Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-6 Filed 05/21/10 Page 57 of 58

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 113
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:50:39	2	Q And let me ask you, when you're a computer
15:51:18	3	engineer; are you not?
15:51:19	4	A I have a computer engineering degree.
15:51:22	5	Q So when a clip is uploaded onto YouTube by an
15:51:34	6	outsider, by an uploader, am I correct that YouTube
15:51:38	7	makes a copy of of it and stores that copy on
15:51:43	8	YouTube's server?
15:51:44	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
15:51:46	10	THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with exactly
15:51:51	11	what YouTube does with uploads.
15:51:53	12	MR. MANCINI: And I just want to continue my
15:51:55	13	objection as now being way beyond the Court's
15:51:57	14	instruction that this be limited to the witness's
15:52:00	15	knowledge, who has already testified to lacking
15:52:03	16	operational knowledge of YouTube, by the way.
15:52:06	17	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you know whether YouTube,
15:52:11	18	in order to encourage browsing, creates a searchable
15:52:17	19	index on the site?
15:52:20	20	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
15:52:22	21	THE WITNESS: I have no specific knowledge of
15:52:26	22	that.
15:52:26	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, you do know, for
15:52:28	24	example, do you not, that YouTube sorts and indexes
15:52:40	25	videos to encourage browsing? Isn't that right?

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	- 11-	Page 114
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:52:44	2	MR. MANCINI: So, Stu, this is really a point
15:52:47	3	we should really cut this off, because we're going way
15:52:50	4	beyond Judge Stanton's instructions here.
15:52:50	5	MR. BASKIN: You know, the deposition
15:52:52	6	would could been done well short of four hours.
15:52:52	7	Probably would have been done short of two hours, but
15:52:58	8	for all the objections. I'm asking him things within
15:52:59	9	his knowledge. If he doesn't know, he doesn't know.
15:52:59	10	MR. MANCINI: He said He said how many
15:52:59	11	times you want to ask him. He says he doesn't have
15:53:01	12	this operational knowledge.
15:53:03	13	MR. BASKIN: Q. You do know, sir, that
15:53:05	14	YouTube's website has distribution contracts with
15:53:09	15	various parties; do you not?
15:53:12	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous,
15:53:13	17	and same continuing objections.
15:53:17	18	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what "distribution
15:53:20	19	contracts" mean.
15:53:21	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. You're serious? You don't
15:53:22	21	know what a distribution contract means?
15:53:23	22	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:53:24	23	THE WITNESS: Well, it could mean many
15:53:27	24	things.
15:53:27	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. Did you tell the securities

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 115
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:53:29	2	marketplace, for example, during an analyst call, of
15:53:31	3	certain distribution contracts that YouTube has to
15:53:34	4	distribute its content over other platforms?
15:53:36	5	MR. MANCINI: Same objections. Clearly this
15:53:40	6	question is intended to do nothing other than to
15:53:43	7	harass the witness.
15:53:44	8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:53:44	9	MR. BASKIN: Q. Does YouTube have
15:53:46	10	distribution contracts to distribute its videos with
15:53:52	11	Apple over iPhone and iTV?
15:53:55	12	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:53:57	13	THE WITNESS: YouTube is a distribution
15:53:59	14	vehicle.
15:54:02	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. And what my question is, do
15:54:03	16	they have distribution contracts with Apple to
15:54:06	17	distribute YouTube's product over iPhone and iTV?
15:54:11	18	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:54:19	19	THE WITNESS: I believe that there are deals
15:54:21	20	with those companies around YouTube. I don't know the
15:54:25	21	exact deals.
15:54:25	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. What about Motorola?
15:54:27	23	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:54:29	24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:54:30	25	MR. BASKIN: Q. What about Sony, Panasonic,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The second secon
		Page 116
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:54:34	2	and Tivo?
15:54:35	3	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:54:37	4	THE WITNESS: Sorry. What's the question?
15:54:38	5	MR. BASKIN: Q. Does YouTube have contracts
15:54:40	6	with all of these companies to distribute YouTube's
15:54:45	7	product over those over their platforms?
15:54:47	8	A So you mean Google?
15:54:49	9	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:54:51	10	MR. BASKIN: Well, I can phrase it that way.
15:54:52	11	Q Does Google have contracts with all of those
15:54:55	12	companies to distribute YouTube's content over
15:54:59	13	their over their platforms?
15:55:01	14	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:55:03	15	THE WITNESS: I'm not the expert on the
15:55:05	16	topic. I mean, we have people who would know
15:55:08	17	accurately.
15:55:08	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. What about phone companies,
15:55:10	19	like Cingular, Verizon, Vodaphone, and Telefon Italia?
15:55:15	20	MR. MANCINI: Same continuing objections.
15:55:17	21	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
15:55:19	22	MR. BASKIN: Q. Has the senior management of
15:55:23	23	Google discussed distribution contracts with all of
15:55:28	24	these major companies in the past?
15:55:30	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous,

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 117
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:55:31	2	and lacks foundation.
15:55:33	3	THE WITNESS: You're asking if we've
15:55:35	4	discussed all of a long series of maybe 10 or 12
15:55:39	5	companies you just named? Would you like to restate
15:55:42	6	them?
15:55:43	7	MR. BASKIN: Q. You want me to do them one
15:55:46	8	by one?
15:55:46	9	Do the senior management of Google, in your
15:55:49	10	presence, discuss YouTube's distribution contracts
15:55:51	11	with Apple?
15:55:53	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
15:55:54	13	vague and ambiguous.
15:55:55	14	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
15:55:56	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. How about with Sony?
15:55:59	16	MR. MANCINI: Same objection.
15:56:03	17	THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure what a
15:56:05	18	"distribution contract" is either so.
15:56:07	19	MR. BASKIN: Q. But
15:56:08	20	A I have no in-depth knowledge of these things,
15:56:10	21	so I don't
15:56:12	22	Q I understand.
15:56:14	23	The question is whether these were whether
15:56:16	24	these distribution contracts which by by which I
15:56:20	25	mean contracts to distribute YouTube's videos over

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		
		Page 118
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:56:22	2	these other platforms, whether that was discussed with
15:56:27	3	senior management at Google?
15:56:30	4	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
15:56:31	5	lacks foundation, and continuing objection to the
15:56:34	6	disavowance of this Court's order.
15:56:37	7	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. YouTube could be
15:56:40	8	accessed to all those things, whether or not we make a
15:56:41	9	deal, so it's kind of a strange question also.
15:56:43	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well
15:56:44	11	THE WITNESS: I mean.
15:56:46	12	MR. BASKIN: Q do you recall whether the
15:56:47	13	senior management of the company, including you and
15:56:49	14	Mr. Brin and Mr. Schmidt, have had discussions
15:56:54	15	regarding contracts between YouTube or Google and such
15:57:01	16	companies as Apple, Sony, Panasonic, Cingular,
15:57:05	17	Verizon, Vodaphone, pick any one of them, do you
15:57:11	18	remember discussing distribution contracts with any of
15:57:14	19	those companies?
15:57:15	20	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
15:57:16	21	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific
15:57:17	22	discussions, no.
15:57:18	23	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall discussions
15:57:31	24	among senior management that YouTube is involved in
15:57:41	25	promoting videos?
1		

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 119
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
15:57:42	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
15:57:44	3	lacks foundation.
15:57:46	4	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
15:57:47	5	question.
15:57:49	6	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, does YouTube
15:57:57	7	MR. MANCINI: So, Stu, once again, we're
15:57:59	8	going to operational issues
15:58:01	9	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
15:58:02	10	MR. MANCINI: that go way beyond the
15:58:04	11	Court's order.
15:58:05	12	MR. BASKIN: Let's let's mark exhibit
15:58:06	13	let me show you actually some e-mails that not only
15:58:12	14	you received but you wrote, Mr. Page.
15:58:15	15	MR. MANCINI: Please, let's do that.
15:58:18	16	MR. BASKIN: What number is this?
15:58:19	17	THE REPORTER: 19.
15:58:20	18	(Document marked Page Exhibit 19
15:58:21	19	for identification.)
15:58:48	20	MR. BASKIN: Q. Again, sir, to save time,
16:00:32	21	I'm going to ask about this document, but you're
16:00:34	22	welcome to look at it as much as you want.
16:00:37	23	A Okay.
16:00:37	24	Q But first, with respect to Exhibit 19, can
16:00:39	25	you identify that, sir, as an e-mail chain of which

