

#### 2. Blocked Content

- a. The parties agree that PARTNER will create a list of Blocked Content. "Blocked Content" shall mean any PARTNER content that PARTNER provides to the Fingerprint Vendor and designates as "Blocked" in the metadata associated with the PARTNER fingerprints. This list may be updated by PARTNER from time to time by providing a revised Blocked Content list to the Fingerprint Vendor (as defined below). YouTube/Google shall, acting on PARTNER's behalf, instruct the Fingerprint Vendor to promptly (and, no later than five (5) business days following the receipt by the Fingerprint Vendor of a revised Blocked Content list from, or on behalf of, PARTNER) incorporate any revisions to the Blocked Content list in the metadata provided by the Fingerprint Vendor to YouTube/Google along with the Fingerprint Matches.
- b. PARTNER agrees to designate as "Blocked Content" all PARTNER owned or controlled audio content with respect to which PARTNER has not obtained all necessary rights with respect to use of User Generated Content on the Service, including guild and union payments, synchronization rights and any required reproduction rights (for server copies, hosting, encoding, etc...).

#### 3. <u>User Generated Videos</u>

- a. "User Generated Videos" shall mean those videos on the Video Service that are uploaded by a user of the Video Service and which PARTNER (or its authorized agent) or the Fingerprint Vendor determines contain PARTNER content that is not Blocked Content. For the avoidance of doubt, User Generated Videos shall include (a) Fingerprint Matches (as defined below) that do not match the fingerprint of any Blocked Content and (b) Text-Search Matches (as defined below) determined by PARTNER (or its authorized agent) to contain PARTNER content that is authorized via the Search & Claim Tool for use on the Video Service.
- YouTube/Google shall assign a unique identifier to each User Generated Video.



Highly Confidential

#### II. Monitoring Processes

#### 1. Acoustic Fingerprinting

PARTNER shall deliver (or shall have already delivered) to the Fingerprint Vendor copies of its digital content files or fingerprints of it digital audio content that can be used to detect matching PARTNER content in videos on the Video Service. As of the Effective Date, the Fingerprint Vendor is a third-party company called Audible Magic, but the Fingerprint Vendor could change during the Term at YouTube/Google's sole discretion upon reasonable notice to PARTNER. The Finger Vendor shall store the audio fingerprints of the PARTNER content in the YouTube/Google fingerprint library. PARTNER may deliver additional digital content or audio fingerprints to the Fingerprint Vendor throughout the Term to enhance the YouTube/Google fingerprint library of audio fingerprints. PARTNER acknowledges that the success of the audio fingerprinting efforts depends on PARTNER's delivery of digital files or fingerprints to the Fingerprint Vendor.

As part of the YouTube/Google general submission process for the Video Service, the audio portion of each file an end user attempts to upload to the Video Service shall be extracted from the video file as it is uploaded to the Video Service, and sent to the Fingerprint Vendor to compare against the YouTube/Google fingerprint library.

Any video that contains audio identified as a PARTNER audio recording by the Fingerprint Vendor shall be deemed to be a "Fingerprint Match." The Fingerprint Vendor shall, using the list of Blocked Content provided by or on behalf of PARTNER, identify Fingerprint Matches containing Blocked Content with a specified tag in the applicable fingerprint's metadata. The Fingerprint Vendor shall return Fingerprint Matches to YouTube/Google on an ongoing basis, multiple times throughout each day. For any Fingerprint Match that contains metadata indicating that the audio portion of such video contains Blocked Content, 97% percent of the time the Blocked Content in the audio portion of such video shall be removed from the Video Service within two (2) business days or less.

In the event Fingerprint Matches do not contain metadata indicating that the video contains Blocked Content, the corresponding PARTNER content shall thereafter be deemed to be licensed by PARTNER in connection with the Video Service, and shall be assigned a unique identifier by YouTube/Google in accordance with a mutually agreed process developed by the parties.

YouTube/Google shall run the following "legacy" videos against the Fingerprint Vendor's database of fingerprints on the following schedule:

Action no later Legacy video scan





than	
Launch +120 days	All videos in all categories with over 10,000 views

#### 2. Text & Tag Searching

YouTube/Google shall provide a tool (the "Search & Claim Tool") that gives PARTNER the ability to define in an XML feed to YouTube/Google specific automated metadata text searches (or queries) comprised of PARTNER keywords, in each case, as may be updated by PARTNER from time to time by modifying the queries in the XML feed ("PARTNER Searches"). YouTube/Google shall run such PARTNER Searches at a frequency determined by PARTNER (but no more than once daily). The Search & Claim Tool shall also provide PARTNER with the ability to run PARTNER Searches on a one-off basis, or in connection with a particular event (e.g. relating to a particular actor/artist performance broadcast on a television awards program like the VMA's or Academy Awards). PARTNER may also use the Search & Claim Tool to modify (e.g. change, add or delete) the specific PARTNER Searches at PARTNER's sole discretion. PARTNER may experiment in its sole discretion to optimize the PARTNER Searches. YouTube/Google shall cooperate with PARTNER and use commercially reasonable efforts to work with PARTNER to optimize the PARTNER Searches throughout the Term.

