTIONS PENDING APPEAL:
Has request for relief been made below? Yes No
Has this relief been previously sought in this Court? Yes No
Requested return date and explanation of emergency: _____

Opposing counsel's position on motion:
 Unopposed Opposed Don't Know

Does opposing counsel intend to file a response:
 Yes No Don't Know

Is oral argument on motion requested? Yes No (requests for oral argument will not necessarily be granted)

Has argument date of appeal been set? Yes No If yes, enter date: _____

Signature of Moving Attorney: Maxine Richardson Date: 9/21/10

Has service been effected? Yes No [Attach proof of service]

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the motion is GRANTED DENIED.

FOR THE COURT:
CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, Clerk of Court

Date: _____ By: _____

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

REASON FOR MOTION FOR MORE TIME FOR REPLY BRIEF OF MAXINE RICHARDSON

PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT
CASE NO. 10-1066

9/21/10

US Court of Appeals For Second Circuit
500 Pearls Street
New York, NY 1007

RE: Case. No. 10 -1066 Maxine Richardson vs Hartford Public Library
Pros Se, Appellant Peter A. Janus, Esq. Appellee, Siegel, O'Connor, O'Donnell, & Beck, P. C.

Mrs. Deborah Holmes, Deputy Clerk and To Whom It May Concern;

I have been out of town helping my 93 year old mother in Oklahoma. My mother has trouble walking and seeing.

I received the defendant-Appellee, Peter A. Janus, Esq., reply letter(8/23/10 to my brief several days ago(9/16/20).

I would like more time to reply, another 30 days. Thanking you in advance for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Maxine Richardson

United States of Court of Appeal

Certification of Service

I, *Maxine Richardson* hereby certify under penalty of perjury that on September 21, 2010, I served by US mail a copy of Maxine Richardson's Motion Information Statement for more time to US Courts of Appeals for Second Circuit on Peter A. Janus, Attorney, at the following address:

Siegel, O'Connor, O'Donnell, & Beck, P.C.
150 Trumbull Street
Hartford, CT 06103
860 727-8900
Fax 860 727-5131