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 6 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 120
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:00:46	2	was sent to you by David Eun?
16:00:55	3	A It appears that way, yes.
16:00:58	4	Q Do you remember this at all?
16:01:06	5	A It's triggered my recollection a little bit.
16:01:07	6	Q Does it trigger your recollection enough to
16:01:10	7	tell us whether you now remember that YouTube is in
16:01:16	8	the business of entering into promotional contracts
16:01:20	9	with big companies to promote their videos?
16:01:24	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes the
16:01:26	11	document.
16:01:26	12	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Do you want me to read
16:01:30	13	the whole document?
16:01:31	14	MR. BASKIN: No.
16:01:34	15	Q If you you have to answer my question.
16:01:35	16	You can read the whole document. But is YouTube, to
16:01:38	17	your recollection, in the business of promoting
16:01:42	18	videos?
16:01:42	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes the
16:01:44	20	document.
16:01:45	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Is that one of the functions
16:01:46	22	that YouTube engages in?
16:01:48	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes the
16:01:49	24	document; vague and ambiguous; lacks foundation.
16:01:52	25	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 121
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:01:53	2	or the relevance to the document.
16:01:55	3	MR. BASKIN: Okay. Thank you.
16:02:17	4	Q Does the YouTube does YouTube drive
16:02:23	5	additional monetizeable traffic to Google's search
16:02:28	6	engine?
16:02:29	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:02:30	8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure what that
16:02:34	9	means. Google owns YouTube.
16:02:38	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. And when someone if
16:02:41	11	someone is going to search over YouTube, does that
16:02:45	12	drive additional traffic that you can monetize to the
16:02:50	13	Google search engine?
16:02:52	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:02:57	15	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
16:03:01	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. If you just go to a
16:03:14	17	second maybe this will refresh your memory go
16:03:17	18	back to, I don't know what exhibit this is, board
16:03:20	19	book, sorry, this is Exhibit 1. If you go to
16:03:24	20	page eight of Exhibit 1.
16:03:42	21	A Is there a particular part of this?
16:03:43	22	Q Yeah. There's one sentence I want to direct
16:03:46	23	your attention to. Two-thirds down the page, it says
16:03:49	24	"Yellow can drive additional monetizeable traffic
16:03:54	25	through green's search engine (not currently included

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	·	
		Page 122
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:03:58	2	in financial model)."
16:04:01	3	Do you see that?
16:04:03	4	MR. MANCINI: Objection; document speaks for
16:04:04	5	itself.
16:04:05	6	THE WITNESS: I'm reading the document, yes.
16:04:07	7	MR. BASKIN: Q. Do you recall what Credit
16:04:12	8	Suisse told the Google board in that sentence?
16:04:14	9	MR. MANCINI: So objection; lacks foundation,
16:04:15	10	and continuing objection to reliance on this document
16:04:15	11	to which the witness testified previously he did not
16:04:20	12	recall.
16:04:20	13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall. I state,
16:04:27	1.4	too, there's a lot of such presentations to the board
16:04:30	15	which I assume I don't read all of.
16:04:33	16	MR. BASKIN: Q. Has the senior management of
16:04:34	17	Google discussed, meaning you and Mr. Brin and
16:04:38	18	Mr. Schmidt, the economic value to YouTube to
16:04:45	19	strike that. Strike that.
16:04:46	20	Have you and Mr. Brin and Mr. Schmidt
16:04:48	21	discussed the economic value to Google of having
16:05:04	22	searches for content over YouTube pass through
16:05:08	23	Google's search engine?
16:05:09	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:05:10	25	THE WITNESS: I still don't understand the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 9 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 123
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:05:12	2	question.
16:05:12	3	MR. BASKIN: Maybe it had been a lousy
16:05:16	4	question.
16:05:18	5	Q Has anyone quantified, to your knowledge, for
16:05:23	6	senior management, the benefit set forth by Credit
16:05:26	7	Suisse on Exhibit 1, set forth on that line about
16:05:31	8	additional monetizeable traffic?
16:05:33	9	Have you seen a quantification of the value
16:05:35	10	to Google of that phenomenon?
16:05:41	11	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:05:43	12	vague and ambiguous.
16:05:43	13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:05:44	14	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, the Google search
16:06:00	15	engine provides search services on the YouTube site;
16:06:07	16	isn't that right?
16:06:08	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:06:11	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure what the
16:06:12	19	definition of the "Google search engine" is given that
16:06:16	20	Google also owns YouTube.
16:06:17	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, let me put it this
16:06:21	22	way: Has the has the Google search engine been
16:06:24	23	customized for YouTube?
16:06:25	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:06:27	25	THE WITNESS: So again I don't know what you

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 124
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:06:29	2	mean by "the Google search engine."
16:06:32	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, has when someone
16:06:35	4	goes to Google.com and types in something in the box
16:06:39	5	to search the Internet, has that search engine been
16:06:46	6	customized for YouTube?
16:06:47	7	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:06:48	8	THE WITNESS: I'm still not sure what that
16:06:53	9	means.
16:06:53	10	MR. BASKIN: Q. Does does YouTube get
16:07:07	11	search get searching preference on Google on
16:07:11	12	Google?
16:07:12	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:07:14	14	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the details.
16:07:19	15	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
16:07:20	16	Q What about does Google's search engine direct
16:07:26	17	video queries first to YouTube?
16:07:28	18	MR. MANCINI: Okay. So continuing objections
16:07:30	19	to the lack of precision, which is why I'm claiming
16:07:32	20	them to be vague and ambiguous, but we're clearly
16:07:35	21	going way beyond this Court's order with respect to
16:07:37	22	this line of questioning. This is not an area of this
16:07:45	23	witness's unique knowledge, clearly.
16:07:47	24	THE WITNESS: We have people who are
16:07:49	25	operational on these issues who would know exact

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		N-1 N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N - N -
		Page 125
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:07:52	2	answers.
16:07:53	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Now, you helped design
16:07:59	4	Google's search engine; didn't you? Isn't that why
16:08:02	5	you're the founder?
16:08:04	6	A In before 1998, I wrote some of the code
16:08:06	7	that was the Google search engine, which probably has
16:08:10	8	all been deleted by now, hopefully, over 11 years ago
16:08:14	9	now.
16:08:18	1.0	Q Well, even 11 years ago, if Viacom had given
16:08:27	11	Google a white list of authorized clips to be shown on
16:08:32	12	the YouTube site, could that have been built into the
16:08:36	13	search function?
16:08:37	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for
16:08:39	15	speculation; hypothetical; clearly beyond this Court's
16:08:42	16	order; vague and ambiguous.
16:08:43	17	THE WITNESS: I'm also not a lawyer.
16:08:45	18	MR. BASKIN: Q. Sorry?
16:08:46	19	A I'm also not an attorney.
16:08:50	20	Q I was aware of that. I'm asking you a
16:08:52	21	question for a computer engineer like yourself.
16:08:54	22	MR. MANCINI: And objection to the extent it
16:08:56	23	seeks a legal conclusion by the term "authorized
16:09:00	24	clips."
16:09:01	25	MR. BASKIN: So let me say it again.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 12 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 126
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:09:02	2	Q If Viacom were to give Google a white list of
16:09:05	3	authorized clips, clips that Viacom is authorized to
16:09:08	4	be put on the YouTube website, could that be built
16:09:14	5	into the search engine?
16:09:15	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; calls for
16:09:16	7	speculation; vague and ambiguous; calls for a legal
16:09:19	8	conclusion; well beyond this Court's order.
16:09:23	9	THE WITNESS: On that, I just don't
16:09:25	10	understand the question.
16:09:27	11	MR. BASKIN: Q. Does the Google search
16:10:04	12	engine block certain searches because it violated the
16:10:10	13	laws of particular countries?
16:10:12	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:10:13	15	vague and ambiguous; calls for a legal conclusion, and
16:10:15	16	well beyond this Court's order.
16:10:20	17	THE WITNESS: You're asking a very general
16:10:22	18	question. There's very many laws around the world
16:10:26	19	which Google is required to follow, which there's
16:10:30	20	tremendous amount of detail and I'm not an expert on.
16:10:33	21	MR. BASKIN: Q. Well, could Google's search
16:10:37	22	engine block searches of pirated sites like Bit
16:10:42	23	Torrent, a site like that?
16:10:44	24	MR. MANCINI: Same exact objections.
16:10:47	25	THE WITNESS: It's a hypothetical question.
1		