YouTube/Google shall run the PARTNER Searches to locate, on PARTNER's behalf, any videos on the Video Service whose title metadata or tag metadata information matches any PARTNER Search ("Text-Search Match") for the purpose of identifying videos on the Video Service containing PARTNER content and not captured by the Audio Fingerprinting process. The URLs and corresponding thumbnail images for all of the Text-Search Matches shall be available for review by PARTNER (or its designated agent) through the Search & Claim Tool. PARTNER (or its designated agent) may, but shall not be required to, review the videos located at such URLs to determine and designate whether any such videos include audio content, visual content or both that is PARTNER content.

YouTube/Google shall via the Search & Claim Tool enable PARTNER to easily communicate to YouTube/Google that any Text-Search Match contains PARTNER content and shall enable PARTNER to easily make the Election with respect to any such video(s). In the event there is PARTNER content in a video, and PARTNER elects to designate such content as "Blocked Content" hereunder, YouTube/Google shall act expeditiously (i.e. 97% of the time within two (2) business days or less from receipt of such notification) to remove, or disable access to, the Blocked Content. In the event the PARTNER elects to designate such Partner content as licensed for use in connection with the Video Service, the video shall thereafter be deemed to be a User Generated Video under the Agreement and Partner shall be compensated accordingly.





In the event YouTube/Google's removal of PARTNER content from the Video Service as contemplated hereunder results in a "Counter Notice" from an End User that complies with 17 USC Section 512(g)(3) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act claiming in good faith that a mistake occurred and the End User in fact has all necessary rights and permissions to upload the applicable video, PARTNER agrees to cooperate in good faith with YouTube/Google to resolve the dispute appropriately.

YouTube/Google shall create an audio fingerprint corresponding to each video that PARTNER identifies using the Search & Claim Tool as containing PARTNER content (for which the Fingerprint Vendor does not already have a corresponding audio fingerprint), which audio fingerprint shall be added to the YouTube/Google fingerprint library against which all newly uploaded videos will be compared. Any future Fingerprint Matches for that fingerprint shall be accorded the same status (e.g. Blocked Content or User Generated Video) as PARTNER designated through the Search & Claim Tool described in this section.

#### 3. Video Hashing

As part of the YouTube/Google general submission process, each video uploaded to the Video Service shall be compared against a library of hash files stored by YouTube/Google. For any file that has been previously removed from the Video Service at PARTNER's request (because such file contained PARTNER-owned content not authorized for use in connection with the Video Service), additional submissions of an identically hashed file ("Video Match") shall not be made available on the Video Service, unless otherwise agreed by PARTNER in writing. For any file that has been previously designated as a User-Generated Video, additional submissions that are a Video Match will also be designated as a User-Generated Video and treated accordingly under the Agreement.

### 4. Unique Identifiers and Reporting of User-Generated Videos

All User-Generated Videos shall be given a unique identifier that corresponds to the applicable PARTNER content embodied therein, in accordance with a mutually agreed process, which unique identifier shall be reported to PARTNER with all required reporting under this Agreement.

#### 5. Miscellaneous





Throughout the term, PARTNER shall not "claim" through the Search & Claim Tool or include on the Blocked Content list any audio recording that PARTNER does not own and/or control.

To: "David Eun" <deun@google.com> From: "Chris Maxcy" <maxcy@google.com> Cc: Bcc: Received Date: 2007-08-01 09:55:32 CST Subject: TW Summary - Encoding to H.264 Dave. Here is the summary we discussed today on the H.264 encoding question. Please let me know if this is the format you are looking for. Thanks! Chris Summary:

In an effort to support our strategy of making YouTube available anytime, on any screen, YouTube has been building partnerships with leading mobile carriers, handset manufacturers and CE device manufacturers worldwide. At the same time we've also launched a WAP site so users can access YouTube content from mobile phones. In order to deliver content through the WAP site and through IP connected devices like the Apple TV we made the decision to re-encode YouTube files into the H.264 (MPEG-4) format. This is an industry standard format for mobile delivery.

To date the YouTube engineering team has re-encoded approximately 30,000 of the top watched videos on YouTube. The re-encoding process is completely automated - we look for the most watched content and prioritize this for re-encoding. By October 2007 the goal is to have the entire YouTube video catalog encoded into the H.264 format to support our broad Mobile/IPTV efforts.

When we license content from a media company or identify & license content through the Content Management System (audio/video fingerprinting, or text search tool) the partner has the option to disable distribution of their content off of the YouTube.com site. This includes blocking content from the YouTube WAP site or from being displayed on the Apple TV/iPhone. Regardless of where consumers access YouTube (website, Apple TV or the WAP site) all content is delivered in a streaming only format - no downloads are permitted. Content that is removed from the main YouTube site is simultaneously removed from our IPTV and Mobile channels to ensure we protect the rights of content owners.

