Discovered - 0 Pending - 25 Verified - 0 Discarded - 0

As of 2 AM on Monday Jun 16, RADAR has detected no new sources claiming to be Spiderwick Chronicles. We have verified no releases, discarded no releases, and are in the process of finding download sources for all other releases. Please refer to individual RADAR Alerts for potential new detections not covered in this report period.

Infringements:

P2P - 1505 Non P2P - 0 Streaming - 0 Cumulative - 205448

Top Protocols:

eDonkey - 1028 BitTorrent - 477

Top Countries:

Germany - 807 United Kingdom - 106 Israel - 81 USA - 50 France - 47

Regards,

Elliott Kohtz
BayTSP, Inc.
Client Service Support
Elliottk@baytsp.com
AIM: elliottbaytsp
Direct: 408.341.2320

Save the date! Join us for "One World 2008: Managing Piracy in the Global Village" - BayTSP's 5th

Annual Anti-Piracy Conference September 15-17th! Join us! The information contained in this email message may be confidential and is intended only for the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent of same, please notify us of the mistake by telephone (408-341-2300) or email and delete the message from your system. Please do not copy the message or distribute it to anyone. This message was prepared at the request of counsel.

Attached is a complete list of usernames white-listed for MTVN

The following usernames were in CIMS before Andrea started in a rule called VIRAL PLACEMENT:

Wiredset thatisalsofunny thatsfunny

Since then we have added these:

Blunty3000

2008classicawards

The following usernames were in CIMS before Andrea in a rule called MTVN Partners:

BadBoyRecords

bestweekevertv

blacktreemedia

bpfrecords

bravenewfilms

bullrunvideo

CBS

DamonJohnson

digitalfilmmaker

FutureWorld77

irenemariemodels

Isitfridayyet

jerseymouth1

ladyfragment

laurenceegibbs

LiberalViewer

reaction2006

reno911miami

shishka

Snackboard

SpikeTV

thesparksfly

TNAWrestling

TXCANY

universalmusicgroup

vh1staff

Victorweb

Vlogging

Wiredset

Complete MTVN white-list

ArtisanNewsService

BAAFamily
BadBoyRecords
barelypolitical
BdubEtv
bestweekevertv
blacktreemedia
bpfrecords

BroadwayJoe BroadwayJoe415

bravenewfilms

bullrunvideo

CBS

ChannelFrederator

cimatics

Damonjohnson digitalfilmmaker duke12085 Edwardtubbs fanscapevideos FanscapeVideos4U FiveChemical freeforlife112 FreshTakes FutureWorld77

HGiantVid irenemariemodels

Isitfridayyet

GossipGirl40

JackassWorldMTV

jerseymouth1 ladyfragment

Lakeshore Records

lakeshoreent lakeshorerecords laurenceegibbs LiberalViewer livinglegend

menudo

MyDamnChannel OfficialReno911 Paraccount

Paramount

Paramount Pictures

ParamountPictures

ParentsConnect

ParkMyVIbe

PinkStrawberry

PinkStrawberry1

powmadeak47

reaction2006

reno911miami

rollingoutTELEVISION

RunsHouseVideos

selfmag shishka Snackboard spiketv SpikeTV TDSwriters teodorafilm thatisalsofunny thatsfunny Thatsnotfunny themoviemonkey thesparksfly TNAWrestling

TVgasmdotcom TXCANY

tomato808

turningthebig40

unversalmusicgroup

vh1staff Victorweb virtualmtv VLogging Wiredset IrenaZilberman Blunty3000

2008classicawards

Housley, Michael

From:

Sean Ray [seanr@baytsp.com]

Sent:

Thursday, May 14, 2009 1:06 PM

To:

Housley, Michael

Cc:

Elliott Kohtz

Subject:

RE: White-list

Attachments: Paramount Video White-list.xls

Mike,

Here is the Paramount White-list spreadsheet.

Regards,

Sean Ray

Client Service Manager

BayTSP, Inc.

408-341-2384 (Direct)

408-656-6067 (Mobile)

408-341-2399 (Fax)

AIM Handle: seanray13

seanr@baytsp.com

www.baytsp.com

Attorney Client Privileged Work Product The information contained in this email message may be confidential and is intended only for the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent of same, please notify us of the mistake by telephone or email and delete the message from your system. Please do not copy the message or distribute it to anyone.

From: Housley, Michael [mailto:Michael.Housley@viacom.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2009 8:28 AM

To: Sean Ray Cc: Elliott Kohtz

Subject: RE: White-list

Thanks, Sean. When you have a chance, please send me the current Paramount white-list.

From: Sean Ray [mailto:seanr@baytsp.com] Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 12:44 PM

To: Housley, Michael Cc: Elliott Kohtz

Subject: RE: White-list

Mike,

YouTube user jimgaffigancomic has been added to the filters in BVM and CIMS. User eutube had already been added.

For your records I have attached the current Cleared User spreadsheet. I know I have not sent you and updated spreadsheet for quite some time.

Regards,
Sean Ray
Client Service Manager
BayTSP, Inc.
408-341-2384 (Direct)
408-656-6067 (Mobile)
408-341-2399 (Fax)
AIM Handle: seanray13
seanr@baytsp.com
www.baytsp.com

Attorney Client Privileged Work Product The information contained in this email message may be confidential and is intended only for the parties to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or an agent of same, please notify us of the mistake by telephone or email and delete the message from your system. Please do not copy the message or distribute it to anyone.

From: Housley, Michael [mailto:Michael.Housley@viacom.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 8:52 AM

To: Sean Ray Cc: Elliott Kohtz Subject: White-list

Sean,

If we have not already done so, please white-list the following username.

jimgaffigancomic

Thanks,

Mike

Updated: 5/12/09



	Non-Viral & Not Classified	
ArtisanNewsService	Lakeshore Records	themoviemonkey
BAAFamily	lakeshoreent	ThePDEtube
BadBoyRecords	lakeshorerecords	thesparksfly
BarackObama.com	laurenceegibbs	TNAWrestling
barelypolitical	LiberalViewer	tomato808
BdubEtv	livinglegend	turningthebig40
bestweekeverty	MediocreFilms	TVgasmdotcom
	menudo	TXCANY
blacktreemedia	MALIONAL MARKETON	
bpfrecords	mirandacosgrove	unversalmusicgroup
bravenewfilms	MultiVuOnline	upinl
BroadwayJoe	MyDamnChannel	VenetianPrincess
BroadwayJoe415	nalts	vh1staff
bullrunvideo	NRDCflix	Victorweb
CBS	OfficialReno911	virtualmtv
ChannelFrederator	Paraccount	VLogging
charlestrippy	Paramount	VoteRonWhite
cimatics	Paramount Pictures	waverlyflams
collegehumor	ParamountFrance	waverlyflams
Damonjohnson	ParamountPictures	Wiredset
dasDing	ParentsConnect	yogabbagabba
digitalfilmmaker	ParkMyVIbe	
DionneTv	parrygripp	
duke12085	parrygrippradio	
Edwardtubbs	PinkStrawberry	
egamimedia	PinkStrawberry1	
Equality4All	powermadeak47dotcom	
eutube	powmadeak47	
fanscapevideos	reaction2006	
FanscapeVideos4U	reno911miami	
FiveChemical	rollingoutTELEVISION	
freeforlife112	RunsHouseVideos	
FreshTakes	selfmag	
FutureWorld77	shaycarl	
Gadzoo01	shishka	
GossipGirl40	Snackboard	
HGiantVid	spiketv	
IrenaZilberman	SpikeTV	
irenemariemodels	StarTrekLeFilm	
ISATKM	sugarscaper	
Isitfridayyet	TDSwriters	
JackassWorldMTV	teodorafilm	
jerseymouth1	thatisalsofunny	
jimgaffigancomic	thatsfunny	
JohnMcCain.com	Thatsnotfunny	
ladyfragment	TheDocklands	
	oboomando	

Viral White-list
2008classicawards
alienatepeople
Blunty3000
fanscapemtv
funnyvids222
luvinthen
mahalodotcom
mtvfanscape
MTVPressClips
newrealitytv
NickKCA
ParamountGermany
paramountpictureshow
PPUKPictureShow
upinl
vcr345
Wiredsetvideo

Paramount Video White-list

as of 4/30/09

	Non-Viral & Unknown	
PinkStrawberry1	fueltv	redrawndog
"FXLover"	fueltvoap	reno911miami
adultswimdotcouk	FUELTVSHOWMEYOURID	reyesdelacalle
AlvinDanceSteps	FutureWorld77	senha: senharei
AlwaysSunnyFX	FXDirt	shishka
Arkanet	FXTheRiches	Simpsonsmoviechannel
AustinOz06	giagirl	simpsonsofilme
BadBoyRecords	gossipgirltvofficial	Snackboard
bb0s	gypsyvixen	spiketv
bestweekevertv	h0mer001	SpikeTV
blacktreemedia	hapanesegirl	squareguy
Blip	horrorzone89	Substance001trailers
bpfrecords	HortonGB	sunshinefan07
bravenewfilms	huluDotCom	sunshinemovie
BroadwayJoe	imagenesdelmasalla	sunspotscompetition
BroadwayJoe415	imagensdoalem	superdeluxecomedy
bufalodelanoche	irenemariemodels	Tastefullymine
cafehopping	Isitfridayyet	tcfmexico
canyouhandleit	JackassWorldMTV	tcmclassicshorts
CBŚ	jerseymouth1	Thatisalsofunny
CBSNewsOnline	Jonnysleftfoot	Thatsfunny
clr1616	julianwlai	Thatsnotfunny
Damonjohnson	kackylacky	Thegypsyvixen
Davedore	ladyfragment	themoviemonkey
Digestor2365	Lakeshore Records	thesparksfly
Digi80	lakeshoreent	Timmsie
DMGIfamily	lakeshorerecords	TNAWrestling
dnafilmsltd	laurenceegibbs	tsuemetai07
durodematar4	LiberalViewer	TXCANY
Eibrandedcontent	madmanonline	vh1staff
eyeofbeholder	menghsitsai	Victorweb
FanscapeVideos4U	michel@10minutos.com.br	VideoNasty
filmmakerdotcom	minkmomo	VLogging
FiveChemical	Miranda4444	WarnerBrosOnline
foxabulous	mksuperdeluxe	wemakevideonasty
foxatomic	NBC	Wfox
FoxBroadcasting	news4uandi	wigfilms
foxdigitalcopy	nktomic	wylover
foxfutvolley	Paraccount	JohnMcCain.com
foxhomede	Paramount	BarakObama.com
FoxKino	Paramount Pictures	strangewildernessuk
FoxMovies	ParamountPictures	egamimedia
Foxrental	ParkMyVibe	StarTrekLeFilm
foxsearchlight	PinkStrawberry	ParamountFrance
foxsearchlight1	powermadeak47dotcom	
foxtvdvd	powmadeak47	
Foxwtb	reaction2006	
freeforlife112	reaction2006	

Viral list
Paramount 2009
Paramount 2010
Wiredset
RockYouInc
alienatepeople
luvinthen
ParamountGermany
ParamountGermany paramountpictureshow

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., ET)	
AL.,)	
)	ECF Case
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Civil No. 07-CV-2103 (LLS)
)	
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION)	
PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, ET AL.,)	
on behalf of themselves and all others)	ECF Case
similarly situated,)	
)	Civil No. 07-CV-3582 (LLS)
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	
)	
YOUTUBE, INC., ET AL.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

DECLARATION OF ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Andrew H. Schapiro, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declares as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at the firm of Mayer Brown LLP, attorneys for Defendants YouTube, Inc., YouTube, LLC, and Google Inc. (collectively, "YouTube") in the above-captioned matters. I submit this Declaration in support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of the following documents.

 Documents with the following Bates prefixes were produced by the following party or non-party in these actions:

- Documents with the Bates prefix "GOO001" were produced by YouTube in these actions.
- Documents with the Bates prefixes "VIA" and "VIA-SUPP" were produced by plaintiffs Viacom International Inc., Comedy Partners, Country Music Television, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation and Black Entertainment Television LLC (collectively, "Viacom") in these actions.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "CAL" were produced by named plaintiff Cal IV Entertainment, LLC.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "CH" were produced by named plaintiff Cherry Lane Music Publishing Company, Inc.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "FT" were produced by named plaintiff Fédération Française de Tennis.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "PL" were produced by named plaintiff The Football Association Premier League Limited ("Premier League"), and documents with the Bates prefix "PLC" were produced by the individual Clubs making up the Premier League.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "ST" were produced by named plaintiff Stage Three Music (US), Inc.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "TUR" were produced by named plaintiff Robert Tur d/b/a Los Angeles News Service.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "XD" were produced by named plaintiff X-Ray Dog Music, Inc.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "BAYTSP" were produced by nonparty BayTSP, Inc. pursuant to a subpoena.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "FS" were produced by non-party Fanscape Inc. pursuant to a subpoena.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "ICED" were produced by non-party ICED Media Ltd. pursuant to a subpoena.
- Documents with the Bates prefix "JK" were produced by non-party Jawed Karim pursuant to a subpoena.