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 127
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:10:58	2	I don't know the answer to that.
16:10:59	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. I take it that there's been
16:11:12	4	no discussion among the other founder and you and
16:11:15	5	Mr. Schmidt as to whether the Google search engine
16:11:23	6	should be adjusted or modified to block pirated
16:11:27	7	search sites of pirate searches of pirated
16:11:30	8	sites?
16:11:30	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:11:32	10	vague and ambiguous; calls for speculation and
16:11:34	11	violates this Court's order.
16:11:36	12	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any such
16:11:37	13	discussion.
16:11:38	14	MR. BASKIN: Let's take a break for a second.
16:11:46	15	How much time is left?
16:11:48	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've got
16:11:49	17	MR. BASKIN: Well, let's go off the record.
16:11:51	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going off the
16:11:52	19	record. The time is 4:07 p.m.
16:11:56	20	(Recess taken.)
16:20:31	21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now going back on
16:20:32	22	the record. The time is 4:16 p.m.
16:20:35	23	MR. BASKIN: We are now a little short of
16:20:37	24	three hours. I'm through with my questioning of
16:20:40	25	Mr. Page.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		W. C
		Page 128
:	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:20:41	2	I'm going to pass the baton to counsel for
16:20:44	3	The Class, and with everyone's permission, my
16:20:47	4	colleague is going to be leaving us to catch an
16:20:49	5	airplane. So I assume you got he means no
16:20:53	6	disrespect. It's just he's attending a conference, I
16:20:56	7	believe, and I promised him we would try to get him
16:20:58	8	out of here by 4:00.
16:20:59	9	MR. MANCINI: Safe travels.
16:21:01	10	MR. DEIXLER: Should I switch with you?
16:21:03	11	MR. BASKIN: Yeah, I think you should.
16:21:05	12	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:21:16	13	MR. MANCINI: What is the time we have on the
16:21:18	14	record?
16:21:18	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Right now we've got
16:21:24	16	176 minutes right now. 176 minutes.
16:21:27	17	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry. How much?
16:21:30	18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 176 right now. 177.
16:21:35	19	MR. MANCINI: We have one hour left.
16:21:40	20	MR. DEIXLER: One hour and three minutes.
16:21:44	21	MR. MANCINI: Hopefully much less.
16:21:46	22	MR. DEIXLER: We share the same goal.
16:21:51	23	MR. MANCINI: What's your time?
16:21:54	24	THE WITNESS: I wasn't figuring in the
16:21:57	25	30-minute delay to begin with or hour delay.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 129
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:22:01	2	MR. MANCINI: An hour.
16:22:20	3	MR. DEIXLER: If you can hear over the
16:22:21	4	packing up, I'll happily get started.
16:22:24	5	Is that okay with you Mr. Page?
16:22:25	6	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
16:22:27	7	MR. STRAUSS: I'm all done.
16:22:28	8	MR. BASKIN: Okay.
16:22:33	9	MR. DEIXLER: Are we on the record?
16:22:35	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record.
16:22:36	11	EXAMINATION BY MR. DEIXLER
16:22:36	12	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:22:37	13	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Page.
16:22:38	14	A Good afternoon.
16:22:39	15	Q My name is Bert Deixler. I'm a partner at
16:22:45	16	Proskauer Rose, and I'm a lawyer representing The
16:22:48	17	Class. Do you understand that?
16:22:50	18	A Yes.
16:22:50	19	Q And you understand your testimony continues
16:22:52	20	to be under oath and subject to the penalty of
16:22:52	21	perjury?
16:22:53	22	A Of course.
16:22:53	23	Q Did you favor the acquisition of YouTube by
16:22:58	24	Google?
16:23:02	25	A I don't remember my exact thinking around the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The same of the sa
		Page 130
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:23:04	2	time. I don't think I was tremendously upset by it.
16:23:13	3	Q Can you recall whether you favored the
16:23:16	4	acquisition of YouTube by Google, sir?
16:23:19	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:23:24	6	THE WITNESS: I mean the you know, there's
16:23:27	7	many such issues around doing deals. I like I
16:23:31	8	said, I don't think I was upset by it.
16:23:33	9	MR. DEIXLER: Yeah, my question was a
16:23:35	10	different one and a precise one.
16:23:37	11	Q Were you in favor of the acquisition of
16:23:39	12	YouTube by Google, sir?
16:23:42	13	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:23:43	14	twice now.
16:23:44	15	THE WITNESS: You're implying, I guess, we
16:23:47	16	have some sort of strict voting process. I'm not sure
16:23:50	17	that's normally how we would do it.
16:23:52	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Do you recall whether there
16:23:53	19	was a vote which you participated in on whether Google
16:23:56	20	should acquire YouTube?
16:23:57	21	A I don't recall a vote. I think I mean, I
16:24:09	22	think most people were for it, but it was done
16:24:11	23	informally and that's probably why we did the deal.
16:24:14	24	Q I see.
16:24:14	25	Most people were for. Were you one of those

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 131
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:24:16	2	people who was for it?
16:24:17	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:24:18	4	THE WITNESS: I said I don't remember being
16:24:20	5	upset about it, so my guess is I was more positive
16:24:23	6	than negative.
16:24:24	7	MR. DEIXLER: Q. It's only a guess. You
16:24:25	8	have no memory at all as to whether you were for it or
16:24:28	9	against it. Is that your testimony under oath; sir?
16:24:32	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:24:33	11	four times, and now just seeking to harass this
16:24:36	12	witness. The witness's memory is what it is,
16:24:38	13	Counselor.
16:24:38	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and there's also a
16:24:40	15	question of, you know, different times. I mean, these
16:24:42	16	things don't happen in one day. They go on and on and
16:24:45	17	on.
16:24:45	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Do you recall, sir, that
16:24:47	19	your being in favor or opposed to the acquisition of
16:24:50	20	YouTube by Google changed from one point to another
16:24:54	21	prior to the closing of the transaction?
16:24:56	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:24:58	23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:24:59	24	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall on any
16:25:00	25	occasion discussing with Mr. Brin whether you favored

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 132
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:25:03	2	or opposed the acquisition of YouTube by Google?
16:25:07	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:25:09	4	in an only slightly different variant.
16:25:13	5	THE WITNESS: I don't recall such a
16:25:14	6	situation, but I'd be surprised if I didn't.
16:25:17	7	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:25:17	8	Q If you wanted to refresh your memory about
16:25:20	9	the content of any conversation you had with Mr. Brin,
16:25:23	10	on whether the YouTube acquisition should go forward,
16:25:30	11	to what would you refer?
16:25:35	12	A I'm not sure what I would use.
16:25:37	13	Q Well, do you have a practice of making notes?
16:25:40	14	A No.
16:25:41	15	Q Do you have a videotape or an audiotape of
16:25:44	16	conversations that you had with Mr. Brin on that
16:25:46	17	subject matter?
16:25:49	18	A Not that I can recall, and I assume if there
16:25:52	19	was, it would be if it were relevant, it would be
16:25:57	20	produced to counsel.
16:25:58	21	Q We have the best of your memory with regards
16:26:00	22	to the topics you discussed with Mr. Brin regarding
16:26:03	23	whether you were for or against the acquisition of
16:26:05	24	YouTube; is that true?
16:26:07	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
1		