Chris Maxcy YouTube.com chris@youtube.com

> DATE: 7.28.08 DEPONENT:

EXHIBIT# 2

MAXCY CHRIS

CASE: Viacom, et al., v. YouTube, et al., The Football Association Premier League, et al., v. YouTube, et al., Case Nos. 07-CV-2203 and 07-CV-3582 A. Ignacio Howard, CLR, RPR, CSR No. 9830

To:

1

"Bhanu Narasimhan" <bhanun@google.com>, "Nikesh Arora" |

From:

"David Eun" <deun@google.com>

"Peter Chane" <peterch@google.com>, "Hunter Walk" <hunter@google.com>, Co: <dfischer@google.com>, "Dave Eun" <deun@google.com>, "John Herlihy" <johnh@google.com>, "William Kipp" <wkipp@google.com>, "Jennifer Feikin" <jfeikin@google.com>, "Susan Wojcicki" < "Nikhil Bhatla" <nikhil@google.com>

Bcc:

Received Date:

2006-03-08 18:25:03 CST

Subject:

RE: Video Ops Update

Thanks, Bhanu.

Nikesh et al, given the concerns that content owners have about properly screening for illegal content and our rapidly increasing base of user-submitted content in general, I thought it'd be helpful to have a clear view on what affirmative measures Bhanu and her team are taking today - and what they plan to do going forward.

We should all keep in mind that as more user-submitted content increases, our ability to screen for copyright infringements will become increasingly important - not just from a partnership standpoint but from a pure legal standpoint. At some point, the complaints/concerns we receive could turn into lawsuits from deep pocketed content owners, or a class of them. We need to make sure that the tools and capabilities we have to filter content continue to keep pace with developments to our Video strategy, as we readjust allocations of time and resources across all the functional areas involved...

Dave

From: Bhanu Narasimhan [mailto:bhanun@google.com] Sent: Wednesday, March 08, 2006 9:59 AM To: Nikash Arora

Co: Peter Chane; Hunter Walk; Patrick Walker; Ethan Achierour, David School Sch Cc: Peter Chane; Hunter Walk; Patrick Walker, Ethan Anderson; David

Hi Nikesh,

As you may already know, I am managing the video opsiteam here in MV. I have a released was chatting with Dave Eun yesterday, giving him an overview of our tools and processes, and he mentioned that perhaps I could email you some information on this as well so you have better visibility into what we are curretly doing and what our plans are for the future. I have

EXHIBIT

Highly Confidential

G00001-00923210

included highlights below, and am also enclosing some slides that I shared with Dave a couple of weeks ago that contain an overview of video and video ops. Please feel free to email me any additional questions that you may have.

Thanks, 8hanu Google Video Current State US Product, US Policies Apply Video is currently a US/.com product with IP restrict in china and India. We removed IP restrict recently in Fr, DE, Korea. Currently our team is working solely under US policies and applicable copyright laws, however, we are committed to honoring the rights of copyright owners and passed as a second s worldwide. रेसामानायाः, वयः अनुस्ति सः तः स्वयन्त्रः है। स्वयन्त्रः) दे हेर्स्याः दुश्यन्त्रामा पर्न्तः सुक्रम्याप्ताः स्वयन्त्रयः, वयः अस्ति स्वर्तावस्ति । मात्रः १ वर्षः स्वयन्त्रः सुक्रमा स्वर्तान्त्रस्य स्वर्तान्त्रस्य स्वर् Content Reviewed in US Only Online and standard partner uploaded content currently gets reviewed to a content of the content only in the US. Our team sees thumbnails only, no audio. We disapprove for policy (pom, violence, etc.) or copyright - content that is not recognized by reviewer (like bollywood movies, european shows, etc) is approvable. Takedowns Based on DMCA, User/Googler input We rely on content owners sending us DMCA complaints to takedown copyright content. We review emails sent to us by users and review videos for possible policy violations. We also have an internal sparrow page that googlers can leverage to bring content to our attention we review this content and takedown if appropriate. We try to notify the uploader that their content was taken down soon after the fact. We also give uploaders info on how to counter complain if they think they do in fact own copyright to their video.

Part Time Dublin Team Fielding Complaints and Identifying Infringing Content

When we removed IP restrict in Fr, DE, we also trained and assigned 3 part time resources from the online ops team in Dublin to help with user/government complaints so we could provide more speedy resolution.

These people work for Bill Kipp and are trained in policy/copyright related emails and takedowns.

We are currently leveraging this part time team to identify infringing UK/European content in the index and asking them to add the URLs to our sparrow page. We have just begun taking this content down. In the meantime, the BBC has recently been sending us DMCA complaints (nearly 200 videos thus far)

Google Video Future State

Google Video Engineering Currently Working on Tools to Protect Content Owners

Enhanced Google Operations tools [incremental releases over next 4-6 weeks]
 The property of the property is a fulfile of the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property in the property is a fulfile of the property in the property i

Increased ease of use and accuracy via the presentation of video meta data into the review process, highlighting of family unsafe words, using metadata to find similar files already live or that have been previously removed from GV. Creation of a takedown tool to speed the removal of problematic videos once they are live. Tracking violations by uploader to facilitate dosing accounts of serial violators.