Exhibit	Description
1	"Best Inventions 2006," Time Magazine
2	Compilation of Videos Appearing on the YouTube Website
3	Excerpts of the Deposition of Tom Freston (Sept. 11, 2009)
4	Excerpts of the Deposition of Michael Wolf (Apr. 17, 2009)
5	VIA00885981 - 00885982
6	VIA00258318 - 00258319
7	VIA00328256
8	VIA00613094 – 00613095
9	VIA00329729 – 00329730
10	VIA00173284
11	Excerpts of the Deposition of Courtney Nieman (Dec. 16, 2009)
12	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Viacom, by Warren Solow (Dec. 18, 2009)
13	Excerpts of the Deposition of Michele Ganeless (Nov. 3, 2008)
14	VIA00349674
15	VIA01129009 – 01129010
16	VIA01623231 – 01623233
17	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of The Football Association Premier League Limited, by Oliver Weingarten (Dec. 15-16, 2009)
18	Excerpts of the Deposition of Michael Housley (Oct. 3, 2008)
19	Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon, CV-05-4753, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2008)
20	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Edward B. Marks Music Company, Freddy Bienstock Music Company d/b/a Bienstock Publishing Company and Alley Music Corporation, by Theodora Michaels (Sept. 24, 2009)
21	Excerpts of the Deposition of Theresa Torrance (Jan. 21, 2009)
22	The Rodgers & Hammerstein Organization's Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 12, 2010)
23	"Garage Brand: With NBC Pact, YouTube Site Tries to Build a Lasting Business Internet Video Service Sketches A Path to Profitability," <i>The Wall Street Journal</i> , June 27, 2006
24	Excerpts of the Deposition of Andrew Lin (July 2, 2009)
25	Excerpts of the Deposition of Tina Exarhos (Feb. 23, 2009)
26	VIA00330333 - 00330334
27	Excerpts of the Deposition of Amy Powell (Dec. 15, 2009)
28	GOO001-05161257 - 05161258
29	Excerpts of the Deposition of Kyle Bonici (Apr. 22, 2009)
30	YouTube screenshot of paraccount channel
31	Excerpts of the Deposition of Cuong Lam (Aug. 6, 2009)
32	Excerpts of the Deposition of Stephen Farrell (July 14, 2009)
33	FS048715 - 048716
34	VIA00434221 - 00434222

Exhibit	Description
35	VIA00429321 - 00429322
36	ICED000002 - 000031
37	VIA00353857 – 00353858
38	VIA00348985
39	VIA00398639
40	VIA00365701 - 00365722
41	VIA00397857 – 00397862
42	VIA00830861 – 00830863
43	VIA11660417 – 11660421
44	BAYTSP $001125131 - 00 \text{sdz} 1125133$
45	Excerpts of the Deposition of Damon Burrell (Apr. 14, 2009)
46	VIA00455125
47	Excerpts of the Deposition of Megan Wahtera (Dec. 4, 2009)
48	VIA00374613 – 00374616
49	VIA00908729 – 00908732
50	VIA10406143
51	VIA11787096
52	VIA00431656
53	GOO001-01151179
54	BAYTSP 001093408 – 001093413
55	BAYTSP 003724704 – 003724735
56	Excerpts of the Deposition of Adam Cahan (Dec. 9, 2009)
57	BAYTSP 001125563 – 001125608
58	VIA01676948 – 01676949
59	BAYTSP 001125622 – 001125625
60	BAYTSP 001093577 – 001093578
61	"No Joke: 'SouthPark' Uploads Spared," Multichannel News, Oct. 14, 2006
62	BAYTSP 001093517 – 001093523
63	BAYTSP 003732680 – 003732681
64	BAYTSP 003740975
65	BAYTSP 003718200 – 003718202
66	BAYTSP 003863202 - 003863203
67	BAYTSP 004283227 - 004283230
68	BAYTSP 004345611 – 004345612
69	BAYTSP 003733345
70	BAYTSP 004283955
71	BAYTSP 003727465 – 003727496
72	BAYTSP 003719298 – 003719299
73	VIA11788097
74	BAYTSP 003721230 – 003721233
75	BAYTSP 003723588
76	BAYTSP 001125759

Exhibit	Description
77	VIA00235270
78	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of The Rodgers & Hammerstein Organization, by Victoria Traube (Oct. 8, 2009)
79	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of The Rodgers & Hammerstein Organization, by Victoria Traube (Dec. 18, 2009)
80	YouTube screenshot of Sound of Music
81	CAL00000219 - 00000220
82	CAL00000783 - 00000787
83	Stage Three Music (US), Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 11, 2010)
84	ST00097906 - 00097911
85	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Stage Three Music (US), Inc., by Maryann Slim (Oct. 23, 2009)
86	Cherry Lane's Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 8, 2010)
87	CH00019803 – 00019806
88	TUR00000477 - 00000478
89	Bourne Co.'s Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 8, 2010)
90	Edward B. Marks' Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 11, 2010)
91	Bienstock Publishing Company's Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 11, 2010)
92	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of X-Ray Dog Music, Inc., by Timothy Stithem (Dec. 8, 2009)
93	XD00063860 - 00063863
94	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Fédération Française de Tennis, by Michel Grach (Dec. 3, 2009)
95	FT00096512
96	FT00096491
97	FT00096527 - 00096528
98	The Music Force Media Group LLC, The Music Force LLC, and Sin-Drome Records, Ltd.'s Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 8, 2010)
99	Screenshot of YouTube account for grumpoM
100	PLC00000597 - 00000598
101	PLC00000237 - 00000238
102	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Stage Three Music (US), Inc., by Jeffrey Duncan (Nov. 12, 2009)
103	Cal IV Entertainment LLC's Responses and Objections to Defendants' First Set of Requests for Admission (Jan. 8, 2010)
104	Excerpts of the Deposition of Brian Bradford (Mar. 12, 2009)

Exhibit	Description
105	PL00000458
106	PL00000574 - 00000575
107	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Fédération Française de
107	Tennis, by Georgina Loth (Dec. 2, 2009)
108	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Cherry Lane Music Publishing Company, Inc., by Keith Hauprich (Sept. 24, 2009)
109	BAYTSP 004282799 – 004282800
110	GOO001-01855601 – 01855603
111	GOO001 01858001 - 01858003 GOO001-01858440 - 01858443
+	
112	Excerpts of the Deposition of Deborah Kadetsky (Aug. 18, 2009)
113	GOO001-00856030 - 00856031
114	VIA10405833 – 10405836
115	VIA10405976
116	VIA02088065
117	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Bourne Co., by Marco Berrocal
110	(Nov. 5, 2009)
118	XD00063614 - 00063615
119	XD00063613
120	BAYTSP 002369678 – 002369679
121	BAYTSP 001125401 – 001125402
122	Excerpts of the Deposition of Warren Solow (Jan. 14, 2010)
123	BAYTSP 004282398
124	BAYTSP 004288622 – 004288623
125	VIA02090167
126	VIA02159159 – 02159177
127	Excerpts of the Deposition of Blair Harrison (Dec. 9, 2009)
128	Excerpts of the Deposition of Judy McGrath (July 29, 2009)
129	Excerpts of the Deposition of Erik Flannigan (Oct. 16, 2008)
130	Excerpts of the Deposition of Doug Herzog (Jan. 16, 2009)
131	YouTube screenshot of Theodoramichael's channel
132	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Auditude, Inc., by Nicholas Seet (Nov. 24, 2009)
133	Excerpts of the Deposition of Mika Salmi (Oct. 16, 2009)
134	Excerpts of the Deposition of Jason Witt (Sept. 25, 2008)
135	BAYTSP 003722239
136	Excerpts of the Deposition of Alfred Perry (Dec. 16, 2009)
137	BAYTSP 003742450 – 003742452
138	BAYTSP 001125469 – 001125474
139	Excerpts of the Deposition of Michelena Hallie (Dec. 10, 2009)
140	VIA-SUPP000001 – 000016
141	BAYTSP 003728192
142	VIA11918373 – 11918375

Exhibit	Description
143	BAYTSP 003727194 – 003727195
144	VIA11786486
145	BAYTSP 001088445 – 001088448
146	BAYTSP 003728607 – 003728608
147	VIA 11786386
148	BAYTSP 003724925
149	VIA11562371 – 11562372
150	BAYTSP 004174398 – 004174400
151	CH00019822
152	Excerpts of the Deposition of Gregg Barron (Sept. 23, 2008)
153	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of National Music Publishers
100	Association, by Lauren Apolito (Jan. 7, 2010)
154	CAL00000747 – 00000748
155	Excerpts of the Deposition of Daniel Hill (Mar. 13, 2009)
156	ST00105023 - 00105026
157	ST00088238 - 00088239
158	Excerpts of the Deposition of Chad Hurley (Apr. 22, 2009)
159	Excerpts of the Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of YouTube, by Varun Kacholia (Jan. 8, 2010)
160	GOO001-02757265-02757268
161	JK00005599 - 00005600
162	GOO001-02757231-02757232
163	JK00003225
164	GOO001-02548690
165	GOO001-02548740
166	GOO001-02123017-02123018
167	VIA00316614 - 00316658
168	VIA00857221 - 00857227
169	Excerpts of the Deposition of Alan Bell (Aug. 5, 2009)
170	Excerpts of the Deposition of Viacom, by Lee L'Archevesque (Feb. 18, 2010)
171	GOO001-02244041 - 02244057
172	Excerpts of the Deposition of Scott Roesch (Sept. 25, 2009)
173	VIA00613111
174	2006 CableFAX 100, Entry for Judy McGrath, CableFAX Daily
175	VIA10132342 – 10132363
176	Excerpts of the Deposition of Robert Tur (Nov. 12, 2009)
177	"The Man Who Could Kill YouTube," <i>Esquire</i> , Nov. 9, 2009
178	Declaration of Michael Housley (Feb. 28, 2008)
179	Viacom Plaintiffs' Objections and Responses to YouTube's Third Set of Interrogatories (without attachments) (Jan. 8, 2010)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit	Description
180	Complaint, Robert Tur d/b/a Los Angeles News Serv. v. YouTube, Inc., CV06-4436 (C.D. Cal. July 14, 2006)

3. Defendants' Memorandum Of Law In Support Of Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment refers to a number of videos that Plaintiffs have alleged as clips in suit in these actions. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of those videos. Version "A" of each video is provided in the "Flash Video," or ".flv," format, as stored on YouTube's servers. (See Declaration of Michael Solomon, submitted herewith, at ¶ 12, which explains the manner in which those videos were captured from YouTube's servers.) For the Court's convenience, we have also converted each video to the "MPEG-1" format, and include that format as version "B."

Exhibit	Description
181A	tBXNS9obErI (.flv format)
181B	tBXNS9obErI (MPEG-1 format)
182A	k4Ei7kSHCFM (.flv format)
182B	k4Ei7kSHCFM (MPEG-1 format)
183A	P5Hii42p8uA (.flv format)
183B	P5Hii42p8uA (MPEG-1 format)
184A	M9aninYQLdw (.flv format)
184B	M9aninYQLdw (MPEG-1 format)
185A	j0hF-i-bv7Q (.flv format)
185B	j0hF-i-bv7Q (MPEG-1 format)
186A	_oXsI2uabP8 (.flv format)
186B	_oXsI2uabP8 (MPEG-1 format)
187A	LL2SneogeUE (.flv format)
187B	LL2SneogeUE (MPEG-1 format)
188A	0BFCCGLZ_0k (.flv format)
188B	0BFCCGLZ_0k (MPEG-1 format)
189A	rlDQE5iotFg (.flv format)
189B	rlDQE5iotFg (MPEG-1 format)
190A	g56jaRx3RmE (.flv format)
190B	g56jaRx3RmE (MPEG-1 format)
191A	LAl7VFiwRSM (.flv format)

Exhibit	Description
191B	LAl7VFiwRSM (MPEG-1 format)
192A	KmnHFYZXygk (.flv format)
192B	KmnHFYZXygk (MPEG-1 format)
193A	KA24Sg1K_Ys (.flv format)
193B	KA24Sg1K_Ys (MPEG-1 format)
194A	ZOLLbGGZgy4 (.flv format)
194B	ZOLLbGGZgy4 (MPEG-1 format)
195A	4hrdXObreII (.flv format)
195B	4hrdXObreII (MPEG-1 format)
196A	5lDGnGgR9JE (.flv format)
196B	5lDGnGgR9JE (MPEG-1 format)
197A	FKhEli0mSpk (.flv format)
197B	FKhEli0mSpk (MPEG-1 format)
198A	If9oyBH623s (.flv format)
198B	If9oyBH623s (MPEG-1 format)
199A	XbrWIKLJtuE (.flv format)
199B	XbrWIKLJtuE (MPEG-1 format)
200A	2F-tATkcnkM (.flv format)
200B	2F-tATkcnkM (MPEG-1 format)
201A	RMvxHd5gB4o (.flv format)
201B	RMvxHd5gB4o (MPEG-1 format)
202A	S6U_Z2BMkaY (.flv format)
202B	S6U_Z2BMkaY (MPEG-1 format)
203A	1hubX0wlTjQ (.flv format)
203B	1hubX0wlTjQ (MPEG-1 format)
204A	2UKkvEObQxM (.flv format)
204B	2UKkvEObQxM (MPEG-1 format)
205A	phFBa9AhC0A (.flv format)
205B	phFBa9AhC0A (MPEG-1 format)
206A	Y_0MEVbbQg8 (.flv format)
206B	Y_0MEVbbQg8 (MPEG-1 format)
207A	O9ht-qIMyu0 (.flv format)
207B	O9ht-qIMyu0 (MPEG-1 format)
208A	SzhaWJmoUWo (.flv format)
208B	SzhaWJmoUWo (MPEG-1 format)
209A	keR7kRNg05Y (.flv format)
209B	keR7kRNg05Y (MPEG-1 format)
210A	LmLgt8oGtuM (.flv format)
210B	LmLgt8oGtuM (MPEG-1 format)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

4. The Declaration of Hunter Walk, submitted herewith, describes a number of webpages appearing on the YouTube website, and identifies the corresponding URLs for those webpages. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of a screenshot captured between February 25 and March 5, 2010 for each URL described in Mr. Walk's declaration and, where applicable, a true and correct copy of the video appearing on that webpage. Version "A" of each video is provided in the "Flash Video," or ".flv" format, as stored on YouTube's servers. (See Declaration of Michael Solomon, submitted herewith, at ¶ 12, which explains the manner in which those videos were captured from YouTube's servers.) For the Court's convenience, we have also converted each video to the "MPEG-1" format, and include that format as version "B."