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 133
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:26:07	2	Is that a question?
16:26:11	3	THE WITNESS: You're asking if I answered
16:26:13	4	your previous question?
16:26:14	5	MR. DEIXLER: No.
16:26:15	6	Q I'm asking if there's anything else that
16:26:17	7	could enhance your memory from what you just testified
16:26:19	8	to that you know of.
16:26:20	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:26:22	1.0	THE WITNESS: I can't think of anything
16:26:24	11	offhand.
16:26:25	12	MR. DEIXLER: Q. How about Mr. Schmidt?
16:26:27	13	Prior to the closure of the acquisition of YouTube,
16:26:31	14	did you and Mr. Schmidt discuss whether you were in
16:26:33	15	favor of it?
16:26:35	16	A Like I said, I don't recall any specific
16:26:38	17	discussions around it. Again, I'd be surprised if I
16:26:42	18	didn't.
16:26:43	19	Q Can you recall in general any conversation
16:26:45	20	you and Mr. Schmidt had about the wisdom of Google
16:26:48	21	acquiring YouTube
16:26:50	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
16:26:51	23	MR. DEIXLER: Q prior to its
16:26:52	24	acquisition?
16:26:53	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 134
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:26:54	2	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:26:55	3	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You have no memory, general
16:26:58	4	or specific, of any conversation you had with Mr. Brin
16:27:01	5	or with Mr. Schmidt on the topic of the acquisition
16:27:05	6	of of YouTube by Google prior to the acquisition
16:27:08	7	closing; is that your testimony, sir?
16:27:10	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:27:13	9	THE WITNESS: I already answered that
16:27:16	10	question.
16:27:16	11	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Is that your testimony,
16:27:17	12	sir?
16:27:17	13	A Of course. I just said that.
16:27:18	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:27:19	15	THE WITNESS: I just said that.
16:27:21	16	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:27:22	17	Q You have no way of refreshing your memory,
16:27:24	18	that you know of, with regard to the conversations, if
16:27:27	19	any, that you had with Mr. Brin or Mr. Schmidt on the
16:27:30	20	wisdom of Google's acquiring YouTube prior to the
16:27:34	21	closure; is that also true?
16:27:36	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:27:37	23	and vague and ambiguous.
16:27:38	24	THE WITNESS: I already answered.
16:27:39	25	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 135
1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:27:40 2	Q Will you answer my question, sir?
16:27:41 3	A I said I already answered it.
16:27:43 4	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
16:27:44 5	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry.
16:27:45 6	Q You didn't answer the question. Would you
16:27:46 7	please do so now?
16:27:47 8	MR. MANCINI: Sir, you are just harassing
16:27:49 9	this witness now, and you are wasting all of our time.
16:27:51 10	MR. DEIXLER: All right.
16:27:52 11	Q Answer the question please.
16:27:53 12	MR. MANCINI: And you're directly violating
16:27:54 13	Judge Stanton's order in this case.
16:27:57 14	MR. DEIXLER: That's not true.
16:27:58 15	Q Answer the question, please, sir, if you
16:27:58 16	would.
16:28:00 17	A Would you repeat the question?
16:28;01 18	Q Yes.
16:28:02 19	Am I correct that you have nothing to which
16:28:04 20	you could refer which would refresh your memory with
16:28:07 21	regard to any conversations you had with Mr. Brin or
16:28:11 22	Mr. Schmidt on the topic of the wisdom of YouTube
16:28:13 23	being acquired by Google prior to the closure of that
16:28:16 24	transaction?
16:28:17 25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Page 136
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:28:19	2	to be specific as to the term "wisdom," and asked and
16:28:22	3	answered numerous times.
16:28:23	4	MR. BASKIN: Hold on one second, guys.
16:28:25	5	Keep the questions going. My bag is in my
16:28:27	6	colleague's car.
16:28:29	7	MR. MANCINI: Go ahead.
16:28:30	8	MR. BASKIN: I've got to get it, so.
16:28:33	9	MR. MANCINI: Is the question, Counselor,
16:28:35	10	designed to do anything other than harass this
16:28:37	11	witness, because he's answered it numerous times?
16:28:39	12	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Please answer the question.
16:28:40	13	MR. MANCINI: You're aware, Counselor
16:28:41	14	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Please answer the question.
16:28:43	15	MR. MANCINI: You're aware, Counselor,
16:28:46	16	harassment is sanctionable in the Southern District of
16:28:48	17	New York.
16:28:48	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Please answer the question.
16:28:50	19	A Like I said
16:28:50	20	MR. MANCINI: Asked and answered
16:28:51	21	THE WITNESS: anything.
16:28:51	22	MR. MANCINI: numerous times?
16:28:52	23	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
16:28:54	24	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You can't think of anything
16:28:56	25	that you could refer to that would refresh your memory

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 137
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:29:00	2	with regard to the conversation; is that true?
16:29:02	3	MR. MANCINI: So that's now been asked and
16:29:04	4	answered about seven times, Counselor.
16:29:06	5	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Is that true?
16:29:07	6	A I can't think of anything offhand. I already
16:29:09	7	said multiple times.
16:29:12	8	Q Why were you, in general, in favor of the
16:29:15	9	acquisition of YouTube by Google?
16:29:19	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered;
16:29:20	11	mischaracterizes testimony.
16:29:21	12	THE WITNESS: I said I don't remember being
16:29:31	13	against it.
16:29:35	14	MR. BASKIN: I'm not trying to be rude, guys.
16:29:40	15	I just got to get my bag.
16:29:40	16	THE WITNESS: You say what were my reasons?
16:29:45	17	MR. DEIXLER: Yes, sir.
16:29:48	18	THE WITNESS: Let me see. I have to think
16:29:50	19	about that.
16:29:53	20	I mean, we do lots of different acquisitions.
16:29:57	21	I think there's always a balance of very many things.
16:30:00	22	I don't know that I remember my specific reasons, but
16:30:03	23	I can obviously it's a big site. It has very good
16:30:12	24	functionality for or even did, at that time.
16:30:15	25	It has very good functionality for
		<u> </u>

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 138
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:30:17	2	discovering related videos for allowing anybody to
16:30:24	3	upload something, for those people to distribute those
16:30:27	4	and so on, which the community features on that were
16:30:34	5	very, very well-developed.
16:30:37	6	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Any other reason why you
16:30:38	7	favored the acquisition of YouTube by Google?
16:30:42	8	A That I do now?
16:30:43	9	MR. MANCINI: Objection; mischaracterizes his
16:30:45	10	testimony.
16:30:45	11	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Prior to the closing of the
16:30:47	12	acquisition.
16:30:48	13	MR. MANCINI: Same objection.
16:30:48	14	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I don't remember
16:30:49	15	my exact thinking around it. I gave you some of
16:30:52	16	the some of the general things. I think we were
16:30:55	17	very positive.
16:30:57	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Is there anything else,
16:30:58	19	other than the fact that it was a big site and the
16:31:01	20	functionality, which caused you to be in favor of the
16:31:04	21	acquisition of YouTube by Google prior to the closing
16:31:07	22	of the transaction?
16:31:09	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
16:31:09	24	That intentionally misstates testimony, and
16:31:13	25	objection to the extent that, once again, we're going

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 139
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:31:15	2	far afield of this Court's order.
16:31:19	3	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Please answer the question.
16:31:22	4	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:31:23	5	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I don't recall,
16:31:24	6	like, any specifics or anything.
16:31:27	7	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:31:29	8	your memory with regard to the reasons you favored the
16:31:32	9	acquisition of YouTube by Google prior to the closure
16:31:36	1.0	of that transaction, to what, if anything, would you
16:31:40	11	refer?
16:31:40	12	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, do you intend on
16:31:42	13	misrepresenting his testimony that he favored
16:31:45	14	something when he specifically told you his precise
16:31:49	15	MR. DEIXLER: Please, no speaking objections.
16:31:51	16	MR. MANCINI: You're intentionally
16:31:52	17	MR. DEIXLER: No speaking objections.
16:31:53	18	MR. MANCINI: But you're
16:31:55	19	MR. DEIXLER: If you have an objection, make
16:31:57	20	your objection, a legal objection. Stop talking.
16:32:00	21	Please don't try to intimidate me.
16:32:01	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; misrepresenting the
16:32:03	23	witness's testimony.
16:32:03	24	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:32:05	25	MR. MANCINI: Asked and answered and clearly

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

r		
		Page 140
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:32:06	2	violating this Court's order.
16:32:06	3	MR. BASKIN: Q. Would you answer the
16:32:06	4	question now, sir?
16:32:13	5	A Sorry. You've got to repeat it now.
16:32:17	6	Q If you wanted to refresh your memory with
16:32:19	7	regard to the reasons you favor the acquisition of
16:32:20	8	YouTube by Google prior to the closure of that
16:32:21	9	transaction, to what, if anything, would you refer?
16:32:23	10	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:32:25	11	THE WITNESS: Again, I would disagree with
16:32:26	12	the premise.
16:32:27	13	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Which premise?
16:32:30	14	A The premise of your question.
16:32:32	15	Q Which one?
16:32:34	16	A At least one.
16:32:34	17	Q Which is the one you disagree with, sir?
16:32:38	18	A Well, you are stating that I was for it,
16:32:41	19	which I don't remember saying.
16:32:42	20	Q Were you against it?
16:32:44	21	A I don't
16:32:45	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:32:46	23	THE WITNESS: I didn't say that either.
16:32:48	24	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You were neither for it nor
16:32:51	25	against it?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 141
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:32:51	2	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:32:53	3	numerous times now.
16:32:54	4	Counselor, maybe we should just read back
16:32:59	5	what he said.
16:32:59	6	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Were you for it or against
16:33:00	7	it?
16:33:01	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection; Counselor. He's
16:33:03	9	answered this question numerous times. Maybe we
16:33:06	10	should just read it back.
16:33:06	11	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Were you for it or against
16:33:08	12	it?
16:33:08	13	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:33:09	14	THE WITNESS: I believe what I stated was
16:33:10	15	that I wasn't I don't remember being against it.
16:33:13	16	MR. DEIXLER: Q. And if you weren't against
16:33:14	17	it, does that mean you were for it?
16:33:16	18	A No.
16:33:16	19	Q Did you abstain?
16:33:19	20	A Like I said, I
16:33:20	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:33:22	22	THE WITNESS: Like I already explained, there
16:33:24	23	wasn't I don't think it's really, like, a formal
16:33:26	24	process, and it also persists over time.
16:33:28	25	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Prior to the closure of the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