- 2) Technical copyright/duplication file detection [next 1-3 months] introducing activities into the review pipeline which will compare the audio and/or video of uploaded files against canonical content already live within GV (or potentially even against premium content not live with GV but in an authoritative DB we can compare against). These reviews will potentially automatically disapprove matches or raise a flag for manual review.
- 3) Auto-DMCA request tool [first release by EOM]
  Permissioned partners will be able to flag videos in the GV UI and electronically submit these in batches to Google as DMCA takedown requests.

Full Time Dublin Ops Team Upon Localization

When we localize video and launch in Europe, we will have a fulltime team in Dublin (probably one person per language) that will handle the full spectrum of video reviews, emails and takedowns. The review tool will have European queues so that content uploaded in Europe gets reviewed by Dublin. We are also working with the legal teams to localize our help content and CRs for European laws, and our steps may vary somewhat from the US. The MV team will train the Dublin team and ensure things get off the ground smoothly.

#### 

**CODED FIELDS:** 

BEGDOC: GOO001-00507525 ENDDOC: GOO001-00507532 BEGATTACH: GOO001-00507525 ENDATTACH: GOO001-00507532

**RECORDTYPE:** E-File

CUSTODIAN: Dunton\_(Maryrose)-GOONDCE000001612

DATASETID: GOONDCE000001612

MASTER\_DATE: 01/25/2006 SENTON\_DATE\_TIME:

CREATE\_DATE\_TIME: 01/25/2006:03:20:56 LASTMOD\_DATE\_TIME: 01/25/2006:03:20:56

RECEIVED DATE TIME:

1

AUTHOR:

TO: CC: BCC:

SUBJECT\_TITLE: tunawarrior (2006-01-24).html

ORIGINALSOURCE:

NATIVEPATH: FILEEXT: html

FILENAME: tunawarrior (2006-01-24).html-GOONDCE000001612

FILESIZE: 35829

MD5HASH: 461e529cf645ec76295156c819523baf

DATE: 8.22.08

EXHIBIT# 3

DANTON, MARYROSE
CASE: Viacom, et al., v. YouTube, et al., The Football
Association Premier League, et al., v. YouTube, et al.,
Case Nos. 07-CV-2203 and 07-CV-3582

A. Ignacio Howard, CLR, RPR, CSR No. 9830

### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 2 of 9

### 12:09:39 AM tunawarrior:

everyone's talking to us

#### 12:10:05 AM maryrosedunton:

hah. oh totally. but ya, since the

team is getting larger and larger, you can't really

openly admit to that

#### 12:10:21 AM maryrosedunton:

people freak out

#### 12:10:24 AM tunawarrior:

if i were running the show. i'd

say, we concentrate all of our efforts in building up

our numbers as aggressively as we can through whatever tactics,

however evil

#### 12:10:30 AM tunawarrior:

ie, scraping myspace

#### 12:10:33 AM maryrosedunton:

#### 12:10:38 AM tunawarrior:

but just get user metrics up, views

up, keep scaling out.

#### 12:10:47 AM tunawarrior:

then 3 months, sell it with 20m views

per day and like 2m users or

somethihng.

#### 12:10:57 AM tunawarrior:

because my fear is, in 3 months.

#### 12:11:02 AM tunawarrior:

to keep up with this growth.

#### 12:11:05 AM tunawarrior:

things are going to get so out of control.

#### 12:11:14 AM tunawarrior:

we're going to be pushing like 10% of the traffic that google pushes or

something.

#### 12:11:20 AM tunawarrior:

with 1/1000th the budget.

#### 12:11:24 AM maryrosedunton:

blah

#### 12:11:37 AM tunawarrior:

hahaha

#### 12:11:39 AM tunawarrior:

what's that blah mean

#### 12:11:46 AM tunawarrior:

think i'm pessimistic?

#### 12:12:02 AM maryrosedunton:

hah...oh no, I just mean that's a crazy amount of traffic

#### 12:12:06 AM tunawarrior:

see, i think we can sell for somewhere

between \$250m - \$500m.

#### 12:12:08 AM tunawarrior:

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 3 of 9

in the next 3 months.

#### 12:12:16 AM tunawarrior:

and there \*is\* a potential to get to \$1b or something.

#### 12:12:28 AM tunawarrior:

but, man, that's going to take like 2 years of hard work and probably dilution and getting another round of funding.

### 12:12:29 AM maryrosedunton:

and honestly, I can't imagine we could make enough just in advertising to cover operating costs for something that large

#### 12:13:56 AM tunawarrior:

ya, none of these internet companies that get a lot of traffic with a revenue model based on purely advertising make that much money.