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/john mccaindotcom?blend=3&ob=4&rcl k=cti#p/f/25/JTL4jC1bKzY	211	315A/315B
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/bara ckobamadotcom?blend=1&ob=4&rc lk=cth#p/u/781/vpmFd25tRqo	212	316A/316B
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/YTde bates#p/u/46/XWokI0NaGMc	213	317A/317B
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/whitehouse?blend=1&ob=4&rclk=cth#p/u/91/94RRh9qZGYc	214	318A/318B
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 0pqzNJYzh7I	215	319A/319B
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/NancyPelosi	216	N/A

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/RepublicanLeader	217	N/A
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/senatehub	218	N/A
¶ 6	http://www.youtube.com/user/househub	219	N/A
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/Mari neCorpsNews	220	N/A
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/soldi ersmediacenter	221	N/A
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/UnitedStatesNavy	222	N/A
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/AFBl ueTube		
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= mDUInYe8G2c	224	320A/320B
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/Reel NASA#p/a/3CD87307666C1B55/0/ e16eXXAoisg		321A/321B
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/NAS Atelevision#p/u/631/JgBgmw-2U8c	226	322A/322B
¶ 7	http://www.youtube.com/user/LibraryOfCongress	227	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/vatican	228	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/theroyalchannel	229	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/krem lin	230	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/Iraqi	231	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/unitednations	232	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/peres	233	N/A
¶ 8	http://www.youtube.com/user/PresidentMBLee	234	N/A
¶ 9	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= HgQd0K5W0vI		323A/323B

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 9	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I 0MkATcn04M	236	324A/324B
¶ 9	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= mcQ8zMpthis	237	325A/325B
¶ 10	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Og1bYPn8nW8	238	326A/326B
¶ 10	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 7g9mOMQfY2s	239	327A/327B
¶ 10	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 1B98PExsoXs	240	328A/328B
¶ 10	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= OEdVfyt-mLw	241	329A/329B
¶ 11	http://youtube- global.blogspot.com/2010/01/live- tonight-top-artists-perform-in.html	242	N/A
¶ 11	http://youtube- global.blogspot.com/2009/10/can- billion-views-help-billion- people.html	243	N/A
¶ 11	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 6jSBW0BOPqM	244	330A/330B
¶ 11	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= BDqs-OZWw9o	245	331A/331B
¶ 11	http://www.youtube.com/view_play list?p=749732FFD312CA7F	246	N/A
¶ 12	http://www.youtube.com/channels? s=ytedu_mv	247	N/A
¶ 12	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= S9WtBRNydso	248	332A/332B
¶ 13	http://www.voutube.com/watch?v=t		333A/333B
¶ 13	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= SGJMoYcM8yY	250	334A/334B
¶ 14	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 7jRE3xRm8Vk	251	335A/335B
¶ 14	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Phjw9dzHU-0	252	336A/336B
¶ 14	http://www.youtube.com/watch?y=		337A/337B

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 14	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= pTHc5wB-u8w	254	338A/338B
¶ 15	http://www.youtube.com/user/repor terscenter	255	N/A
¶ 16	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= dTAAsCNK7RA	256	339A/339B
¶ 16	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j mR0V6s3NKk	257	340A/340B
¶ 16	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= EwTZ2xpQwpA	258	341A/341B
¶ 16	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-dadPWhEhVk	259	342A/342B
¶ 16	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= p_YMigZmUuk	260	343A/343B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=- prfAENSh2k	261	344A/344B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= m56F4EKN9hg	262	345A/345B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= uHPg262Kr9c	263	346A/346B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= xLYWtjEUKa4	264	347A/347B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= JkUNGWH1Jzg	265	348A/348B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=- MIm5WgIepE	266	349A/349B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Sh9E_JO3nV0	267	350A/350B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?y=		351A/351B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=f 0IRXINcPjI	269	352A/352B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= NaGLVS5b_ZY	270	353A/353B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 6vQpW9XRiyM	271	354A/354B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/user/foxne wschannel	272	N/A

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/user/CBS NewsOnline	273	N/A
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/user/ntvkenya	274	N/A
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/show/star trek	275	N/A
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/show/macgyver	276	N/A
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/user/Mont yPython	277	N/A
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= vSYadh2xmcI	278	355A/355B
¶ 17	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Ye8mB6VsUHw	279	356A/356B
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/oprah	280	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/paul mccartney	281	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/andreabocelli	282	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/u2official	283	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/joinred	284	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/Tea mRadioShack	285	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 9S9vlgtt264	286	357A/357B
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/user/aliciakeys	287	N/A
¶ 18	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= dF6D7xs1qMY	288	358A/358B
¶ 19	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= TwsIagFWKIY	289	359A/359B
¶ 19	http://www.youtube.com/user/USD CINSD	290	N/A
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 1JynBEX kg8	291	360A/360B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=		361A/361B

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 4PcL6-mjRNk	293	362A/362B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= xPxDw7ajfGE	294	363A/363B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i mFTcjHIY_s	295	364A/364B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= LU8DDYz68kM	296	365A/365B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= Z-BzXpOch-E	297	366A/366B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s 7a9xCIAdDU	298	367A/367B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= OBlgSz8sSM	299	368A/368B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= E8aprCNnecU 300		369A/369B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 5P6UU6m3cqk	301	370A/370B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z lfKdbWwruY 302		371A/371B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= dMH0bHeiRNg		372A/372B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= eaRcWB3jwMo	304	373A/373B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= EMhUZAq5IxQ	305	374A/374B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= T7TI-AJi2O8	306	375A/375B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= hSvIOd7tfh0	307	376A/376B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= vPm27Wm-0tY	308	377A/377B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= 4-94JhLEiN0	309	378A/378B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c vj-0RUpteo	310	379A/379B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= pXD7rDgsL88	311	380A/380B

Paragraph in Walk Declaration	URL	Corresponding YouTube Screenshot Exhibit No.	Corresponding Video File Exhibit No. (where applicable)
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i 1azm1oNRbk	312	381A/381B
¶ 20	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j NQXAC9IVRw	313	382A/382B
¶ 21	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v= TPAO-lZ4_hU	314	383A/383B

5. The Declaration of Micah Schaffer, submitted herewith, also describes two URLs. Paragraph 2 of Mr. Schaffer's declaration references the URL http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KNwLn85I75Y, which is currently available on the YouTube website. Attached hereto as Exhibit 384 is a true and correct copy of a screenshot captured on March 5, 2010 for that URL. Attached hereto as Exhibit 385A is a true and correct copy of the video appearing on that webpage in the "Flash Video," or ".flv" format, as stored on YouTube's servers. (See Declaration of Michael Solomon, submitted herewith, at ¶ 12, which explains the manner in which those videos were captured from YouTube's servers.) For the Court's convenience, attached hereto as Exhibit 385B is a true and correct copy of that video converted to the "MPEG-1" format. Paragraph 7 of Mr. Schaffer's declaration references a video which previously appeared on the YouTube website at the URL http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TUiP6dqPynE. Attached hereto as Exhibit 386A is a true and correct copy of the video that appeared on that webpage in the "Flash Video," or ".flv" format, as stored on YouTube's servers. (See Declaration of Michael Solomon, submitted herewith, at ¶ 12, which explains the manner in which those

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

videos were captured from YouTube's servers.) For the Court's convenience,

attached hereto as Exhibit 386B is a true and correct copy of that video converted to

the "MPEG-1" format.

6. The Declaration of Roelof Botha, submitted herewith, also describes

the URL http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KNwLn85I75Y at paragraph 11.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 384 is a true and correct copy of a screenshot captured

on March 5, 2010 for that URL. Attached hereto as Exhibit 385A is a true and

correct copy of the video appearing on that webpage in the "Flash Video," or ".flv"

format, as stored on YouTube's servers, and attached hereto as Exhibit 385B is a

true and correct copy of that video converted to the "MPEG-1" format.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, NY March 11, 2010

Schapiro Exhibit 4

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. 07-CV-2103

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

X

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NO. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

X

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL WOLF NEW YORK, NEW YORK FRIDAY, APRIL 17, 2009

JOB NO.: 16687

	Page 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	APRIL 17, 2009
9	10:02 a.m.
10	
11	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL
12	WOLF, held at the offices of CAHILL GORDON &
13	REINDEL, LLP, 80 Pine Street, New York, New
14	York, pursuant to subpoena, before JENNIFER
15	OCAMPO-GUZMAN, a Shorthand Reporter and
16	Notary Public of the State of New York.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 3
1
2
       APPEARANCES:
 3
       FOR THE PLAINTIFFS VIACOM INTERNATIONAL,
 5
       INC.:
 6
           JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
                SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ.
 7
           BY:
           919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
           New York, New York 10022-3908
           (212) 891-1690 skohlmann@jenner.com
10
11
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE,
12
       LLC and GOOGLE, INC.:
13
           WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC
14
                BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
15
           BY:
            650 Page Mill Road
16
           Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
17
            650-565-3508 bvolkmer@wsgr.com
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 4
       APPEARANCES (Continued):
3
       FOR THE DEPONENT:
           CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL, LLP
           BY: ADAM ZUROFSKY, ESQ.
           BY: CHRISTOPHER A. GORMAN, ESQ.
                  80 Pine Street
                  New York, New York 10005-1702
            (212) 701-3137 azurofsky@cahill.com
10
            (212) 701-3119 cgorman@cahill.com
11
12
13
       ALSO PRESENT:
14
            CARLOS KING, Videographer
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 64
	1.	Wolf
11:23:01	2	A. I think Judy was looking for a
11:23:05	3	large scale acquisition, which would be
11:23:10	4	comparable to the acquisition by News Corp of
11:23:17	5	MySpace.
11:23:17	6	Q. And you thought that pardon me.
11:23:22	7	And she thought that an acquisition
11:23:26 :	8	by Viacom of YouTube would be comparable to
11:23:30	9	the News Corp acquisition of MySpace?
11:23:33	10	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form,
11:23:34	11	calls for speculation. The document
11:23:37	12	speaks for itself.
11:23:38	13	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:23:39	14	A. Once again, I'd be speculating.
11:23:42	15	It's hard for me to remember her state of
11:23:47	16	mind here and so it's possible that's what
11:23:49	17	she meant.
11:23:50	18	Q. Is that how you viewed it at the
11:23:54	19	time, that an acquisition of YouTube by
11:23:56	20	Viacom would be comparable to the News Corp
11:23:58	21	acquisition of MySpace?
11:24:05	22	A. At the time, and, again, I would
11:24:07	23	just be thinking about my points of view
11:24:08	24	then, I was looking at we wanted to look
11:24:13	25	at YouTube as an acquisition on its own

		Page 65
	1	Wolf
11:24:16	2	merits, not necessarily how that would have
11:24:20	3	compared to the acquisitions that were done
11:24:23	4	by other companies.
11:24:24	5	Q. And when you wrote, "We should get
11:24:34	6	our best minds together and figure out how to
11:24:36	7	make it a business," did MTV Networks end up
11:24:38	8	putting its best minds together to look at a
11:24:43	9	potential acquisition of YouTube?
11:24:44	10	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
11:24:45	11	But answer, you can answer.
11:24:48	12	A. At the time I considered these to
11:24:50	13	be our best minds and, therefore and, yes,
11:24:52	14	we did put them together.
11:25:03	15	MR. VOLKMER: Let's mark Exhibit 5.
11:25:16	16	(Exhibit Wolf-5, E-mail dated
11:25:16	17	7/6/06, Bates No. VIA00613122, marked
11:25:16	18	for identification, this date.)
11:25:34	19	MR. VOLKMER: This is a July 6,
11:25:37	• 20	2006, e-mail that Adam Cahan sent to
11:25:42	21	Judy McGrath and Michael Wolf. The
11:25:43	22	subject line is "Update from the plane."
11:25:47	23	Q. If you'd just let me know when
11:25:48	24	you've had a chance to review that document,
11:25:50	25	Mr. Wolf?
· ·		

		Page 66
	1	Wolf
11:25:51	2	A. Okay. Thank you.
11:26:35	3	Q. And if could you turn to the fifth
11:26:37	4	paragraph, and the title of that paragraph is
11:26:39	5	"YouTube"?
11:26:40	6	A. Yes.
11:26:43	7	Q. Mr. Cahan writes, "We had a very
11:26:45	8	deep conversation over an hour about the
11:26:47	9	potential" "about the potential, the risk
11:26:49	10	and why strategically it is so critical."
11:26:52	11	Do you know who Mr. Cahan is
11:26:55	12	referring to when he says "we" in that
11:26:57	13	sentence?
11:27:05	14	A. I didn't write this memo. It was
11:27:07	15	written by Mr. Cahan, and and I don't know
11:27:09	16	who was on the plane with him, so I don't
11:27:12	17	know who the "we" was.
11:27:13	18	Q. Based on your experience and your
11:27:20	19	position at the time, who would you guess was
11:27:22	20	the person on the plane or the people on the
11:27:25	21	plane with Mr. Cahan?
11:27:27	22	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection, calls for
11:27:31	23	speculation.
11:27:31	24	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
11:27:31	25	A. I'd be taking a guess and I really

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 131
	1	Wolf
13:42:51	2	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:42:53	3	A. It could be that we were discussing
13:42:55	4	those deal those terms or it could be
13:42:57	5	that that those were the deal terms we
13:43:00	6	were proposing. I really can't tell by this
13:43:02	7	memo and I can't remember when I wrote it.
13:43:03	8	Q. Could it also be the case that
13:43:07	9	those deal terms that we just went over had
13:43:10	10	not been discussed between the parties as of
13:43:14	11	October 3, 2006?
13:43:15	12	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:43:17	13	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection to form.
13:43:18	14	A. It's possible, yes.
13:43:20	15	Q. You're just not sure one way or the
13:43:22	16	other?
13:43:25	17	A. I'm not sure. Lots of information.
13:43:26	18	Lots of things that go past what I was doing
13:43:30	19	as the president of the company and I just
13:43:33	20	can't remember what happened in each of these
13:43:36	21	situations.
13:43:36	22	Q. When Viacom and MTVN were
13:43:46	23	negotiating with YouTube in the summer and
13:43:49	24	fall of 2006, was it aware of the presence of
13:43:53	25	Viacom content on the YouTube website?