· —		Page 142
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:33:30	2	acquisition of YouTube by Google, had you learned from
16:33:34	3	anybody that there were allegations that YouTube had
16:33:38	4	engaged in copyright infringements?
16:33;40	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the extent it
16:33:42	6	seeks communications with counsel to which I instruct
16:33:45	7	the witness not to answer.
16:33:46	8	THE WITNESS: I'll take advice of counsel.
16:33:48	9	MR. DEIXLER: Q. So except from your answer,
16:33:53	10	any any information you received from counsel,
16:33:56	11	other than from a lawyer conveying confidential
16:34:00	12	information for the purpose of rendering or receiving
16:34:03	13	legal advice, did you have any familiarity with the
16:34:08	14	fact of any allegations of copyright infringement made
16:34:12	15	with regard to YouTube prior to the closure of the
16:34:15	16	Google acquisition of YouTube?
16:34:16	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
16:34:18	18	calls for a legal conclusion.
16:34:19	19	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:34:23	20	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You don't recall, meaning,
16:34:29	21	you might have and you've forgotten?
16:34:32	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection; that's nothing other
16:34:33	23	than harassing this witness.
16:34:36	24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:34:37	25	MR. DEIXLER: Q. What does that mean?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 143
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:34:38	2	MR. MANCINI: That speaks for itself,
16:34:40	3	Counselor. He doesn't recall.
16:34:43	4	MR. DEIXLER: Q. What does it mean, sir? To
16:34:45	5	not recall, is it something you think you once knew
16:34:48	6	and you had forgotten, or is it something you believe?
16:34:53	7	You never knew.
16:34:54	8	MR. MANCINI: You don't have to answer that.
16:34:55	9	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Please answer that
16:34:58	10	question.
16:34:58	11	MR. MANCINI: The witness does not have to
16:34:58	12	answer that, Counselor, and you know it.
16:34:58	13	MR. DEIXLER: He has to answer.
16:34:59	14	MR. MANCINI: He doesn't recall an answer to
16:35:00	15	a question.
16:35:00	16	Do we need a definition a dictionary in
16:35:03	17	this room for what the term "recall" means?
16:35:03	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Would you answer my
16:35:04	19	question?
16:35:05	20	MR. MANCINI: He doesn't have to answer the
16:35:06	21	question.
16:35:07	22	MR. DEIXLER: You're instructing him not to
16:35:09	23	answer the question?
16:35:09	24	MR. MANCINI: I'm not instructing him not to
16:35:09	25	answer a

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The state of the s
		Page 144
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:35:09	2	MR. DEIXLER: Then answer the question.
16:35:10	3	MR. MANCINI: harassing question.
16:35:10	4	MR. DEIXLER: Then answer the question.
16:35:13	5	MR. MANCINI: What what is the definition
16:35:13	6	of the word "recall" says?
16:35:16	7	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
16:35:17	8	Q When you say "recall," "you do not recall,"
16:35:17	9	does it mean that you once knew something, and you've
16:35:20	10	forgotten it, or that you don't believe you
16:35:21	11	MR. MANCINI: How in the world could you ever
16:35:22	12	parse it that way, Counselor?
16:35:24	13	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Could you answer my
16:35:25	14	question?
16:35:26	15	A I think I have responsibility for a great
16:35:29	16	number of people and a huge amount of stuff, and I
16:35:32	17	have there's a huge amount of detail that I can't
16:35:35	18	remember.
16:35:38	19	Q With regard to the your awareness of
16:35:41	20	allegations of copyright infringement before the
16:35:44	21	acquisition of YouTube by Google, do you believe that
16:35:49	22	you once had received information about that and
16:35:51	23	you've forgotten it?
16:35:54	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:35:55	25	intentionally mischaracterizes testimony, and calls

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The state of the s
		Page 145
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:35:58	2	for a legal conclusion.
16:35:59	3	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I don't recall.
16:36:02	4	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:36:05	5	your memory about whether you had received information
16:36:08	6	about allegations of copyright infringement by YouTube
16:36:12	7	prior to Google's acquisition, to what, if anything,
16:36:15	8	would you refer?
16:36:17	9	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:36:19	10	THE WITNESS: Again, I can't think of
16:36:20	11	anything offhand.
16:36:21	12	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall having had
16:36:23	13	any conversation with Mr. Schmidt, either before or
16:36:26	14	after the acquisition of YouTube, in which the topic
16:36:30	15	of copyright infringement was discussed by you?
16:36:33	16	MR. MANCINI: Same objection, and to the
16:36:34	17	extent it seeks communications either communicated by
16:36:38	18	counsel or relayed from counsel, instruct the witness
16:36:40	19	not to answer.
16:36:41	20	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:36:42	21	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You don't recall any
16:36:43	22	conversation that you had with Mr. Schmidt on any
16:36:46	23	occasion on the topic of copyright infringements; is
16:36:49	24	that correct?
16:36:49	25	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, do you hope to get a