#### 12:14:02 AM tunawarrior:

thinking like friendster and even myspace

#### 12:14:20 AM tunawarrior:

i think it has to be a more unique model

#### 12:14:25 AM tunawarrior:

like google's is unique to google

#### 12:14:38 AM tunawarrior:

i \*think\* we can try to pull off
something like that but still highly risky

#### 12:14:54 AM maryrosedunton:

ya, and a lot of time, and a lot of work.

#### 12:16:14 AM tunawarrior:

hmm we're beating friendster nowadays
in traffic

#### 12:18:04 AM maryrosedunton:

nice. hrm, but you know, i still think to make us attractive to someone purchasing us, we've got to really build up users

#### 12:18:39 AM tunawarrior:

agreed

#### 12:18:52 AM tunawarrior:

and user retention

#### 12:19:05 AM tunawarrior:

in ways other than just returning to watch more videos

#### 12:19:26 AM maryrosedunton:

yup. the views are awesome. but I don't know how much that's really worth to someone at the end of the day

#### 12:20:14 AM maryrosedunton:

oh shit. we passed flickr too

#### 12:20:16 AM tunawarrior:

oh i personally think it's still highly valuable

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 4 of 9

#### 12:20:18 AM tunawarrior:

but

#### 12:20:30 AM tunawarrior:

i think there are some easy things we can do for the next 3 months

#### 12:20:33 AM tunawarrior:

that will make us worth a lot more

#### 12:20:37 AM tunawarrior:

using the huge # of uunique visitors

#### 12:20:42 AM tunawarrior:

as a foundation to build from

#### 12:21:37 AM maryrosedunton:

right. I'm actually pleased with the groups stuff, I wasn't sure how that would

#### 12:21:45 AM tunawarrior:

oh i love it

#### 12:22:00 AM tunawarrior:

i didn't really take a look at it much pre-push except in passing on the QA playground

#### 12:22:04 AM tunawarrior:

but i'm happy that people are using i+

#### 12:22:10 AM maryrosedunton:

ya, totally

#### 12:22:11 AM tunawarrior:

tthe profile stuff will be cool too

#### 12:22:30 AM maryrosedunton:

agreed. oh, did you see my email about the blog stuff. I do think Mike has a good point actually

#### 12:22:51 AM maryrosedunton:

and wonder if we want to reinvent the wheel with all that stuff?

#### 12:22:55 AM maryrosedunton:

(the blog stuff)

#### 12:23:04 AM tunawarrior:

hmm

#### 12:23:09 AM tunawarrior:

the only point that i'd contend with

#### 12:23:14 AM tunawarrior:

for every person using the blog functionality

#### 12:23:19 AM tunawarrior:

we'd be getting more user generated content

#### 12:23:27 AM tunawarrior:

and that person will want others to read it, so on, that cycle

#### 12:24:21 AM maryrosedunton:

right, but I wonder if we would gain

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 5 of 9

more users from integrating with livejournal, instead of trying to duplicate them?

#### 12:24:43 AM tunawarrior:

right, ya i see that point too

#### 12:25:04 AM tunawarrior:

but the bulk of the user generated content that these guys are spending 30+

minutes to write each day

#### 12:25:08 AM tunawarrior:

is going to be hosted elsewhere,

hm

#### 12:25:13 AM tunawarrior:

well, i can go either way

#### 12:25:16 AM tunawarrior:

if it can get us to market faster

#### 12:25:30 AM tunawarrior:

i really like the whole dropdown to integrate address book thing

#### 12:25:55 AM tunawarrior:

wondering if we can put rizzo on like

#### 12:26:04 AM tunawarrior:

permanent, small-features, going out next week.

#### 12:26:11 AM tunawarrior:

and he'll always be working on the live branch.

#### 12:26:28 AM maryrosedunton:

oh probably.

#### 12:26:51 AM maryrosedunton:

hrm. the premium content provider stuff though, do we want that going out on the live branch?

#### 12:26:58 AM maryrosedunton:

that's on rizzo's plate

#### 12:27:03 AM tunawarrior:

hm it's pretty big, isn't it?

#### 12:27:31 AM maryrosedunton:

actually, the flow isn't really all that complicated. it's just rizzo needs to figure out how to deal with the images.

#### 12:27:46 AM tunawarrior:

ah right.

#### 12:27:47 AM maryrosedunton:

allowing users to upload images for their watch pages and profile

#### 12:28:12 AM tunawarrior:

ya big problems to tackle seeing as how we're already having so much trouble serving out the images on our current machines.

#### 12:28:19 AM tunawarrior:

it's funny how we have no problems serving out videos

The following is a true and correct copy of this instant message conversation as produced by Defendants.