		Page 132
	1	Wolf
13:43:56	2	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:43:57	3	A. First of all, I don't know if
13:43:59	4	Viacom was negotiating with YouTube. I was
13:44:02	5	in charge of MTV Networks. I don't know if
13:44:05	6	Viacom, there was any other independent of
13:44:09	7	MTV Networks' negotiation.
13:44:11	8	Q. Okay. So I'll rephrase the
13:44:12	9	question.
13:44:13	10	When MTVN was negotiating with
13:44:16	11	YouTube in the summer and fall of 2006, was
13:44:18	12	it aware of the presence of Viacom content on
13:44:20	13	the YouTube website?
13:44:22	14	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:44:24	15	A. I don't recall one way or the
13:44:26	16	other.
13:44:30	17	Are you asking about this memo or
13:44:32	18	just in general?
13:44:33	19	Q. I'm not asking about the memo, just
13:44:36	20	in general. And this goes throughout the
13:44:38	21	entire period of the negotiations between
13:44:39	22	YouTube and Google and MTVN, at any time in
13:44:44	23	those negotiations was MTVN aware of the
13:44:48	24	presence of Viacom content on the YouTube
13:44:50	25	website?

·		Page 133
	1	Wolf
13:44:52	2	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:44:55	, 3	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
13:44:56	4	A. We were certainly aware that our
13:45:02	. 5	content was on YouTube.
13:45:03	6	Q. And during the negotiations did
13:45:11	7	Viacom allow that content to stay up on
13:45:13	8	YouTube?
13:45:14	9	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection to form.
13:45:15	10	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
13:45:16	11	A. The decision would have been ours,
13:45:20	12	not Viacom's. It was going to be but MTV
13:45:26	13	Networks, to the best of my recollection, we
13:45:28	14	allowed the content to be there.
13:45:30	15	Q. And why did you allow it to be
13:45:34	16	there?
13:45:35	17	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
13:45:37	18	A. I'd be only speculating today
13:45:42	19	because I can't remember specifically, but I
13:45:43	20	would guess that, that we thought that we
13:45:47	21	could do a deal with YouTube and that also at
13:45:53	22	the same time we thought that having the
13:45:55	23	content there was valuable in terms of
13:45:59	24	helping the ratings of our shows.
13:46:24	25	MR. VOLKMER: Let's go off the

		Page 134
	1	Wolf
13:46:25	2	record.
13:46:26	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
13:46:27	4	1:44 p.m., and we're off the record.
13:51:31	5	(A brief recess was taken.)
13:51:31	6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
13:51:42	7	1:50 p.m., and we're back on the record.
13:51:44	8	BY MR. VOLKMER:
13:51:44	9	Q. On Monday, October 9, 2006, Google
13:51:53	10	issued a press release announcing that it was
13:51:55	11	acquiring YouTube. Do you remember having a
13:51:58	12	conversation with Eric Schmidt the preceding
13:52:01	13	weekend in which you discussed Google's
13:52:03	14	potential acquisition of YouTube?
13:52:06	15	A. I don't remember the exact dates of
13:52:08	16	the things that you're describing to me, but
13:52:11	17	I certainly remember having discussion with
13:52:14	18	Eric Schmidt before, before the potential
13:52:19	19	acquisition, before the acquisition of
13:52:21	20	YouTube took place.
13:52:22	21	Q. And what do you recall about that
13:52:24	22	conversation?
13:52:29	23	A. To the best of my ability to
13:52:33	24	recall, I remember him telling me that they
13:52:36	25	were considering acquiring YouTube, that I

		Page 148
	1	Wolf
14:13:16	2	possibility that if a deal was not reached,
14:13:20	3	that Viacom would either do takedown notices
14:13:26	4	I think most part, MTV Network people did
14:13:30	5	not discuss litigation. I think they
14:13:32	6	discussed takedown notices. And the Viacom
14:13:35	7	legal people were discussing litigation. And
14:13:43	8	other Viacom senior executives.
14:13:51	9	Q. Mr. Cahan was an MTV Networks
14:13:56	10	employee, right?
14:13:57	11	A. Yes.
14:13:59	12	MR. VOLKMER: I would like to mark
14:14:00	13	Exhibit 15.
14:14:23	14	(Exhibit Wolf-15, E-mail dated
14:14:23	15	10/9/06, Bates No. VIA02090176, marked
14:14:23	16	for identification, this date.)
14:15:31	17	A. I've read the memo.
14:15:32	18	Q. And this is an e-mail sent by Adam
14:15:36	19	Cahan to Michael Wolf on October 9, 2006.
14:15:39	20	Mr. Cahan writes in the first sentence, "I
14:15:42	21	feel very strongly the time is now for Google
14:15:44	22	to negotiate with us, otherwise, we need to
14:15:46	23	threaten all content comes down from
14:15:49	24	YouTube," and in the concluding sentence of
14:15:51	25	that paragraph, he says, "If we threaten we

		Page 149
	1	Wolf
14:15:54	2	can use it in negotiations for a better
14:15:56	3	deal."
14:15:56	4	So the policy that Mr. Cahan is
14:15:59	5	advocating here is that MTVN should not
14:16:03	6	request the removal of its content but should
14:16:05	7	keep that content up on YouTube and threaten
14:16:07	8	to remove it to gain leverage in the
14:16:10	9	negotiations, right?
14:16:11	10	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
14:16:14	11	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
14:16:15	12	A. These are the kind we are
14:16:16	13	anxious to get to a deal and these are the
14:16:19	14	kind of levers that we would use in any deal
14:16:22	15	that we were considering. We try to figure
14:16:25	16	out what where, where we had leverage and
14:16:27	17	where we did not.
14:16:29	18	Q. And you thought that you had
14:16:30	19	leverage by threatening to issue takedown
14:16:36	20	notices against YouTube, correct?
14:16:38	21	A. That was the
14:16:42	22	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. Go
14:16:44	23	ahead.
14:16:44	24	A. That was the general thinking at
14:16:45	25	MTV Networks at the time.

		Page 150
	1	Wolf
14:16:54	2	Q. So if all of the MTVN content had
14:16:57	3	disappeared from YouTube in that time frame,
14:16:59	4	MTVN would have been in a worse negotiating
14:17:02	5	position, right?
14:17:03	6	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
14:17:04	7	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
14:17:05	8	A. You're asking me a hypothetical
14:17:09	9	question, sir?
14:17:11	10	Q. I am.
14:17:12	11	A. I can just give you an answer, you
14:17:16	12	know, based on my own opinion. I think on
14:17:22	13	one side, MTV Networks would have had less
14:17:25	14	leverage. On the other side, YouTube were
14:17:33	15	hardly worse off not having MTV Networks'
14:17:37	16	content.
14:17:37	17	Q. But in this time frame, MTVN did
14:17:51	18	not have a policy of requesting that YouTube
14:17:54	19	remove its content when it became aware of
14:17:56	20	the presence of that content, right?
14:17:59	21	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
14:18:00	22	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
14:18:00	23	A. I don't recall what our stance was
14:18:10	24	with YouTube, but to the best of my
14:18:12	25	recollection, we did not ask for takedowns

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 192
	1	Wolf
15:31:05	2	A. Yes.
15:31:09	3	Q. And is that 20 percent figure also
15:31:11	4	based on a back-of-the-envelope calculation
15:31:15	5	as opposed to a scientific study?
15:31:18	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:31:19	7	A. I don't recall at that point. By
15:31:22	8	then we were looking at the number of clips.
15:31:24	9	We were looking at the number of views for
15:31:27	10	top clips and and it did appear that MTV
15:31:37	11	Networks' content was was a large
15:31:40	12	percentage or was a significant percentage of
15:31:43	13	YouTube consumption.
15:31:46	14	Q. And had MTVN or Viacom undertaken a
15:31:53	15	scientific study to make that determination?
15:31:56	16	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:31:57	17	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:31:58	18	A. I'm not sure how scientific either
15:32:05	19	ratings on the internet or television, that
15:32:09	20	kind of analysis is. I do know one way or
15:32:13	21	the other, that we had engaged our research
15:32:20	- 22	people to examine and analyze the YouTube,
15:32:28	23	the consumption of YouTube clips, that it's
15:32:32	24	reflected here or not, I don't remember.
15:32:33	25	Q. And in this message, you say that

		Page 193
	1	Wolf
15:32:37	2	MTVN had undertaken a very quick analysis and
15:32:40	3	it was back of the envelope. Does that lead
15:32:46	4	to the conclusion that this was more along
15:32:48	5	the lines of a nonscientific study?
15:32:50	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:32:52	7	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:32:52	8	A. It could be, it could not be. I
15:32:54	9	just don't remember at the time.
15:32:55	10	Q. But you wrote this message to
15:33:06	11	Mr. Schmidt saying that this was a
15:33:07	12	back-of-the-envelope calculation, right?
15:33:09	13	A. Yes, I did.
15:33:10	14	Q. And that was an accurate statement
15:33:12	15	as far as you were concerned, correct?
15:33:15	16	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:33:17	17	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:33:18	18	A. Yes.
15:33:54	19	Q. If you can turn to the second to
15:33:57	20	last paragraph, the one that starts, "As you
15:34:01	21	can imagine," and the second sentence is, "As
15:34:06	22	importantly we're drawing increasingly
15:34:11	23	uncomfortable with the time passing and feel
15:34:13	24	that we cannot allow our content to be at
15:34:15	25	YouTube in its current form."

		Page 194
	1	Wolf
15:34:18	2	A. Yes.
15:34:19	3	Q. Are you telling Mr. Schmidt there
15:34:21	4	that to date Viacom and MTVN had been
15:34:24	5	allowing its content to be on YouTube?
15:34:28	6	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:34:29	7	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:34:30	8	A. While we were issuing takedown
15:34:42	9	notices against some of the content, there
15:34:44	10	was other content which we were allowing to
15:34:46	11	continue to be on YouTube.
15:34:49	12	MR. VOLKMER: Okay. We need to
15:34:51	13	change the tape.
15:34:52	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
15:34:53	15	3:33, and this ends tape number 2 in the
15:34:55	16	videotaped deposition of Michael Wolf.
15:34:58	17	(A brief recess was taken.)
15:40:56	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
15:42:10	19	3:40 p.m., and this begins tape number 3
15:42:13	20	in the videotaped deposition of Michael
15:42:16	21	Wolf.
15:42:16	22	MR. VOLKMER: I would like to mark
15:42:17	23	Exhibit 20.
15:42:26	24	(Exhibit Wolf-20, E-mail dated
15:42:26	25	12/19/06, Bates No. VIA00174505, marked

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 195
	1	Wolf
15:42:27	2	for identification, this date.)
15:42:27	3	Q. This is an e-mail exchange that
15:42:37	4	Viacom produced in this litigation between
15:42:39	5	Michael Wolf and Adam Cahan on December 19,
15:42:46	6	2006, and in the last in time e-mail Mr.
15:42:49	7	Cahan writes, "I think when we issue the
15:42:52	8	takedown we will hear from them."
15:42:55	9	Did you take this to mean that
15:42:58	10	Mr. Cahan suspected that when Viacom issued a
15:43:02	11	mass takedown to YouTube that Google would
15:43:04	12	come back to the negotiating table?
15:43:07	13	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:43:09	14	A. Yes.
15:43:15	15	Q. And was that part of the
15:43:17	16	negotiating strategy?
15:43:19	17	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:43:19	18	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:43:20	19	A. Yes.
15:43:31	20	Q. And what were the reasons that MTVN
15:43:34	21	employed that strategy of issuing a massive
15:43:37	22	takedown to YouTube in order to get Google to
15:43:40	23	come back to the negotiating table?
15:43:41	24	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:43:42	25	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.