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 146
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:36:51	2	different answer by asking the same exact question
16:36:53	3	twice?
16:36:53	4	MR. DEIXLER: If you would stop talking and
16:36:55	5	only make legal objections, this would go much faster.
16:36:57	6	MR. MANCINI: You are violating the Court's
16:36:59	7	order where he specifically asked you, specifically
16:37:03	8	instructed counsel, how to conduct this deposition.
16:37:05	9	You're repeating questions which is clearly in
16:37:09	10	violation
16:37:09	11	MR. DEIXLER: Please, stop wasting my time.
16:37:09	12	Make legal objections and not speaking objections.
16:37:10	13	Q Would you answer my question?
16:37:10	14	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:37:12	15	MR. BASKIN: Q. Would you answer my
16:37:13	16	question?
16:37:15	17	A I don't recall.
16:37:16	18	Q Can you recall having had any conversation
16:37:17	19	with Mr. Schmidt, either before or after the
16:37:20	20	acquisition of YouTube, in which the topic of
16:37:23	21	copyright infringement was discussed by either you or
16:37:25	22	by him?
16:37:26	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered;
16:37:27	24	same reservation with respect to the communication of
16:37:30	25	privileged information.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 147
:	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:37:31	2	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall.
16:37:31	3	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:37:32	4	Q How about Mr. Brin? Do you recall on any
16:37:34	5	occasion ever discussing with Mr. Brin allegations of
16:37:38	6	copyright infringement as to YouTube either before or
16:37:42	7	after Google's acquisition of YouTube?
16:37:43	8	MR. MANCINI: Objection to the extent it
16:37:45	9	seeks communications either with or from counsel to
16:37:49	10	which the witness is instructed not to answer.
16:37:52	11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:37:53	12	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:37:55	13	your memory about whether you and Mr. Brin or you and
16:37:58	14	Mr. Schmidt had ever discussed that topic, to what, if
16:38:02	15	anything, would you refer?
16:38:04	16	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:38:07	17	THE WITNESS: Same answer.
16:38:08	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You can't think of anything
16:38:09	19	to which you could refer; correct?
16:38:11	20	A No.
16:38:11	21	Q You know of no document; true?
16:38:14	22	MR. MANCINI: Objection, Counselor. He just
16:38:15	23	answered that question.
16:38:17	24	THE WITNESS: Again, I can't think of
16:38:19	25	anything offhand, no.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 34 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		
		Page 148
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:38:21	2	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Did you ever give
16:38:25	3	consideration to whether there were technologic
16:38:35	4	methods which would make copyright violations by
16:38:40	5	YouTube less likely to occur?
16:38:42	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:38:44	7	calls for speculation, and seeks a legal conclusion.
16:38:47	8	THE WITNESS: I guess I'm not I feel like
16:38:53	9	I don't understand the premise again.
16:38:55	10	MR. DEIXLER: I see.
16:38:55	11	Q You received a copy, in February of 2007, of
16:39:01	12	a letter from Mr. Fricklas, who was the is the
16:39:05	13	general counsel of Viacom, in which he made
16:39:09	14	suggestions and allegations about copyright
16:39:13	15	infringement and said there would be a large takedown
16:39:17	16	of Viacom-owned material.
16:39:19	17	Do you recall learning about that some time
16:39:22	18	in 2007?
16:39:23	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:39:25	20	vague and ambiguous; asked and answered.
16:39:27	21	THE WITNESS: Hold on one second.
16:39:29	. 22	You're referring to the document that was
16:39:32	23	already discussed.
16:39:33	24	MR. DEIXLER: I believe it's Exhibit 15, if
16:39:38	25	my memory serves.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 149
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:39:39	2	Q Do you recall that document?
16:39:40	3	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; asked and
16:39:41	4	answered.
16:39:41	5	THE WITNESS: I already said I don't recall
16:39:43	6	that document.
16:39:43	7	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Do you recall any
16:39:44	8	discussion about the fact of that document having been
16:39:46	9	received?
16:39:46	10	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; asked and
16:39:48	1.1	answered.
16:39:48	12	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall, and I
16:39:49	13	also don't think it was delivered to me. I think it
16:39:51	14	was delivered to the company.
16:39:52	15	MR. DEIXLER: Q. It was delivered to
16:39:53	16	Mr. Drummond?
16:39:54	17	A Yes.
16:39:54	18	Q And is Mr. Drummond a lawyer?
16:39:59	19	A Yes.
16:39:59	20	Q Okay. And is it your best memory that when
16:40:03	21	Mr. Fricklas's letter to Viacom was delivered to the
16:40:07	22	company, you did not become aware of it?
16:40:10	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:40:11	24	numerous times.
16:40:12	25	THE WITNESS: I said I don't recollect it.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 150
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:40:14	2	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Do you recall the issue
16:40:16	3	being presented, whether you recall the letter itself
16:40:19	4	or not
16:40:20	5	MR. MANCINI: Counselor
16:40:21	6	MR. DEIXLER: Q that is that Viacom was
16:40:22	7	going to take down programming?
16:40:25	8	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, objection; asked and
16:40:27	9	answered by Mr. Baskin no more than about 30 minutes
16:40:30	10	ago.
16:40:31	11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Like I said, I don't
16:40:32	12	recall.
16:40:33	13	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Was there ever a time that
16:40:38	14	you in your position as president of product and
16:40:45	15	cofounder directed anybody to determine whether there
16:40:48	16	were violations of the copyrights of any copyright
16:40:51	17	holder
16:40:52	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
16:40:53	19	MR. DEIXLER: Q by the operation of
16:40:54	20	YouTube?
16:40:55	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:40:56	22	calls for a legal conclusion.
16:40:59	23	THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not an expert on the
16:41:01	24	operation of YouTube, but I don't recall any such
16:41:02	25	thing.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 151
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:41:03	2	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You don't recall giving an
16:41:04	3	instruction to anybody to find out whether there was a
16:41:09	4	basis for allegations of copyright infringement by
16:41:11	5	YouTube; correct?
16:41:12	6	MR. MANCINI: Same objections; asked and just
16:41:15	7	answered.
16:41:15	8	THE WITNESS: There were a lot of assumptions
16:41:17	9	in that question, and I don't recall.
16:41:18	10	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You don't recall whether
16:41:19	11	you gave such an instruction?
16:41:21	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:41:23	13	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:41:24	14	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Was there ever a time when
16:41:29	15	you said to somebody, "There seemed to be a lot of
16:41:33	16	allegations that YouTube may be infringing copyright."
16:41:38	17	I'd like you to find out about it and report to me,"
16:41:41	18	or words to that effect?
16:41:42	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:41:44	20	calls for speculation.
16:41:45	21	THE WITNESS: I don't recall doing that, no.
16:41:50	22	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall from the
16:41:53	23	time when you first well, let me go back.
16:41:55	24	When is the first time you can recall logging
16:41:57	25	in and seeing YouTube?

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 38 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 152
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:42:05	2	A I don't know. I assume some time around the
16:42:07	3	acquisition, but I don't recall that.
16:42:08	4	Q Shortly before the acquisition or months
16:42:10	5	before the acquisition?
16:42:12	6	A That was quite a while ago. I don't you
16:42:14	7	know, I visit a lot of websites. I don't remember.
16:42:17	8	Q I'm focused on YouTube.
16:42:18	9	Can you recall approximately the first time
16:42:20	10	when you went to the YouTube website?
16:42:23	11	A I mean, no, I can't recall that.
16:42:26	12	Q Can you recall what caused you to go to the
16:42:29	13	YouTube website for the first time?
16:42:30	14	A No.
16:42:30	15	Q Can you recall discussing with anybody what
16:42:33	16	you saw on the YouTube website when you first went
16:42:36	17	there?
16:42:36	18	A No.
16:42:36	19	Q Can you recall on any occasion discussing
16:42:38	20	with Mr. Brin or Mr. Schmidt what you saw on the
16:42:41	21	YouTube website?
16:42:46	22	A I can't recall any specific instance, no.
16:42:47	23	Q Can you recall on any occasion of viewing
16:42:49	24	something on the YouTube website which cautioned you
16:42:53	25	to be concerned about whether it was properly on the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 153
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:42:58	2	website?
16:42:59	3	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:43:00	4	THE WITNESS: I can't recall that, no.
16:43:02	5	MR. DEIXLER: Q. When's the last time you
16:43:04	6	looked at the YouTube website?
16:43:10	7	A Probably pretty recently, but I can't
16:43:15	8	remember exactly.
16:43:16	9	Q Would it be fair to say that from the time
16:43:19	10	you first looked at the YouTube website until the last
16:43:21	11	time you looked at the YouTube website, on no occasion
16:43:24	12	did you observe anything which caused you to think
16:43:27	13	about whether there were copyright infringements
16:43:29	14	viewable on those on that website?
16:43:31	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
16:43:34	16	calls for a legal conclusion.
16:43:35	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that, no.
16:43:37	18	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall being
16:43:39	19	involved in a discussion about the value of The
16:43:43	20	Football Association Premier League's broadcast?
16:43:48	21	A No.
16:43:48	22	Q Can you recall participating in any written
16:43:51	23	communications on the topic of The Football
16:43:56	24	Association Premier League?
16:43:58	25	A Like I said, I don't recall.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 40 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 154
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:44:00	2	Q Do you know what the Premier League is?
16:44:02	3	A I assume it's something related to sports.
16:44:04	4	Q That's all you know about it? Can you think
16:44:06	5	of any particular sport it's associated with or
16:44:10	6	A Well, you said football. I'm not really a
16:44:11	7	big follower of sports.
16:44:11	8	Q So as you sit here today, you have really no
16:44:14	9	idea what particular sport The Football Association
16:44:18	10	Premier League is affiliated with?
16:44:18	11	A I'm guessing.
16:44:22	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:44:23	13	THE WITNESS: I'm guessing football. It
16:44:24	14	doesn't seem like
16:44:25	15	MR. DEIXLER: Q. You're guessing. You don't
16:44:27	16	know; correct?
16:44:28	17	A Football is in the name.
16:44:30	18	Q Okay. You're guessing that it's football,
16:44:32	19	but you don't know for sure; is that your testimony?
16:44:34	20	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:44:35	21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure.
16:44:36	22	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Let me who is Omid
16:44:48	23	Kordestani?
16:44:51	24	MR. MANCINI: Continuing objections to the
16:44:55	25	violations of this Court's order.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 155
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:44:56	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's actually on our
16:45:00	3	website, but he's, you know, a business development
16:45:03	4	VP.
16:45:04	5	MR. DEIXLER: Q. And he works for Google;
16:45:08	6	does he?
16:45:09	7	A Yes, he does.
16:45:10	8	MR. MANCINI: Same objection.
16:45:11	9	MR. DEIXLER: Q. And
16:45:15	10	A You certainly don't need me to answer that;
16:45:17	11	no?
16:45:17	12	Q I'm sorry?
16:45:18	13	A You don't need me to answer that; no?
16:45:21	14	Q I'm going to show you a he and you were in
16:45:24	15	communications, is that correct, with regard to work
16:45:27	16	that he was doing for Google?
16:45:30	17	A I remember talking to Omid from time to time.
16:45:39	18	Q You recall having communications with him
16:45:41	19	about The English Premier Football (Soccer)
16:45:50	20	opportunity?
16:45:50	21	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:45:51	22	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:45:53	23	MR. DEIXLER: I'd like to find this at a
16:46:11	24	break.
16:46:12	25	Q Can you recall having a discussion in writing