### 

```
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:07:34 AM/ span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">man, we better start making some revenue soon</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:08:05 AM/span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:08:23 AM/span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span> class="message">not too bad but they will get bad as soon as we start doing everything to scale
out</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:08:30 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:08:40 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">building out our own data center, cdn, serverbeach. and to keep up the
growth.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:08:43 AM
span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">oh let me see how we did yesterday.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:08:59 AM/ span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">my sort of opinion is that.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:09:02 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:09:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">...</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:09:15 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">haha...but 'we're not for sale' :-P</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:09:31 AM
</span>class="message">ya i told chad that we should consider it</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:09:37 AM
</span>class="message">i mean, microsoft, google, yahoo</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:09:39 AM
/span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">everyone's talking to us</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:10:05 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>hah. oh totally. but ya. since the team is getting larger and larger, you can't really
openly admit to that</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:10:21 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:10:24 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">if i were running the show. i'd say, we concentrate all of our efforts in building up
our numbers as aggressively as we can through whatever tactics, however evil</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:10:30 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">ie, scraping myspace</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:10:33 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">ya</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:10:38 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></div></div></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:10:47 AM/ span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">then 3 months, sell it with 20m views per day and like 2m users or
something.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:10:57 AM/ span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">because my fear is, in 3 months.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:02 AM/
</span>class="message">to keep up with this growth.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:05 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span>class="message">things are going to get so out of control.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:14 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span> class="message">we're going to be pushing like 10% of the traffic that google pushes or
something.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:20 AM/ <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">with 1/1000th the budget.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:11:24 AM
</span></div>
```

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 8 of 9

```
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:37 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">hahaha</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:39 AM/ </p
</span>class="message">what's that blah mean</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:11:46 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">think i'm pessimistic?</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:12:02 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>hah...oh no, I just mean that's a crazy amount of traffic</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:12:06 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">see, i think we can sell for somewhere between $250m - $500m.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:12:08 AM
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:12:16 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">and there *is* a potential to get to $1b or something.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:12:28 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
getting another round of funding.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:12:29 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
operating costs for something that large</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:13:56 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></span>
model based on purely advertising make that much money.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:14:02 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>re class="message">thinking like friendster and even myspace</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:14:20 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">i think it has to be a more unique model </div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:14:25 AM/ span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">like google's is unique to google</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:14:38 AM
</span>class="message">i *think* we can try to pull off something like that but still highly risky</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:14:54 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">ya, and a lot of time, and a lot of work.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:16:14 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">hmm we're beating friendster nowadays in traffic</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:18:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
us, we've got to really build up users</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:18:39 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">agreed</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:18:52 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:19:05 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>in ways other than just returning to watch more videos</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:19:26 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton;
</span>class="message">yup. the views are awesome. but I don't know how much that's really worth to
someone at the end of the day</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:20:14 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">oh shit. we passed flickr too</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:16 AM
</span>class="message">oh i personally think it's still highly valuable</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:18 AM/span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">but</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:30 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">i think there are some easy things we can do for the next 3 months</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:33 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">that will make us worth a lot more</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:37 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior;
```

### 

</span></div>

```
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:42 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">as a foundation to build from</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:21:37 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">right. I'm actually pleased with the groups stuff, I wasn't sure how that would
do</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:21:45 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:22:00 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">i didn't really take a look at it much pre-push except in passing on the QA
playground</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:22:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior;
</span></span>class="message">but i'm happy that people are using it</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:22:10 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">ya, totally</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:22:11 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:22:30 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">agreed. oh, did you see my email about the blog stuff. I do think Mike has a
good point actually</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:22:51 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">and wonder if we want to reinvent the wheel with all that stuff?</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:22:55 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:09 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">the only point that i'd contend with is</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:14 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span>class="message">for every person using the blog functionality</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:19 AM
</span>class="message">we'd be getting more user generated content</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:27 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">and that person will want others to read it, so on, that cycle</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:24:21 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">right, but I wonder if we would gain more users from integrating with livejournal,
instead of trying to duplicate them? </div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:24:43 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">right, ya i see that point too</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">but the bulk of the user generated content that these guys are spending 30+
minutes to write each day</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:08 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">is going to be hosted elsewhere, hm</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:13 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:16 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior;
</span>class="message">if it can get us to market faster</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:30 AM
span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></span></div></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:55 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">wondering if we can put rizzo on like</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:26:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:26:11 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">and he'll always be working on the live branch.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:26:28 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">oh probably. </div>
```

## A - 850

#### 

**CODED FIELDS:** 

BEGDOC: GOO001-00507535 ENDDOC: GOO001-00507540 BEGATTACH: GOO001-00507535 ENDATTACH: GOO001-00507540

RECORDTYPE: E-File

CUSTODIAN: Dunton (Maryrose)-GOONDCE000001612

DATASETID: GOONDCE000001612

MASTER\_DATE: 02/28/2006 SENTON DATE TIME:

CREATE\_DATE\_TIME: 02/28/2006:07:51:49 LASTMOD DATE TIME: 02/28/2006:07:51:49

RECEIVED DATE TIME:

PARENTFOLDER: HAL-SB-0207\1 Customer\Macintosh HD\Users\maryrose\Library\Application Support\Adium 2.0\Users\Default\Logs\AIM.maryrosedunton\tunawarrior-GOONDCE000001612

**AUTHOR:** 

TO: CC: BCC:

SUBJECT\_TITLE: tunawarrior (2006-02-27).html

ORIGINALSOURCE: NATIVEPATH: FILEEXT: html

FILENAME: tunawarrior (2006-02-27).html-GOONDCE000001612

FILESIZE: 24265

MD5HASH: e38111c769642284cc539cd2fe3acff6

DATE: 3.22.07
DEPONENT:

EXHIBIT# 5

DUNTO N, MARYROSE CASE: Viacom, et al., v. YouTube, et al., The Football Association Premier League, et al., v. YouTube, et al.,

Case Nos. 07-CV-2203 and 07-CV-3582

A. Ignacio Howard, CLR, RPR, CSR No. 9830

1

```
hah. god, I still keep 1/2 expecting us to hit a ceiling...to plateau - but it doesn't seem like it's going to happen
```

#### 12:21:37 AM tunawarrior:

i have been thinking a little differently about it. i was thinking, maybe we're doing so well on all the rankings and registrations is that we may be fundamentally different from all these other websites, as in.

#### 12:21:46 AM tunawarrior:

we don't really provide a service like ebay/google/craigslist

#### 12:22:18 AM tunawarrior:

but we're the first mass entertainment thing accessible through the internet. uhm, like we're some kind of convergence thing between tv/internet.

#### 12:22:53 AM maryrosedunton:

yup. I spent like an two hours today
just zoned out watching videos

#### 12:23:05 AM tunawarrior:

dude, watch your email in about 2 minutes

#### 12:23:07 AM tunawarrior:

these numbers are amazing

#### 12:23:08 AM tunawarrior:

today

#### 12:23:14 AM maryrosedunton:

hah...cool.

#### 12:24:13 AM maryrosedunton:

oh, I totally agree on the convergence thing - it sounds sort of dorky, but I really do think we're revolutionizing entertainment

#### 12:24:39 AM maryrosedunton:

I run into more and more random people who are huge fans of ours

#### 12:24:51 AM tunawarrior:

oh, exactly. re: revolutionizing
entertainment

#### 12:25:04 AM tunawarrior:

haha, it does sound dorky but i think it has just been over-used by other companies who have attempted to do it.

#### 12:26:20 AM maryrosedunton:

ya, totally. oh man, powers was telling me about all this cool collaborative filtering/recommendations stuff he built for slide - and it's never been used. max doesn't think that's the right direction for the product or something.

#### 12:26:40 AM tunawarrior:

right, i was sort of thinking. like while it's awesome that we're kicking ass on alexa and we're rising on the charts.

#### 12:26:54 AM maryrosedunton:

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-33 Filed 03/18/10 Page 3 of 8

#### hah

#### 12:26:59 AM tunawarrior:

but i think we're bigger than the internet, that we should be comparing ourselves to, say, abc/fox/whatever.

#### 12:27:00 AM maryrosedunton:

I like the steve mike thing you put in there

#### 12:27:40 AM maryrosedunton:

f-ing a. look at the numbers from 2/1 to now

#### 12:27:52 AM tunawarrior:

ya

#### 12:27:55 AM tunawarrior:

like a 150% increase

#### 12:27:59 AM tunawarrior:

and!!

#### 12:28:06 AM tunawarrior:

the thing is, still, a ton of people have never heard of us.

#### 12:28:20 AM tunawarrior:

if we can keep up the scaling and make some revenue

### 12:28:44 AM maryrosedunton:

oh, I know, although I meet more and more people who have heard of us now

#### 12:28:49 AM tunawarrior:

who wouldn't use us? potentially better entertainment than cable and you don't have to pay for it, you can talk to other users about it, you can create your own channels, so on so

#### 12:29:29 AM maryrosedunton:

yup. registrations doubled this month, but I still feel like we're lacking there

#### 12:29:51 AM tunawarrior:

hahaha. it's a little funny, being in the product side of youtube, isn't it?

#### 12:29:59 AM tunawarrior:

it's like, you have to look at these charts and numbers and see where we can improve

#### 12:30:03 AM tunawarrior:

when this is like unprecedented growth

#### 12:30:04 AM tunawarrior:

for any company

#### 12:30:19 AM maryrosedunton:

hah. ya, totally. you still have to always focus on where we can do better

### 

#### 12:37:26 AM maryrosedunton:

oh, so by the way, after our discussion on friday, I did a little exercise on friday and went through all the most viewed/most dicussed/top favorites/top rated to try and figure out what percentage is or has copyrighted material. it was over 70%

#### 12:38:55 AM maryrosedunton:

hah. shit. never mind

#### 12:39:12 AM tunawarrior:

hahaha

#### 12:39:21 AM tunawarrior:

maryrose, you're fired.

#### 12:39:43 AM maryrosedunton:

oh, what I meant to say is after I found that 70%, I went and flagged it all for review

#### 12:39:57 AM tunawarrior:

oh! of course.

#### 12:40:36 AM maryrosedunton:

because we've got to work towards having a site 100% free of copyrighted material, ya know.