		Page 196
	1	Wolf
15:43:42	2	A. In the normal course of a
15:43:48	3	negotiation, each side uses the levers that
15:43:52	4	it would have. And one of the levers and one
15:43:58	5	of the different terms of the negotiation
15:44:00	6	would be how many clips that Viacom would
15:44:05	. 7	allow or MTV Networks really would allow to
15:44:10	8	have on YouTube. This is no different than
15:44:14	9	the kind of negotiations we would be in with
15:44:18	10	a cable operator where we would say to a
15:44:20	11	cable operator come X date we will be our
15:44:25	12	channel will go black. This is the kind of
15:44:28	13	back and forth that happens in this kind of a
15:44:31	14	negotiation.
15:44:32	15	Q. So the threat of a massive takedown
15:44:52	16	was one of the levers that MTVN was using to
15:44:57	17	extract better terms from Google?
15:44:59	18	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:45:00	19	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:45:01	20	A. It was one of the different levers
15:45:05	21	that MTV Networks was using in order to get
15:45:11	22	to the deal that we wanted.
15:45:16	23	Q. And actually issuing a massive
15:45:18	24	takedown was also one of the levers?
15:45:21	25	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
1		

		Page 197
	1	Wolf
15:45:22	2	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:45:22	3	A. I don't know if we had issued, at
15:45:30	4	that point, a massive takedown.
15:45:32	5	Q. Mr. Cahan says, "So far we have
15:45:37	6	29,000 clips I expect that number to double,"
15:45:42	7	suggested massive takedown had not yet
15:45:44	8	issued, correct?
15:45:45	9	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:45:46	10	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:45:47	11	A. I don't know. I don't know if he
15:45:50	12	meant we've taken down 29,000 clips, or I
15:45:54	13	really just don't know. It's not clear from
15:45:56	14	this memo.
15:45:56	15	Q. Do you have any independent
15:45:58	16	recollection of MTVN accumulating clips so
15:46:04	17	that they could send one massive takedown to
15:46:06	18	YouTube as opposed to sending takedown
15:46:09	19	notices as they became aware of the content?
15:46:11	20	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:46:12	21	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:46:13	22	A. I recall that as part of my
15:46:18	23	discussion with one of the MTV Networks'
15:46:23	24	lawyers, I recall that we did discuss that
15:46:26	25	they would identify a large number of clips

1 Wolf 15:46:29 2 and 15:46:31 3 Ms. KOHLMANN: I'm going to just 15:46:33 4 caution you not to disclose any 15:46:35 5 attorney-client privileged information. 15:46:37 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15:46:37 7 MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:00 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.		***************************************	Page 198
MS. KOHLMANN: I'm going to just 15:46:33 4 caution you not to disclose any 15:46:35 5 attorney-client privileged information. 15:46:37 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15:46:37 7 MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	·	1	Wolf
15:46:33 4 caution you not to disclose any 15:46:35 5 attorney-client privileged information. 15:46:37 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15:46:37 7 MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:00 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:29	2	and
attorney-client privileged information. 15:46:37 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15:46:37 7 MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:31	3	MS. KOHLMANN: I'm going to just
15:46:37 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15:46:37 7 MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:33	4	caution you not to disclose any
MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that 15:46:38 8 lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:35	5	attorney-client privileged information.
lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV 15:46:40 9 Networks, that is an attorney-client 15:46:43 10 relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 Content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:37	6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
Networks, that is an attorney-client relationship with them. A. Okay. Then C. Setting aside any communications relationship with them. Setting aside any communications relationship with them. A. Okay. Then C. Setting aside any communications relations relationship with them. A. Okay. Then C. Setting aside any communications relations relationship with them. A. Okay. Then C. Setting aside any communications relationship with them. Relationshi	15:46:37	7	MR. ZUROFSKY: You said that that
relationship with them. 15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:38	8	lawyer was acting on your behalf of MTV
15:46:44 11 A. Okay. Then 15:46:53 12 Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:40	9	Networks, that is an attorney-client
Q. Setting aside any communications 15:46:56 13 you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:43	10	relationship with them.
you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you 15:47:00 14 have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:44	11	A. Okay. Then
have any independent recollection of Viacom 15:47:02	15:46:53	12	Q. Setting aside any communications
15:47:02 15 or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube 15:47:07 16 in one massive takedown notice instead of 15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:46:56	13	you had with MTV or Viacom lawyers, do you
in one massive takedown notice instead of sending notices as they became aware of the content? MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:47:00	14	have any independent recollection of Viacom
15:47:10 17 sending notices as they became aware of the 15:47:12 18 content? 15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:47:02	15	or MTVN accumulating clips to send to YouTube
15:47:12	15:47:07	16	in one massive takedown notice instead of
15:47:13 19 MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection. 15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:47:10	17	sending notices as they became aware of the
15:47:14 20 MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.	15:47:12	18	content?
	15:47:13	19	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
at a second of the cline	15:47:14	20	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:47:15 21 A. In general we identified the crips	15:47:15	21	A. In general we identified the clips
15:47:22 22 and then had a sense for how many clips would	15:47:22	22	and then had a sense for how many clips would
15:47:27 23 be available so that we could decide when and	15:47:27	23	be available so that we could decide when and
15:47:31 24 if we sent a takedown notice, what would be	15:47:31	24	if we sent a takedown notice, what would be
15:47:35 25 the number of clips and in what shows.	15:47:35	25	the number of clips and in what shows.

		Page 199
	1	Wolf
15:47:38	2	Q. Do you recall there being certain
15:47:49	3	criteria that MTVN applied in making
15:47:53	4	determinations about whether to send takedown
15:47:56	5	notices or clips?
15:48:00	6	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:48:01	7	A. I don't recall specifically. There
15:48:03	8	may have been some. I just don't remember.
15:48:05	9	Q. Do you remember there being any
15:48:08	10	criteria that were based on length of the
15:48:11	11	clip in determining whether or not MTVN
15:48:17	12	should issue takedown notices?
15:48:19	13	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:48:20	14	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:48:21	15	A. I don't recall.
15:48:21	16	Q. What about particular networks or
15:48:31	17	franchises, do you remember whether a
15:48:34	18	particular clip was associated with a network
15:48:37	19	or franchise being a factor in whether Viacom
15:48:41	20	would issue a takedown notice?
15:48:43	21	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:48:43	22	A. And, again, I'm really it's very
15:48:51	23	difficult to remember these things. I
15:48:54	24	believe that there were clips that we did not
15:48:56	25	want to take down, for example, clips from

		Page 200
	1	Wolf
15:49:01	2	John Stewart and Steven Colbert, and that
15:49:04	3	there were other clips that we felt we should
15:49:09	4	take down. I just don't remember what were
15:49:11	5	the types of clips that we took down and not.
15:49:14	6	Q. And why do you think that Viacom
15:49:16	7	wanted the John Stewart and Steven Colbert
15:49:19	8	clips to stay up on the YouTube site?
15:49:21	9	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:49:22	10	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:49:23	11	A. This was an MTV Networks' decision.
15:49:25	12	Q. Okay. I'll restate the question.
15:49:26	13	Thank you.
15:49:27	14	Why do you think that MTVN wanted
15:49:29	15	the John Stewart and Steven Colbert clips to
15:49:32	16	stay up on the YouTube website?
15:49:35	17	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.
15:49:36	18	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:49:36	19	A. And so I'm really giving you my
15:49:42	20	speculation today.
15:49:42	21	Q. Sure.
15:49:42	22	A. But we were concerned that both
15:49:49	23	John Stewart and Steven Colbert believed that
15:49:53	24	their presence on YouTube was important for
15:49:58	25	their ratings as well as for their

·		Page 201
	1	Wolf
15:50:00	2	relationship with their audiences, and and
15:50:06	3	to a large extent the MTV Networks senior
15:50:10	4	team shared that belief.
15:50:12	5	Q. And did you share that belief?
15:50:15	6	A. I did.
15:50:15	7	Q. Can you think of any other programs
15:50:18	8	that fell under that category you just
15:50:20	9	discussed other than Mr. John Stewart's show
15:50:24	10	and Mr. Colbert's show?
15:50:28	11	A. There are probably others. I
15:50:32	12	can't, you know, I can't remember which ones
15:50:34	13	specifically, but overall in a company where
15:50:38	14	the networks are aimed at a younger audience
15:50:42	15	which would tend to be the same audience as
15:50:44	16	YouTube, we need to strike the right balance
15:50:47	17	between clips that we left up and clips that
15:50:50	18	we decided to take down.
15:51:50	19	MR. VOLKMER: I am going to mark
15:51:52	20	Exhibit 21.
15:51:52	21	(Exhibit Wolf-21, E-mail dated
15:51:52	22	12/23/06, Bates No. VIA00173284, marked
15:52:14	23	for identification, this date.)
15:52:14	24	Q. This is an e-mail that Viacom
15:52:50	25	produced in this litigation, it's from Adam

		Page 202
	1	Wolf
15:52:53	2	Cahan to Judy McGrath and Michael Wolf dated
15:52:57	3	December 23, 2006.
15:52:58	4	In the first paragraph, Mr. Cahan
15:53:01	5	writes, "As you know, we are gearing up for a
15:53:03	6	very significant takedown at YouTube by
15:53:07	7	January 2nd, 3rd, by last count the number
15:53:09	8	was 50 to 70,000 clips, I suspect that number
15:53:14	9	will grow before we are complete."
15:53:16	10	So is it your understanding that
15:53:21	11	Viacom was continuing the project of
15:53:23	12	identifying clips and not asking that they be
15:53:27	13	removed so that there could be one massive
15:53:29	14	takedown notice sent?
15:53:31	15	MS. KOHLMANN: Objection.
15:53:31	16	A. I don't know if it was MTV
15:53:34	17	Networks. I'm sorry. I don't know if it was
15:53:36	18	MTV Networks or Viacom. Could you repeat
15:53:40	19	your question?
15:53:40	20	Q. Sure. Was it your understanding
15:53:42	21	that either Viacom or MTVN was continuing a
15:53:46	22	project of identifying clips and not asking
15:53:49	23	that they be removed so that there would be
15:53:52	24	one massive takedown notice sent to YouTube?
15:53:55	25	MR. ZUROFSKY: Objection.

Schapiro Exhibit 11

1

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
       FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
                     ---000---
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION
PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED AND
BOURNE CO., ET AL., ON BEHALF )
OF THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS )
SIMILARLY SITUATED,,
          PLAINTIFFS,
                              ) 07 CIV. 3582(LLS)
               vs.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC
AND GOOGLE, INC.,,
         DEFENDANTS.
VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,
COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT
PICTURES CORPORATION, AND
BLACK ENTERTAINMENT
TELEVISION, LLC,
          PLAINTIFFS,
                                07 CIV. 2103 (LLS)
               vs.
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC
AND GOOGLE, INC.,,
          DEFENDANTS.
```

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF COURTNEY NIEMAN WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2009 PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

Job No. 18293