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		
		Page 156
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:46:13	2	with Mr. Kordestani on the topic of whether content of
16:46:18	3	The English Premier League Football should be paid for
16:46:22	4	or not?
16:46:23	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:46:25	6	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:46:28	7	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall having any
16:46:32	8	conversations with Mr. Brin or Mr. Schmidt on the
16:46:36	9	topic of The English Premier Football League?
16:46:41	10	A I don't recall.
16:46:42	11	Q Can you recall having had any conversations
16:46:44	12	with anybody about the desirability of English Premier
16:46:51	13	League Football content being licensed for YouTube
16:46:56	14	viewing?
16:46:56	15	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;
16:46:57	16	lacks foundation.
16:46:58	17	THE WITNESS: I don't recall and, you know,
16:47:00	18	there's lot of these kinds of things. I mean, there's
16:47:05	19	probably I would imagine Google is probably
16:47:08	20	negotiating with every content owner there is.
16:47:11	21	MR. DEIXLER: Yeah. I'm focused on any
16:47:13	22	memory you have of any kind of discussion on that
16:47:17	23	topic, that is, licensing, with The English Premier
16:47:20	24	League.
16:47:21	25	Do you have any memory of that at all?

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 157
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:47:23	2	A No.
16:47:23	3	Q You don't deny that you had such
16:47:25	4	communications; do you?
16:47:26	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection.
16:47:28	6	THE WITNESS: I mean, like I said, I don't
16:47:30	7	recall.
16:47:30	8	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall learning, in
16:47:35	9	advance of a content licensing arrangement with the
16:47:41	10	Walt Disney Company, that that agreement was going to
16:47:44	11	be entered into?
16:47:46	12	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered
16:47:47	13	numerous times.
16:47:50	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall specifics
16:47:52	15	there.
16:47:52	16	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall discussing
16:47:54	17	the topic with Mr. Brin prior to the entry into the
16:47:59	18	agreement with the Walt Disney Company?
16:48:01	19	MR. MANCINI: Objection, Counselor. We have
16:48:03	20	spent enumerable time with Mr. Baskin on this precise
16:48:08	21	subject.
16:48:08	22	MR. DEIXLER: Please, Counsel.
16:48:09	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:48:12	24	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Answer the question now.
16:48:13	25	A I don't recall.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 44 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 158
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:48:13	2	Q How about Mr. Schmidt? Do you recall
16:48:15	3	discussing with Mr. Schmidt entering into the Walt
16:48:17	4	Disney Company arrangement?
16:48:18	5	MR. MANCINI: So, Counselor, we we are
16:48:20	6	clearly now you are clearly now in violation of
16:48:23	7	this Court's order.
16:48:24	8	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
16:48:24	9	MR. MANCINI: Clearly, and at some point
16:48:25	10	we're going to
16:48:27	11	MR. DEIXLER: Even if you keep saying it, it
16:48:28	12	doesn't make it true, and it's improper objection
16:48:29	13	MR. MANCINI: It is actually true, and I can
16:48:31	14	read back the transcript to the judge if you want. It
16:48:33	15	is clearly in violation of the Court's order.
16:48:33	16	MR. DEIXLER: It is an improper it is an
16:48:35	17	improper objection on top of that, so it is not
16:48:37	18	MR. MANCINI: It is no such thing.
16:48:38	19	MR. DEIXLER: I don't want to engage with you
16:48:39	20	further.
16:48:39	21	Q Did you have conversations with Mr. Schmidt
16:48:41	22	in advance of the entry into the arrangement with the
16:48:43	23	Walt Disney Company? Yes or no?
16:48:45	24	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation;
16:48:47	25	asked and answered numerous times; continuing

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Page 159
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:48:49	2	objection to the violation of this Court's order.
16:48:51	3	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
16:48:52	4	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:48:54	5	your memory on the topics that you had with Mr. Brin
16:48:57	6	or Mr. Schmidt with regard to the terms of an
16:48:59	7	arrangement with the Walt Disney Company, to what, if
16:49:03	8	anything, would you refer?
16:49:04	9	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:49:05	10	THE WITNESS: Again, I can't think of
16:49:06	11	anything offhand.
16:49:10	12	MR. DEIXLER: Q. In your not remembering
16:49:12	13	that you were opposed to the acquisition of YouTube by
16:49:17	14	Google, can you recall participating in any discussion
16:49:20	15	with anybody on the topic of the financial value to
16:49:25	16	Google of the acquisition of YouTube?
16:49:28	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; intentionally
16:49:30	18	mischaracterizes his prior testimony; vague and
16:49:32	19	ambiguous.
16:49:32	20	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you answer the
16:49:33	21	question, please?
16:49:44	22	A I don't recall much of any real financial
16:49:47	23	analysis. In general, for startups, it's a pretty
16:49:54	24	difficult thing.
16:49:55	25	Q Can you recall participating in any

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The state of the s
		Page 160
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:49:57	2	conversation with Mr. Schmidt or Mr. Brin on the
16:50:00	3	economic value to Google of acquiring YouTube?
16:50:06	4	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered;
16:50:09	5	vague and ambiguous.
16:50:09	6	THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall the
16:50:10	7	specifics around those discussions.
16:50:12	8	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall in general
16:50:13	9	that you had such conversations?
16:50:15	10	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:50:17	11	THE WITNESS: I can't recall conversations.
16:50:19	12	I'd be surprised if there weren't some.
16:50:21	13	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:50:23	14	your memory on the topic of any conversation that you
16:50:25	15	had with Mr. Schmidt or Mr. Brin on the topic of the
16:50:28	16	economic value to Google of acquiring YouTube, to
16:50:32	17	what, if anything, would you refer?
16:50:33	18	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous.
16:50:35	19	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I can't think of
16:50:37	20	anything offhand.
16:50:38	21	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall having had
16:50:53	22	any conversations with Mr. Schmidt or Mr. Brin on the
16:50:59	23	topic of the competitive advantage to Google in
16:51:02	24	acquiring YouTube?
16:51:04	25	MR. MANCINI: Objection; vague and ambiguous;

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 161
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:51:06	2	lacks foundation.
16:51:08	3	THE WITNESS: I mean, I already said I can't
16:51:12	4	remember specifics. I can't remember anything about
16:51:14	5	the competitive issues.
16:51:15	6	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall having
16:51:16	7	conversations with Mr. Brin or Mr. Schmidt on the
16:51:22	8	disadvantages Google Video had compared to YouTube
16:51:26	9	prior to the acquisition?
16:51:29	10	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:51:31	11	Objection; vague and ambiguous. Objection; asked and
16:51:33	12	answered.
16:51:34	13	THE WITNESS: I can't remember any specifics
16:51:36	14	about discussions with them and about Google Video,
16:51:41	15	no.
16:51:41	16	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Can you recall anything in
16:51:42	17	general that you discussed with them on that topic?
16:51:45	18	MR. MANCINI: Same objections.
16:51:47	19	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Same thing. I can't
16:51:49	20	remember.
16:51:49	21	MR. DEIXLER: Q. So you can't recall
16:51:50	22	generally or specifically a conversation with Mr. Brin
16:51:53	23	or Mr. Schmidt on the relative competitive advantages
16:51:56	24	of YouTube versus Google Video; is that fair?
16:52:00	25	MR. MANCINI: Counsel, do you like restating

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 162
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:52:02	2	his testimony, because that's exactly what you just
16:52:05	3	did?
16:52:06	4	MR. DEIXLER: Please, stop.
16:52:06	5	Q Would you answer the question?
16:52:07	6	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and just
16:52:09	7	answered. You're just rephrasing his answer as a
16:52:12	8	question.
16:52:12	9	MR. DEIXLER: Q. Would you answer the
16:52:13	10	question, please?
16:52:14	11	MR. MANCINI: Again, asked and answered.
16:52:15	12	THE WITNESS: Like I said, I don't recall.
16:52:17	13	MR. DEIXLER: Q. If you wanted to refresh
16:52:19	14	your memory on that topic, to what, if anything, would
16:52:22	15	you refer?
16:52:23	16	A Well, like I said
16:52:24	17	MR. MANCINI: Objection; lacks foundation.
16:52:25	18	THE WITNESS: nothing comes to mind.
16:52:28	19	MR. DEIXLER: Q. During the period in
16:52:29	20	advance within the first, I'll say, 60 days before the
16:52:32	21	acquisition of YouTube by Google, how frequently did
16:52:35	22	you and Mr. Schmidt speak?
16:52:37	23	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:52:40	24	THE WITNESS: Like I've already stated, we
16:52:44	25	talk fairly frequently. But, you know, three this