#### 12:40:54 AM tunawarrior:

yes, error on the side of protecting copyrights. at all costs.

#### 12:41:12 AM maryrosedunton:

too bad micah doesn't agree with us. we might have to fire him.

#### 12:41:48 AM tunawarrior:

yes, sticking to our in-house 1 strike per employee policy.

#### 12:41:55 AM tunawarrior:

love the guy to death, but rules are rules.

#### tunawarrior disconnected (1:41:46 AM)

### 11:51:48 PM tunawarrior:

http://www.apple.com/downloads/dashboard/

The following is a true and correct copy of this instant message conversation as produced by Defendants.

#### Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 214-33 Filed 03/18/10 Page 6 of 8

```
</span>class="message">haha</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:26 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">what if...</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:27 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">we beat myspace</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:20:30 AM</pan> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">by the end of march</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:20:52 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">hah. god, I still keep 1/2 expecting us to hit a ceiling...to plateau - but it doesn't
seem like it's going to happen</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:21:37 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">i have been thinking a little differently about it. i was thinking, maybe we're doing
so well on all the rankings and registrations is that we may be fundamentally different from all these other
websites, as in.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:21:46 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior.
</span>we don't really provide a service like ebay/google/craigslist</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:22:18 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></span></p
uhm, like we're some kind of convergence thing between tv/internet.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:22:53 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">yup. I spent like an two hours today just zoned out watching videos</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:05 AM
</span>/span>/span>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:07 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">these numbers are amazing</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:23:08 AM
</span></div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:23:14 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:24:13 AM</span> <span class="sender">manyrosedunton:
</span>class="message">oh, I totally agree on the convergence thing - it sounds sort of dorky, but I really
do think we're revolutionizing entertainment</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:24:39 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">I run into more and more random people who are huge fans of ours</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:24:51 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">oh, exactly. re: revolutionizing entertainment</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:25:04 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">haha, it does sound dorky but it hink it has just been over-used by other
companies who have attempted to do it.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:26:20 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></span> class="message">ya, totally. oh man, powers was telling me about all this cool collaborative
filtering/recommendations stuff he built for slide - and it's never been used. max doesn't think that's the right
direction for the product or something. </div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:26:40 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
class="message">right, i was sort of thinking. like while it's awesome that we're kicking ass on
alexa and we're rising on the charts.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:26:54 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:26:59 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">but i think we're bigger than the internet, that we should be comparing ourselves
to, say, abc/fox/whatever.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:27:00 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">| like the steve mike thing you put in there</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:27:40 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">f-ing a look at the numbers from 2/1 to now</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:27:52 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></gre></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:27:55 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
```

### 

```
</span>class="message">like a 150% increase</div>
 <div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:27:59 AM
 </span></div>
 <div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:28:06 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
 </span>class="message">the thing is, still, a ton of people have never heard of us.</div>
 <div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:28:20 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
 </span>="message">if we can keep up the scaling and make some revenue</div>
 <div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:28:44 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
 </span>class="message">oh, I know, although I meet more and more people who have heard of us
now</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:28:49 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">who wouldn't use us? potentially better entertainment than cable and you don't
have to pay for it, you can talk to other users about it, you can create your own channels, so on so
on.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:29:29 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">yup. registrations doubled this month, but I still feel like we're lacking
there</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:29:51 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">hahaha. it's a little funny, being in the product side of youtube, isn't
it?</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:29:59 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">it's like, you have to look at these charts and numbers and see where we can
improve</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:30:03 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:30:04 AM
<span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:30:19 AM</span> <span class="sender">marvrosedunton:
</span>class="message">hah. ya, totally. you still have to always focus on where we can do
better</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:37:26 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">oh, so by the way, after our discussion on friday, I did a little exercise on friday
and went through all the most viewed/most dicussed/top favorites/top rated to try and figure out what percentage
is or has copyrighted material. it was over 70%</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:38:55 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">hah. shit. never mind</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:39:12 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">hahaha</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:39:21 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>c/span>class="message">maryrose, you're fired </div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:39:43 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">oh, what I meant to say is after I found that 70%, I went and flagged it all for
review</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:39:57 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span></div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:40:36 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">because we've got to work towards having a site 100% free of copyrighted
material, ya know.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:40:54 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">yes, error on the side of protecting copyrights. at all costs.</div>
<div class="send"><span class="timestamp">12:41:12 AM</span> <span class="sender">maryrosedunton:
</span>class="message">too bad micah doesn't agree with us. we might have to fire him.</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:41:48 AM
<span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>class="message">yes, sticking to our in-house 1 strike per employee policy </div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">12:41:55 AM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
</span>love the guy to death, but rules are rules.</div>
<div class="status">tunawarrior disconnected (1:41:46 AM)</div>
<div class="receive"><span class="timestamp">11:51:48 PM</span> <span class="sender">tunawarrior:
```

</span>class="message"><a href="http://www.apple.com/downloads/dashboard/"
title="http://www.apple.com/downloads/dashboard/">http://www.apple.com/downloads/dashboard/</div>