```
2
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
2
             FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
                           ---000---
     THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION
     PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED AND
     BOURNE CO., ET AL., ON BEHALF )
5
     OF THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS )
6
     SIMILARLY SITUATED,,
7
                PLAINTIFFS,
                                     ) 07 CIV. 3582(LLS)
                     vs.
8
     YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC
9
     AND GOOGLE, INC.,,
10
             DEFENDANTS.
11
     VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC.,
     COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)
12
      TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT
      PICTURES CORPORATION, AND
13
      BLACK ENTERTAINMENT
14
      TELEVISION, LLC,
15
                PLAINTIFFS,
                                        07 CIV. 2103 (LLS)
                     vs.
16
      YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC
17
      AND GOOGLE, INC.,,
18
                DEFENDANTS.
19
            VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF COURTNEY NEIMAN,
20
      TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS, AT 9:28 A.M.,
21
      WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2009 AT 650 PAGE MILL ROAD,
22
      PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA BEFORE MARY JACKSON, CSR NO.
23
24
      8688, PURSUANT TO NOTICE.
25
```

Γ		
		3
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Plaintiff Viacom:	
3	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP	
4	1099 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 900	
5	Washington, D.C. 20001 BY: JAMES COX, ESQ.	
6	(202) 637-6361	
7	jamescox@jenner.com	
8	For the Plaintiffs The Football Association Premier	
9	League Limited:	
10	PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP 2049 Century Park E, Suite 3200	
11	Los Angeles, California 90067 BY: GIL PELES, ESQ.	1
12	(310) 284-5611 gpeles@proskauer.com	
13		
14	For the Non-Party BayTSP:	
15	KENDALL, BRILL & KLIEGER, LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 1725	
16	Los Angeles, California 90067 BY: PHILIP KELLY, III, ESQ.	
17	(310)272-7908 pkelly@kbkfirm.com	
18		
19	For the Defendants Google and YouTube:	
20	WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road	
21	Palo Alto, California 94304 BY: DAVID KRAMER, ESQ.	
22	BART VOLKMER, ESQ. (650) 493-9300	
23	dkramer@wsgr.com bvolkmer@wsgr.com	
	ALSO PRESENT: OSAMA HUSSAIN, BayTSP Counsel	
24	STUART PETTIGREW, Videographer	
25	STUART PETITGREW, Videographer	

_		
		114
1		identification.)
2	12:08	MR. KRAMER: Q. Do you recognize
3		Exhibit 15?
4	12:08	A. Yes, I do.
5	12:08	Q. What is it?
6	12:08	A. It's a hang on. I'm getting to the
7		page where there's actually printing. Holy smokes.
8		We cut down a forest to do this one.
9	12:08	Q. Mm-hmm.
10	12:08	A. I don't recall this format of this report.
11		However, I do recall the report in general. It was
12		part of the weekly process of informing our clients
13		what we did based on their instructions.
14	12:08	Q. In Exhibit 15, there is an e-mail exchange
15		on the cover page between Bay and Viacom
16		representatives including Ms. Hallie, Mr. Cahan,
17		you're included as a cc. In the first in time
18		message, Ms. Arizala says, "Please review the
19		enclosed YouTube, Google Video, MySpace and Yahoo!
20		Video approved notice sent rule."
21	12:09	A. Mm-hmm.
22	12:09	Q. Do you know what that's a reference to?
23	12:09	A. It would be, here's the report for the
24		actions you told us to take.
25	12:09	Q. But I'm specifically asking about the

		115
1		"approved notice sent rule," not the report itself.
2	12:09	A. I don't know what what Deana why she
3		chose that phrase. But in my dealings with Deana as
4		a manager, that's I would ask her what she meant
5		by that.
, 6	12:09	Q. The last four pages of Exhibit 15
7	12:09	A. Yeah.
8	12:09	Q are one-page charts entitled MTV Agent
9		Asset Rule List for each of the four services
10		Ms. Arizala mentions in her message: YouTube,
11		MySpace, Google Video, and Yahoo! And there is a
12		list of shows in common among each of the four
13		lists. Do you see that?
14	12:10	A. Yes.
15	12:10	Q. Do you recognize this as the rules that
16		BayTSP was to follow with respect to each of those
17		services at the time?
18	12:10	A. I recall that this table was the
19		representation of what client services, Deana,
20		myself, understood as to how BayTSP was to enforce
21		these titles.
22	12:10	Q. Got it. You were involved in helping to
23		prepare these asset agent rule lists?
24	12:10	A. Inasmuch as the manager of client services
25		e-mails, phone calls, yeah, I'm sure it came up.

-		
		116
1	12:11	Q. Okay.
2	12:11	A. Please do this; please do that.
3	12:11	Q. If you take a look at the let me see if
4		I can do it this way. Can you explain to me what
5		these rules were looking at, these charts as of
6		November 6th, 2006?
7	12:11	A. Yes. We will take, for example, column
8		one would be the content order. So Spice TV, Comedy
9		Central, Viacom in general, Country Music
10		Television, blah, blah. The second one would
11		be the specific network of that content holder that
12		those titles belong to. And then notices were to be
13		sent on the complete entire show versus a clip, some
14		subset, and, with God as my witness, I don't
15		remember what other than looking at it here, that
16		the rule would be to would be used to define what
17		is a clip.
18	12:12	Q. On which action should be taken, right?
19	12:12	A. Yeah. So full was fairly straightforward.
20		It's the whole episode. Whereas whether it had
21		commercials or not was irrelevant. It was, did you
22		have the whole show? Clip would have been anything
23		less than the whole show. So two and a half would
24		be in minutes as opposed to seconds or days or
25		greater.

Г		
		117
1	12:12	Q. So these charts reflect that at this time,
2		the full episode rule was in effect at YouTube,
3		right?
4	12:12	MR. COX: Objection. Document speaks for
5		itself.
6	12:12	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Mischaracterizes
7		objection mischaracterizes the document.
8	12:13	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm lost.
9	12:13	MR. KRAMER: Q. Sure.
10	12:13	A. Because I don't as I read this, these
11		rules for engagement were for "please review the
12		enclosed YouTube, Google Video, MySpace, Yahoo!
13		Video."
14	12:13	Q. We have one page for each of the four
15		services that you just mentioned, and on each page
16		there are the rules that you just described, but
17		they differ.
18	12:13	A. Oh, okay.
19	12:13	Q. So for the page entitled YouTube Approved
20		Notice Sent, which is the first of the four
21		charts
22	12:14	A. Yes. There is full rule full assets is
23		the rule for the YouTube page; full assets is for
24		the Google page; full assets and some clips for
25	:	MySpace; and full assets and some clips for Yahoo!

_		
		118
1		That's what that tells me.
2	12:14	Q. And my question is, do you have any
3		insight into why the rules for YouTube and Google
4		Video were different than the rules for MySpace and
5		Yahoo! at the time?
6	12:14	A. No.
7	12:14	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Objection. Calls for
8		speculation.
9	12:14	THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
10	12:14	MR. KRAMER: Q. Were you communicating
11		Viacom's takedown rules to YouTube at the time?
12	12:14	A. No.
13	12:14	Q. Why not?
14	12:14	A. We didn't communicate our practices to
15		anyone. To I mean inasmuch as I didn't tell
16		YouTube or MySpace or AT&T or Canada Net, I didn't
17		tell I and none of my staff would have
18		communicated any rules. We just sent the DMCA
' 19		notice.
20	12:15	Q. Were you under instructions not to reveal
21	·	Viacom's takedown rules to YouTube?
22	12:15	A. If it's in the if it's in my NDA or
23		work rules. I don't remember somebody giving me an
24		explicit instruction. I don't recall somebody
25		saying, don't tell, but

		119
1	12:15	Q. Do you think it would have helped YouTube
2		follow Viacom's wishes with respect to having its
3		content appear on YouTube
4	12:15	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Objection. Calls for
5		speculation. No matter where you go with the
6		question, it's going to call for speculation.
7	12:15	MR. KRAMER: Yeah, but you have to let me
.8		get the question so the record's clear, and then
9		you can object. And if I think your objection
10	12:16	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Don't lecture me on
11		how to defend a
12	12:16	MR. KRAMER: No, Counsel, it's not just
13		proper. It's just not proper. You have to let me
14		get the question out so we can make the record, and
15		then if you have an objection, you can make it. I
16	·	can decide whether I want to restate the question or
17		not. But if you object in the middle of the
18		question, we don't even know whether your objection
19		is going to be meritorious. So just wait until I
20		get the question out. That's all. Just courtesy.
21		Okay?
22	12:16	MR. KRAMER: Q. Do you think it would
23		have helped YouTube follow Viacom's wishes with
24		respect to having its content appear on YouTube if
25		Viacom had communicated these kinds of rules to

		133
1		putting them together and creating a new a new
2		piece of art, a new whatever you want to call it,
3		putting a new voice stream over a clip for humor
4		sake. That's taking more than one piece of
5		original work and reworking it to come up with
6		something else.
7	1:11	And that's when I someone told me about
8		Andy Warhol. I mean I knew of the images. I just
9		didn't realize that was of consequence. He took
10		something everybody knew, an icon, and did something
11		to it and made it different. So Andy Warhol's
12		pictures, in my mind, were a mash-up.
13	1:11	What defines a clip? I don't know that I
14		ever got that answered. You know, let's go to the
15		clip. You know, in sports, I don't know. So I
16		don't know. It was it was struggling to
17		understand the process.
18	1:11	Q. So the next question on the list is one
19		about which I'd like to ask you. You asked
20		Mr. Ishikawa, "Is setting any time limit arbitrary?"
21		Sitting here today, do you believe that setting time
22		limits for the clips that should be taken down
23		versus the clips that should be left up was an
24		arbitrary process?
25	1:12	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Object to form.

_		
		134
1	1:12	THE WITNESS: I don't have an opinion.
2	1:12	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay. How about back
3		when you were a manager at BayTSP?
4	1:12	A. Same answer. I didn't have an opinion.
5		Did what I was told.
6	1:12	MR. COX: Same objection.
7	1:12	MR. KRAMER: Fair enough.
8	1:12	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Can I ask a quick
9		question here?
10	1:12	MR. KRAMER: Sure.
11	1:12	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Courtney, what
12		exactly is a mash-up? What is your understanding of
13		one?
14	1:12	THE WITNESS: Two or more pieces of
15		original work being put together to create a third.
16	1:12	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Okay.
17	1:13	(Whereupon Exhibit No. 18 was marked for
18		identification.)
19	1:13	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okey doke. Exhibit 18
20		is an e-mail exchange between BayTSP and MTVN
21		representatives on which you were copied with the
22		subject line, Video Takedown 11/14/2006. And
23		contained within the e-mail exchange itself, there
24		is a report entitled MTV Network's Video Takedown
25		Update. That's at the bottom of the first page.

		135
1		The title is on the bottom of the first page. Then
2		the report starts on page 2.
3	1:14	A. Yes.
4	1:14	Q. Let me ask you if you've seen reports like
5		this at BayTSP?
6	1:14	A. Yes.
7	1:14	Q. This is a report on the application of the
8		Viacom takedown effort through BayTSP on that day,
9		November 14th, 2006, right?
10	1:14	A. Yes.
11	1:14	Q. And in the chart at the top of page 2
12		there are the four services that we looked at
13		earlier: YouTube, MySpace, Yahoo! Video, and Google
14		Video, right?
15	1:14	A. Yes.
16	1:14	Q. So am I reading the chart correctly in
17		saying that it shows on that day BayTSP sent
18		takedown notices for 22 episodes and 36 clips on
19		YouTube?
20	1:14	A. Yes.
21	1:14	Q. Okay. In the next column it says, "Passed
22		on." Do you know what that means?
23	1:15	A. Means we determined what we saw didn't
24		fall within the rules that we had been given, too
25		long, too short, it wasn't the clip.

		136
1	1:15	Q. So on that day, Bay found and sent
2		takedown notices to YouTube for 58 videos containing
3		what it thought was Viacom content?
4	1:15	A. Yes.
5	1:15	Q. And on that day, it also found and left
6		out or passed on 555 videos on the YouTube service,
7		right?
8	1:15	A. Of the ones they reviewed, yes.
9	1:15	Q. So 555 clips that were passed on because
10		they fell outside of Viacom's takedown rules, right?
11	1:15	MR. COX: Objection. Asked and answered.
12	1:15	THE WITNESS: Trying to make sure I
13		understand. I believe that is correct.
14	1:16	MR. KRAMER: Q. And the reason that
15		BayTSP left up on YouTube those 555 clips it found
16		was because Viacom directed BayTSP to leave them up,
17		right
18	1:16	MR. COX: Objection. Calls for
19		speculation.
20	1:16	MR. KRAMER: Q as part of its
21		instructions?
22	1:16	A. No, that would not be correct.
23	1:16	Q. Why is that not correct?
24	1:16	A. We were not given instructions, per se, of
25		what to leave up.

		137
1	1:16	Q. Fair enough. The converse of an
2		instruction to take something down, however, is it
3		implicitly to leave it up, right?
4	1:16	A. Yes.
5	1:16	Q. Okay. In the next table down in the
6		document, there's a chart labeled P2P?
7	1:17	A. Yes.
8	1:17	Q. Can you explain what that shows?
9	1:17	A. Those were files that we found on those
10		three P2P networks: Gnutella, eDonkey and
11		BitTorrent.
12	1:17	Q. That you believed contained Viacom
13		content?
14	1:17	A. Yes.
15	1:17	Q. And so on that day, BayTSP representatives
16		identified 7,626 pieces of Viacom content on the
17		BitTorrent service, correct?
18	1:17	A. That's what this report would suggest.
19	1:17	Q. So Bay had found a far greater volume of
20		what it thought was Viacom content on P2P networks
21		that day than it had found on YouTube, right?
22	1:17	MR. COX: Object to the form.
23	1:18	THE WITNESS: It would be an inaccurate
24		comparison.
25	1:18	MR. KRAMER: Q. Hmm. Well, I suppose I
	1	

<u> </u>		
		138
1		should ask you why that's an inaccurate comparison.
2	1:18	A. Why can't you make a Yugo go as fast as a
3		drag race rail car?
4	1:18	Q. I take it you're a fan of car racing. I'm
5		getting that sense.
6	1:18	A. It is not designed to do so. Why can't
7		you find bags of oranges that have counts of upwards
8		to 1,000 like you would if you bought a bag of
9		peanuts? It's apples and oranges. You can't make
10		that comparison.
11	1:18	The kind of things you can find on a P2P
12		network have a broader base, a global base, than you
13		would find on a particular video service regardless
14		of service. So
15	1:19	Q. Can you elaborate on that?
16	1:19	A. There's no relationship between the number
17		we found or passed on a service on a single point
18		of source, MySpace server, than we would find on the
19	·	untold and I say that because I don't know the
20		untold number of BitTorrent servers that are out
21		there on the planet Earth. There's more
22		possibilities to find things on BitTorrent than you
23		would find on YouTube or MySpace or whatever just
24		because of the sheer number of source points.
25	1:19	Q. Okay. Let's let me come it at slightly

		139
1		differently. In the chart beneath the P2P chart
2		there's a list by asset of Viacom content
3	1:20	A. Mm-hmm.
4	1:20	Q that rolls up into the 22 episodes, 36
5		clips and 556 clips passed on for the day, right?
6	1:20	A. Yes.
7	1:20	Q. And so on that given day Viacom
8		encountered 316 different pieces of content on the
9		YouTube service that appeared to contain content
10	·	from South Park, it took down one clip?
11	1:20	A. Yes.
12	1:20	Q. It took down one clip, and it passed on
13		315, correct?
14	1:20	A. Yes.
15	1:20	Q. So I'm reading that correctly. The counts
16		for the P2P services that appear in the chart above
17		the asset-by-asset breakdown
18	1:20	A. Mm-hmm.
19	1:20	Q those counts were for the same list of
20		assets that appear in the breakdown beneath it,
21		right?
22	1:20	MR. COX: Object to form, lacks
23		foundation.
24	1:21	THE WITNESS: I don't know in that the
25		start of this, I don't know if the P2P chart refers

_		
		140
1		to the assets below or the asset above, the European
2		Music Awards 2006 or to the asset list below. I
3		don't remember.
4	1:21	MR. KRAMER: Q. Take a look, if you
5		would, at the page that starts the page that ends
6		with the Bates No. BayTSP 522. See that's the topic
7		heading P2P Not Sent?
8	1:21	A. Excuse me. Yes.
9	1:21	Q. And there's a breakdown by asset which
10		matches the same assets in the
11	1:21	A. Okay.
12	1:21	Q prior YouTube chart, right?
13	1:21	A. Yes. Okay.
14	1:21	Q. So the totals in the P2P chart for each of
15		the three P2P services, Gnutella, eDonkey and
16		BitTorrent, those correspond to the specific list of
17		assets that BayTSP was charged with identifying for
18		YouTube as well, correct?
19	1:22	A. Yes.
20	1:22	MR. COX: Object to the form.
21	1:22	MR. KRAMER: Q. So am I reading the
22		chart correctly that on this day, November 14, 2006,
23		with respect to P2P services, BayTSP identified some
24		6500 pieces of content on P2P networks that it
25	-	believed contained content from Viacom's show South

_		
		149
1	1:34	A. No.
2	1:34	Q. Why not?
3	1:34	A. There were no rules at the time for a time
4		limitation when I first became aware of YouTube,
5		MySpace, video sharing, videos were five minutes,
6		videos were an hour, videos were two hours. There
7		was no rule that said, okay, you can't put up just
8		part of it. And there was nothing there from the
9		videos that I watched, I don't recall ever seeing an
10		entire movie, hundred and two minutes in its
11		entirety. But I can think of a number of times,
12	ı	including now, where you can see whole content on
13		YouTube.
14	1:35	I like watching the Glenn Beck show. It
15		is approximately a 38-minute, sucking out
16		commercials, and some people put it up in two parts.
17		Some people put it up in six parts. Some people put
18		it up in so the point is, nothing about the clip
19		identifies its source.
20	1:35	Q. Okay. But couldn't you tell if it was a
21		full episode of South Park, that it wasn't
22		authorized to be there?
23	1:35	A. No.
24	1:35	Q. The whole thing was there. Doesn't that
25		give it away?
	1	

		150
1	1:35	MR. COX: Object to the form.
2	1:36	THE WITNESS: No.
3	1:36	MR. KRAMER: Q. Do you think it's likely
4		that someone with authority to do it at Viacom was
5		uploading full episodes of South Park to YouTube?
6	1:36	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Objection. Asked and
7		answered, argumentative. She's already said no.
8		She's not able to identify whether or not any one of
9	•	these full episodes had any authority to be posted
10		or did not.
11	1:36	MR. KRAMER: Okay.
12	1:36	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: There's no way to
13		tell from a full episode whether or not the person
14		that uploaded it had authority. No matter how many
15		times you ask the question, you're going to get the
16		same answer. The answer's no.
17	1:36	THE WITNESS: I'm with her.
18	1:36	MR. KRAMER: Q. You agree with the
19		sentiment your attorney just expressed?
20	1:36	A. Yeah. There is no connection between what
21		you see in YouTube, the person who posted it, and
22		the person who produced it. There is no
23		identifiable link.
24	1:36	Q. Meaning there's no way to tell
25	1:36	A. Correct.

		151
1	1:36	Q whether it's authorized?
2	1:36	A. Correct.
3	1:37	(Whereupon Exhibit No. 21 was marked for
4		identification.)
5	1:37	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Can we go off the
6		record just one second?
7	1:37	MR. KRAMER: Sure. Off the record.
8	1:37	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:38. Off
9		the record.
10	1:37	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
11	1:37	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 1:38. On the
12		record.
13	1:37	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay.
14	1:37	A. Okay.
15	1:37	Q. Ms. Nieman, Exhibit 21 is a similar e-mail
16		exchange you had with someone named Misty at YouTube
17		the same day as Exhibit 20, right?
18	1:38	A. Yes.
19	1:38	Q. Your message starts with the same list of
20		clips on YouTube and the same requests, "Please take
21		this down immediately." Misty responds that she
22		removed the videos but she, too, thought that the
23		account might have been set up by Comedy Central.
24		Do you see that?
25	1:38	MR. COX: Object to the characterization

Г		
		152
1		of the document.
2	1:38	THE WITNESS: I don't know what Misty was
3		thinking. As I read this, she had some belief that
4		they may have come from a valid source.
5	1:38	MR. KRAMER: Q. And you wrote, "Referring
6		to this account, South Park Studios, they are
7		associated with Comedy Central, but MTVN has the
8		exclusive rights"?
9	1:38	A. Yes.
10	1:38	Q. So you thought at that point that the user
11		South Park Studios was associated with Comedy
12		Central, right?
13	1:38	A. I believe our client informed us of that.
14	1:39	Q. Do you recall who specifically?
15	1:39	A. No, I do not.
16	1:39	Q. Can you turn back to Exhibit 8, which is
17		the work digest for Project 1 for MTV?
18	1:39	A. Got it.
19	1:39	Q. And if you could look at the second page
20		of Exhibit 8
21	1:39	A. Yes.
22	1:39	Q. Under where it says, "Description of
23		Activity," it says, "YouTube is no longer an active
24		protocol in Project 1 because of the implementation
25		of Project 2." Do you know what that means?
	I	

		160
1	1:49	Q. Then it has a description of activity
2		under the project details section in this digest?
3	1:50	A. Mm-hmm.
4	1:50	Q. Does that accurately reflect your
5		understanding of the project that BayTSP was tasked
6		with by Viacom?
7	1:50	A. Give me a moment.
8	1:50	MR. COX: Objection. Vague, ambiguous,
9		lacks foundation.
10	1:50	THE WITNESS: Yes, that is an accurate
11		description of what we were engaged to do.
12	1:50	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay. Do you recall
13		Viacom giving BayTSP a specific instruction to
14		accumulate a large list of clips on the YouTube
15		service that BayTSP believed contained Viacom
16		content rather than send takedowns as BTS as
17		BayTSP became aware of those clips?
18	1:51	MR. COX: Object to the form.
19	1:51	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	1:51	MR. KRAMER: Q. And who gave that
21		instruction?
22	1:51	A. I don't recall. My recollection, it would
23		have been Evelyn. Evelyn would have been the one
24		who informed me.
25	1:51	Q. Viacom instructed BayTSP to accumulate

		161
1		clips it identified so that Viacom could send one
2		massive takedown request to YouTube instead of
3		sending takedowns as BayTSP became aware of clips,
4		right?
5	1:51	MR. COX: Objection. Calls for
6		speculation.
7	1:51	THE WITNESS: I don't know the motivation
8		for it. I don't I don't know.
9	1:51	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay. So when BayTSP
10		would identify clips of content on the YouTube
11		service in the course of this project that BayTSP
12		believed contained Viacom content, BayTSP's
13		instructions were not to send a takedown notice
14		until 100,000 clips were accumulated, right?
15	1:52	MR. COX: Object to the form.
16	1:52	THE WITNESS: I believe the instruction
17		was to hold the clips. I don't remember a specific
18		number being conveyed to us initially. At some
19		point somebody may have said 50, 80, 100, 200. I
20		don't know. I know the instructions were to hold
21		those notices.
22	1:52	MR. KRAMER: Q. Well, the description of
23		the activity that is in the work digest for this
24		project says once 100,000 infringements have been
25		met, that was the

		162
1	1:52	A. Yes.
2	1:52	Q. That was the figure?
3	1:52	A. That's in February. This project began in
4		December. When this began, I don't remember anybody
5		giving us a target.
6	1:52	Q. Well, Exhibit 8, which is sorry. Not
7		Exhibit 8. Exhibit 22 also refers to 100,000 clip
8		figure, right?
9	1:53	A. It does, but I don't remember it.
10	1:53	Q. Hmm. You don't remember you do
11		remember that it was part of the project. You just
12		don't remember when the instruction to collect up
13		100,000 was given?
14	1:53	A. Yes.
15	1:53	Q. Okay. Don't you recall being informed
16		that Viacom was queuing up takedown notices as part
17		of a strategy for dealing with YouTube?
18	1:53	MR. COX: Object to the form.
19	1:53	THE WITNESS: No.
20	1:54	(Whereupon Exhibit No. 24 was marked for
21		identification.)
22	1:54	MR. KRAMER: Q. Exhibit 24 is an e-mail
23		exchange between Mr. Ishikawa, Bay's CEO, and Donna
24		Cooper of Black Entertainment Television, dated
25		January 24th, 2007, on which you are copied. Has

_		
		163
1		the subject BET Asset List.
2	1:54	A. Yes.
3	1:54	Q. In the last in time e-mail to Ms. Cooper,
4		which you received, Mr. Ishikawa writes that BayTSP
5		was, quote, queuing up the takedown notices as
6		instructed by Adam at MTVN. Do you see that?
7	1:54	A. Yes.
8	1:54	Q. You received this e-mail, did you not?
9	1 : 55	A. Well, yes, it came into my inbox.
10	1:55	Q. You were informed in this e-mail, were you
11		not, that BayTSP had been instructed by Adam at MTVN
12		to queue up takedown notices, right?
13	1:55	MR. COX: Objection. Document speaks for
14		itself.
15	1:55	THE WITNESS: I may have read this. It
16		didn't register. When I would receive e-mails
17		regarding things, I looked at: Does this matter to
18		me; are they giving me a different set of
19		instructions; what to take down; are we getting a
20		new asset; are we taking down an asset? Okay.
21		Nothing in this rings any bells to me other than
22		that there's a woman named Donna Cooper who has some
23		influence or direction over BET. But it doesn't
24		tell me to start or stop anything, so I wouldn't
25		have paid attention to it.

-		
		. 166
1		client services.
2	1:58	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay.
3	1:58	A. BayTSP, whoever would be, would be the
4		head of that project. My role in that project,
5		would be to oversee Deana who was the Paramount and
6		Sarah who was Viacom. But beyond that, we didn't
7		client services never dictated what was done, how it
8		was done, why it was done, when it was done.
9	1:59	Q. What did it do?
10	1:59	A. We were told, review the infringements,
11		send notices, build reports to inform our clients of
12		what was sent. That's it. That's what client
13		services did.
14	1:59	Q. The Sarah you're referring to is whom?
15	1:59	A. Cruz.
16	1:59	Q. And her job was what?
17	1:59	A. She was a client services manager.
18	1:59	Q. For what client?
19	1:59	A. I believe it was Viacom.
20	1:59	Q. And Deana Arizala was Paramount?
21	1:59	A. Yes.
22	1:59	Q. And they both reported to you?
23	1:59	A. Yes.
24	1:59	Q. So at this time, Viacom was learning of
25		the presence of clips on the YouTube service that

		167
1		BayTSP believed contained its content, and BayTSP
2		was instructed not to send out notices for those
3		clips, right?
4	2:00	MR. COX: Object to form, asked and
5		answered.
6	2:00	THE WITNESS: I don't know what I don't
7		know what Viacom was doing. I don't know what
8		anybody at Viacom was doing. I just know, my level
9		on down, don't send notices, just hold them,
10	2:00	MR. KRAMER: Q. Okay. So BayTSP was
11		instructed to do that by Viacom, hold the notices
12		and not send them to YouTube?
13	2:00	MR. COX: Object to the form.
14	2:00	THE WITNESS: Based solely on the chain of
15		command, client tells service, do this; service does
16		that. So in this case Viacom is client, BayTSP is
17		service. Client tells services, don't send notices,
18		we don't send notices.
19	2:01	MR. KRAMER: Q. In the client contact
20		information section of the work digest
21	2:01	A. Okay.
22	2:01	Q. That's Exhibit 23.
23	2:01	A. Got it.
24	2:01	Q. On the page that ends with the numbers
25		128.

		168
1	2:01	A. Okay. Give me a second. I'm almost
2		there. Yes.
3	2:01	Q. There are two attorneys from Viacom's law
4		firm, Jenner & Block, listed, Mr. Hohengarten and
5		Ms. Tenney?
6	2:02	A. Mm-hmm.
7	2:02	Q. How were they involved in this mass
8		takedown project?
9	2:02	MS. COLEMAN-BISHOP: Objection.
10		Attorney-client privilege.
11	2:02	Anything that you may have any knowledge
12		as to any communications with these two attorneys,
13		instruct you not to answer the question so far as
14		they would have been involved in any legal advice
15		given to your former employer.
16	2:02	THE WITNESS: I don't know who they are.
17	2:02	MR. KRAMER: That takes care of that
18		instruction.
19	2:02	MR. KRAMER: Q. There was a group of
20		people in Washington, D.C. working on this project,
21		right?
22	2:02	A. Haven't a clue.
23	2:02	Q. Okay. Do you recognize the name Warren
24		Solow, other than the fact that it appears in this
25		document? Do you remember hearing the name?

	÷	201
1	3:06	Q. Okay.
2	3:06	A. More than one month.
3	3:06	Q. BayTSP finally executed on this strategy
4		of sending one mass takedown notice, right?
5	3:06	A. Yes.
6	3:06	Q. Do you remember the date?
7	3:06	A. No.
8	3:06	Q. I'll represent to you that it was
9		February 2nd, 2007. We'll come back to that. So
10		what happened on that date with respect to the
11		transmission of the notice?
12	3:06	A. They went out, I believe, in blocks. In
13		other words, we didn't we didn't have one e-mail
14		with 100,000 or whatever it was. I believe they
15		went out in blocks. I don't remember what the block
16		size was. And I believe they were all transmitted
17		within hours two, three I don't know for sure.
18	3:06	Q. Do you remember
19	3:06	A. And then
20	3:06	Q. Go ahead.
21	3:06	A. And then nothing else happened. Nobody
22		breathed a sigh of relief or tipped a glass or
23		anything. We just we sent notices, which is what
24		we were doing all along.
25	3:07	Q. This was just ordinary practice?

		202
1	3:07	A. Yes.
2	3:07	Q. Really?
3	3:07	A. Yes, thousands of notices goes out of the
4		BayTSP every day, even as we speak.
5	3:07	Q. The transmission of this mass takedown
6		notice to YouTube was just standard ordinary
7		operating procedure for BayTSP?
8	3:07	MR. COX: Objection. Asked and answered.
9	3:07	THE WITNESS: No. The transmission of
10		notices is standard operating procedure.
11	3:07	MR. KRAMER: Q. But this was a big deal,
12		right?
13	3:07	A. For Viacom, I don't know.
14	3:07	Q. Was it a big deal for Bay?
15	3:07	A. No. It was different, but it wasn't a big
16		deal.
17	3:07	Q. How is it different?
18	3:07	A. Because normally we find and send. This
19		was a find, hold, send. That's all.
20	3:07	Q. Who from Viacom gave the launch command to
21		BayTSP?
22	3:07	A. I don't remember.
23	3:08	(Whereupon Exhibit No. 29 was marked for
24		identification.)
25	3:08	MR. KRAMER: Q. Exhibit 29 is a

_		
		203
1		transcript of an AOL Instant Message chat between
2		you and others on BayTSP on February 2nd, 2007,
3		right?
4	3:08	A. Mm-hmm.
5	3:08	Q. It's just a recording of a conversation
6		that people were having online at the time, right?
7	3:08	A. Yes.
8	3:08	Q. Your AOL Instant Message chat name is
9		BayTSP C-A-N-N-E, correct?
10	3:08	A. Correct.
11	3:08	Q. Who is BayTSP Spider?
12	3:08	A. I don't know.
13	3:08	Q. Bay Deana, is that Deana Arizala?
14	3:09	A. Yes.
15	3:09	Q. BayTSP Spider, Mark Ishikawa?
16	3:09	A. I can't remember. It could be. I don't
17		know.
18	3:09	Q. Did you regularly communicate using
19		Instant Message at BayTSP?
20	3:09	A. No.
21	3:09	Q. Did you regularly have joint conversations
22		with all of these people on Instant Messaging?
23	3:09	A. No. Instant Messaging was the was an
24		exception.
25	3:09	Q. Why was it that you were having a chat

Schapiro Exhibit 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY)
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION,)
INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES
CORPORATION, AND BLACK
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

) No. 07-CV-2203

vs.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

) No. 07-CV-3582

vs.

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

C O N F I D E N T I A L VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANDREW LIN THURSDAY, JULY 2, 2009, 10:02 A.M. LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Job No. 17155

```
Page 2
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
              FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2
      VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY )
       PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION,)
      INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES
5
       CORPORATION, AND BLACK
       ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,
6
                                           ) No. 07-CV-2203
                  Plaintiffs,
            VS.
       YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND
       GOOGLE, INC.,
10
                  Defendants.
11
       THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER
       LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)
12
       on behalf of themselves and all
       others similarly situated,
13
                                            ) No. 07-CV-3582
                  Plaintiffs,
14
15
            vs.
       YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND
16
       GOOGLE, INC.,
17
                   Defendants.
18
                 Videotaped deposition of ANDREW LIN taken
19
       on behalf of the Defendants, before
20
       Kimberly Reichert, Certified Shorthand Reporter No.
21
       10986 for the State of California, commencing at
22
       10:02 a.m. on Thursday, July 2, 2009, at Mayer Brown
23
       located at 350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los
24
       Angeles, California.
25
```

```
Page 3
      APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
1
      For Plaintiff VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al. and the
2
      witness:
3
                 JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
                 BY: SCOTT WILKENS, ESQ.
                 1099 New York Ave NW
                 Suite 900
                 Washington, District of Columbia 20001
                 (202) 639-6072
                 (202) 661-4832 Fax
                 swilkens@jenner.com
7
8
       For Defendants YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC AND GOOGLE,
9
       INC.:
10
                 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
                 BY: BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.
11
                  650 Page Mill Road
                 Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
12
                  (650) 565-3508
                  (650) 493-6811 Fax
13
                 bvolkmer@wsgr.com
14
15
       ALSO PRESENT: David Cavanaugh, Videographer
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 21
10:21:13	1	Q That's the only YouTube account you've
	2	ever used in the course of performing
	3	business-related activities, the Miramax Films
	4	account?
10:21:23	5	A Oh, wait. Are you referring to my time at
	6	Paramount or
	7	Q I'm referring to any time.
	8	A If I understand the question correctly,
	9	you're asking if I have ever used any nonbusiness
10:21:43	10	accounts for business purposes?
	11	Q No. Have you ever used any YouTube
	12	account aside from the Miramax Films account for
	13	business purposes?
	14	A No.
10:21:56	15	Well, define "business purposes," I guess,
	16	is the question is what I'm asking.
	17	Q Uploading clips to YouTube on behalf of
	18	your employer would be a business purpose.
	19	Do you have are there any other YouTube
10:22:10	20	accounts?
	21	A I've never used any I've never used any
	22	YouTube accounts other than the official studio
	23	accounts to upload official studio trailers or clips
	24	onto YouTube.
10:22:23	25	Q Okay. So which studio accounts have you

		Page 22
10:22:25	1	used to upload promotional material to YouTube
	2	besides the Miramax Films account that we discussed
	3	earlier?
	4	MR. WILKENS: Objection as to form.
10:22:39	5	THE WITNESS: At my time at Paramount
	6	Classics/Vantage
	7	BY MR. VOLKMER:
	8	Q Yes.
	9	A I would use account I'm sorry, the
10:22:45	10	question was?
	11	Q Which studio accounts have you used to
	12	upload promotional material to YouTube besides the
	13	Miramax Films account that we discussed earlier?
	14	A So you're referring to the user account
10:22:57	15	names?
	16	Q The user account names. Correct.
	17	A At Paramount Classics there was an I.D.
	18	Paramount Classics and at and once we changed the
	19	name, it was another account was created called
10:23:11	20	Paramount Vantage.
	21	Q Have you ever uploaded clips to YouTube
	22	using any other YouTube user name for business
	23	purposes besides Miramax Films, Paramount Vantage
	24	and Paramount Classics?
10:23:33	25	A During
	•	

		Page 23
10:23:34	1	Q Anytime.
	2	A To the best of my recollection, no.
·	3	Q And when you were employed at Paramount
	4	Vantage, you would use YouTube to promote Paramount
10:23:54	5	Vantage films; is that right?
	6	MR. WILKENS: Objection as to form.
	7	THE WITNESS: Again, to promote.
	8	BY MR. VOLKMER:
	9	Q I'll just make this a little easier.
10:24:12	10	When you were employed at Paramount
	11	Vantage, you would use YouTube in the course of your
	12	employment; correct?
	13	A Correct.
	14	Q And can you describe how you would use
10:24:23	15	YouTube?
	16	A How I would use YouTube? We created
	17	accounts and we would upload trailers mainly to the
	18	account. There were there was a person there by
	19	the name of Kevin Donahue who helped us put the clip
10:25:10	20	onto the home page of YouTube. It was a I would
	21	say a symbiotic relationship. They were looking for
	22	content, good content, to help them, presumably, and
	23	we felt presumably that they could also help us.
	24	Q By providing promotional opportunities for
10:25:36	25	your films?

		Page 69
11:40:22	1	appreciated it. And I was glad to see it on the
	2	home page as with any other clip on any other site's
	3	home page.
	4	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:40:33	5	Q Did you ever tell anyone that you were
	6	thrilled that it was up there?
	7	A I might have. I'm not sure exactly if I
	8	used those exact words, but, yeah.
	9	Q And if you could turn to the last message
11:40:59	10	on the first page.
	11	A Last message on the page or
	12	Q I'm sorry, the last
	13	A The bottom?
	14	Q The bottom.
11:41:08	15	A Okay.
	16	Q You say to Mr. Donahue, "Let me know if I
	17	can ever help again in praising YouTube in to the
	18	media. You guys are always pushing the bar higher."
	19	Why were you offering to praise YouTube in
11:41:25	20	the media?
	21	A At that time it could be a number of
	22	things. Maybe a little personal ego to have my own
	23	name in the press. Embarrassing enough now just to
	24	admit that. But at the time I believe that I
11:41:45	25	thought that YouTube was, you know, a good service

F		
		Page 70
11:41:59	1	and it was a good service and we, you know, used
	2	them.
	3	Q Do you still think that YouTube is a good
	4	service?
11:42:12	5	A Yes.
	6	Q What did you mean when you said that
	7	YouTube was pushing the bar higher?
	8	A I don't recall exactly what I was .
	9	referring to when I said that.
11:42:45	10	MR. VOLKMER: I'd like to mark Exhibit 6. This
	11	is a Wall Street Journal article from June 27, 2006
	12	that I printed up off of the Lexis Nexis service.
	13	(Defendants' Exhibit 6 was marked for
	14	identification by the deposition officer and is
11:43:15	15	attached hereto.)
·	16	MR. VOLKMER: This article is written by
	17	Kevin Delaney and it's titled "With NBC Pact,
	18	YouTube Site Tries to Build a Lasting Business."
	19	Q And, Mr. Lin, you're quoted in this
11:43:28	20	article if you turn to the third page. And it's the
	21	fifth full paragraph.
	22	MR. WILKENS: You should feel free to read the
	23	article if you'd like.
	24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11:44:16	25	///

		Page 71
11:44:16	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
	2	Q The quote is "'As a marketer you almost
	3	can't find a better place than YouTube to promote
	4	your movie,' says Andrew Lin, vice president for
11:44:25	5	interactive marketing at Paramount Vantage."
	6	Was that an accurate quote?
	7	A Yeah, I made the quote accurately.
	8	Q You were not misquoted?
	9	A Correct. I was not misquoted.
11:44:45	10	Q And that statement reflects your thinking
	11	at the time, which is the summer of 2006; correct?
	12	A Summer, yes. This was made the quote
	13	was made in the summer of 2006.
	14	Q What was it about YouTube that made it
11:45:03	15	such an effective place to market films?
	16	MR. WILKENS: Objection as to form.
	17	THE WITNESS: What was it in particular about
	18	YouTube? I mean, in my opinion, the YouTube, I
	19	believe, if I recall correctly, was very popular.
11:45:33	20	It was a very popular place for people to watch
	21	videos and you always want to, you know, try to get
	22	your trailer or your clip in front of people in the
	23	hopes that it will appeal to them. YouTube is one
	`24	of those places.
11:45:54	25	///

	,	Page 72
11:45:54	1	BY MR. VOLKMER:
	2	Q And you received approval from Paramount
	3	to provide this quote; correct?
	4	A I received approval from Megan Colligan,
11:46:11	5	who was the head of publicity at Paramount Vantage,
	6	to speak with The Wall Street Journal.
	7	Q And from a marketing perspective, you
	8	believed that YouTube was superior to other online
	9	videosharing sites; is that right?
11:46:34	10	MR. WILKENS: Objection as to form.
	11	THE WITNESS: No, I do not believe that I was
	12	saying that they were superior to other videosharing
	13	sites.
	14	BY MR. VOLKMER:
11:46:42	15	Q No. I'm asking you what your belief was
	16	around that time.
	17	Did you believe that YouTube was superior
	18	to other videosharing sites from a marketing
	19	perspective?
11:46:52	20 .	A No.
	21	Q Do you know if Paramount Vantage ever
	22	uploaded clips to YouTube to promote the film There
	23	Will Be Blood?
	24	A No. That was after my time.
11:47:27	25	Q Was No Country For Old Men also after your

Schapiro Exhibit 26

Subject: RE:

From: "Exarhos, Tina" <EX:/O=VIACOM/OU=MTVUSA/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EXAROST

>

To: Graden, Brian

Cc: Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 17:35:40 +0000

YouTube touting "official partnership"...in a way that seems, well "official." It's not. But we DID give them the content, and they did give us (as I think you saw) home page, premium positioning. Result has been overwhelming in just 24 hours. COuldn't ask for better mktg (w/ no dollars) on mtv2.

Content council couldn't be coming at better time.

From: Graden, Brian

Sent: Fri 3/3/2006 12:21 PM

To: Exarhos, Tina Subject: RE:

what happened with the u tube thing... i can't follow?..

From: Exarhos, Tina

Sent: Friday, March 03, 2006 9:19 AM

To: Graden, Brian Subject: RE:

Def felt like we turned a corner! We've been working hard for that....good news, which I know is the case, we can turn the ship.

and how do you like the YouTube shitstorm this am?

From: Graden, Brian Sent: Fri 3/3/2006 12:17 PM

To: Exarhos, Tina Subject: RE:

back now courtesy of air mcgrath yesterday.... i like how the other .000005 lives.... urge demo was good, if it all works.... lots of features, lots of good natured hedging... we'll see... strikes me that, without a device somehow tethered to all we put forward, you're singing to the hills... i just made that up, it's not from the john popkoski book of business analogies clearly... see you tuesday, glad you're coming.... how is your presentation?

p.s. you've heard -- yesterday was a HOME RUN... i'd say a corner was turned b

From: Exarhos, Tina

Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2006 9:19 PM

To: Graden, Brian Subject: RE:

heading out Tues am. Going to do a meeting or two, then head to SB.

Also, didn't realize you were finally getting in to see URGE demo today. What did u think? Wish I'd tagged along. when do u head home?

From: Graden, Brian Sent: Fri 3/3/2006 12:17 AM To: Exarhos, Tina Subject: RE:

that is fantastic!... going there now... hey when do u come out?

From: Exarhos, Tina Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2006 8:30 PM To: Graden, Brian Subject:

check out #1 featured video on YouTube today....Milanakos from content we provided to promote new season + dvd.

Schapiro Exhibit 27

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, AND BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC, PLAINTIFFS, CASE NO. 07-CV-2103 VS. YOUTUBE INC., YOUTUBE, LLC AND GOOGLE, INC., DEFENDANTS. THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., ET AL., ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, CASE NO.) PLAINTIFFS, 07-CV-3582 vs. YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND GOOGLE, INC., DEFENDANTS.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF AMY POWELL TAKEN ON TUESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2009

JOB NO. 18310

		2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW TORK	
3	VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY) PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION,)	
4	INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION,) AND BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION,)	
5	LLC,	
6	PLAINTIFFS, CASE NO.) 07-CV-2103	E
7	vs.)	
8	YOUTUBE INC., YOUTUBE, LLC AND) GOOGLE, INC.,)	
9	DEFENDANTS.)	
10))	
11) THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)	
12	LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., ET AL.,) ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL)	
13	OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,) CASE NO.) 07-CV-3582	
14	PLAINTIFFS,	
15	vs.	
16	YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, AND) GOOGLE, INC.,)	
17	DEFENDANTS.)	
18)	
19	•	
20	Videotaped deposition of AMY HOWELL, taken on	
21	behalf of the Defendants, at 350 South Grand Avenue,	
22	Suite 2500, Los Angeles, California, on Tuesday,	
23	December 15, 2009, at 9:25 a.m., before NIKKI ROY,	
24	CSR. No. 3052.	
25		

		3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	
4		
5	JENNER & BLOCK, LLP BY: SUSAN J. KOHLMANN, ESQ.	
6	919 Third Avenue 37th Floor	
7	New York, New York 10022-3908 212.891.1600	
8	skohlmann@jenner.com	
9		
10	FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE:	
11	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI BY: BART E. VOLKMER, ESQ.	
12	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1050	
13	650.565.3508 bvolkmer@wsgr.com	
14	DVOIMMOI(#BGIVOOM	
15	ALSO PRESENT:	
16	PAUL KOENIG, Paramount	
17	REBECCA PRENTICE, General Counsel, Paramount SCOTT McNAIR, Videographer	
18	Scott Heinitky Videographor	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		