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 163
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:52:47	2	is about three years ago, I guess. I don't remember
16:52:49	3	how often we talked.
16:52:50	4	MR. DEIXLER: Q. What's your best estimate?
16:52:54	5	MR. MANCINI: Objection; asked and answered.
16:52:55	6	Counselor, I think it's time that I remind
16:52:59	7	you what Judge Stanton said about this conference.
16:52:59	8	Perhaps you're not aware of the history that
16:53:03	9	lead to this deposition.
16:53:03	10	MR. DEIXLER: Please stop wasting my time.
16:53:05	11	Don't interfere any further or
16:53:06	12	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, you're clearly now
16:53:09	13	violating
16:53:09	14	MR. DEIXLER: All right.
16:53:10	15	I'll adjourn the deposition.
16:53:13	16	MR. MANCINI: Call the judge.
16:53:14	17	MR. DEIXLER: We are going to call the judge.
16:53:16	18	I've had it with this.
16:53:16	19	MR. MANCINI: Let's call the judge now.
16:53:18	20	MR. DEIXLER: I'm happy to do that.
16:53:18	21	MR. MANCINI: Shall we do that now?
16:53:18	22	MR. DEIXLER: Sure. Let's do that.
16:53:18	23	MR. MANCINI: Okay. Let's do it.
16:53:18	24	MR. DEIXLER: I want to make sure that the
16:53:19	25	judge has the full benefit of of the behavior

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		The state of the s
		Page 164
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:53:20	2	engaged in by you because it's nothing short of
16:53:20	3	shocking and it's certainly unnecessary.
16:53:20	4	MR. MANCINI: Okay.
16:53:23	5	MR. DEIXLER: So I'd like the benefit of the
16:53:25	6	transcript of the time from when I began the
16:53:28	7	examination of the witness, through and including now,
16:53:30	8	which is about 4:55 California time.
16:53:34	9	MR. MANCINI: Okay. And do we want to call
16:53:36	10	the judge right now, because I'm happy to do that? I
16:53:39	11	am happy to call him.
16:53:40	12	MR. DEIXLER: I'm thinking it's probably 8:00
16:53:42	13	in New York City. If you think he's there, I'm happy
16:53:45	14	to do it.
16:53:46	15	MR. MANCINI: I doubt he's there.
16:53:46	16	MR. DEIXLER: If you prefer to arrange a call
16:53:46	17	tomorrow ~-
16:53:47	18	MR. MANCINI: Counselor
16:53:47	19	MR. DEIXLER: I'm happy to do that. I'm
16:53:48	20	not going to let you bully me anymore. I've had it
16:53:51	21	with you.
16:53:52	22	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, you are violating
16:53:54	23	the court order. You are obviously not aware of the
16:53:55	24	court order that led to this deposition.
16:53:55	25	MR. DEIXLER: Okay. I'm going to adjourn my

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 51 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 165
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:53:57	2	portion of the deposition right now and take it up
16:53:58	3	with Judge Stanton.
16:53:58	4	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, this witness this
16:54:02	5	witness is one of the most senior executives of the
16:54:07	6	company. The judge may I read back to you what he
16:54:08	7	said about this deposition?
16:54:08	8	MR. DEIXLER: I'm fully familiar with the
16:54:09	9	Court's order. I've adhered strictly to it. Your
16:54:11	10	behavior has been unprofessional, uncalled for
16:54:14	11	MR. MANCINI: Not true, Counselor.
16:54:14	12	MR. DEIXLER: disruptive.
16:54:16	13	MR. MANCINI: If anything, it's to the
16:54:18	14	contrary.
16:54:18	15	MR. DEIXLER: Okay. The deposition from my
16:54:20	16	standpoint is now adjourned, and I will deal
16:54:22	17	MR. MANCINI: Counselor, you have 25 minutes
16:54:26	18	left.
16:54:26	19	MR. DEIXLER: I will deal I will deal with
16:54:28	20	the judge, because I do not intend to allow you to
16:54:29	21	disrupt it any further.
16:54:29	22	MR. MANCINI: You have 25 minutes left.
16:54:30	23	MR. DEIXLER: My best professional estimate
16:54:32	24	is, is that we will be back here with Mr. Page again
16:54:36	25	without your disruptions.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 52 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 166
	1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16:54:36	2	MR. MANCINI: Counselor
16:54:36	3	MR. DEIXLER: We're going to adjourn the
16:54:38	4	deposition, and let's go off the record now.
16:54:42	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's
16:54:44	6	video deposition of Larry Page in the matter of Viacom
16:54:47	7	International versus YouTube, Inc., and The Football
16:54:51	8	Association.
16:54:51	9	We are now off the record.
16:54:52	10	The time is 4:50 p.m.
16:54:56	11	(WHEREUPON, the deposition adjourned at
	12	4:50 p.m.)
	13	
	14	00
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 167
1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	JURAT
3	
4	I, LARRY PAGE, do hereby certify under
5	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
6	transcript of my deposition taken on October 1, 2009;
7	that I have made such corrections as appear noted
8	herein in ink, initialed by me; that my testimony as
9	contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct.
10	
11	DATED this day of, 2009,
12	at, California.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 54 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 168
1	
2	ERRATA
3	
4	
5	
6	I wish to make the following changes,
7	for the following reasons:
8	
9	PAGE LINE
10	CHANGE:
11	REASON:
12	CHANGE:
13	REASON:
14	CHANGE:
15	REASON:
16	CHANGE:
17	REASON:
18	CHANGE:
19	REASON:
20	CHANGE:
21	REASON:
22	
23	
24	WITNESS' SIGNATURE DATE
25	

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 55 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 169
1	PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, hereby certify
5	that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me
6	duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
7	nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause;
8	
9	That said deposition was taken in shorthand
10	by me, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of
11	California, and was thereafter transcribed into
12	typewriting, and that the foregoing transcript
13	constitutes a full, true and correct report of said
14	deposition and of the proceedings which took place;
15	
16	That I am a disinterested person to the said
17	action.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
20	hand this day of 2009.
21	
22	
23	ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, RPR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830
24	
25	

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Pag	e 170
1		PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
2		INDEX	
3	DEPOSITION O	F LARRY PAGE	
4	EXAMINATION		PAGE
5	BY MR. BASKI	N	6
6	BY MR. DEIXL	ER	129
7			
8		EXHIBITS	
9	EXHIBIT		PAGE
10	Exhibit 1	10/9/06 E-mail w/ Attachments,	27
11		Subject: Green Board Material,	
12		Bates Nos. CSSU003560 - 586;	
13		27 pgs.	
14	Exhibit 2	12/8/05 E-mail, Subject:	35
15		[Harappa-bd] Search Terms, Bates	
16		Nos. G00001-00990640 - 41; 2 pgs.	
17	Exhibit 3	5/12/06 E-mail, Subject: Re: Video	44
18		GPS - Content, Bates Nos.	
19		G00001-00496651 - 54; 4 pgs.	
20	Exhibit 4	9/7/06 E-mail, Subject: CBS -	58
21		Google Video Deal: Update, Bates	
22		Nos. G00001-01526188 - 89; 2 pgs.	
23	Exhibit 5	11/2/06 E-mail, Subject: Re:	60
24		Viacom/MTV proposal, Bates Nos.	
25		G00001-00797166; 1 pg.	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 57 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page	171
1		PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
2	I	EXHIBITS (Confidential.)	
3			
4	EXHIBIT	I	PAGE
5	Exhibit 6	11/14/06 E-mail String, Subject:	62
6		Re: Content deal terms, Bates	
7		Nos. G00001-01507063 - 65; 3 pgs.	
8	Exhibit 7	11/29/06 E-mail String, Subject:	67
9		Fwd: Google's Best and Final	
10		Proposal, Bates Nos.	
11		G00001-01526800 - 802; 3 pgs.	
12	Exhibit 8	10/31/06 E-mail String, Subject:	68
13		Re: Viacom Content, Bates Nos.	
14		G00001-01559968 - 71; 4 pgs.	
15	Exhibit 9	11/16/06 E-mail String, Subject:	73
16		Fw: Chat with Eric on Media	
17		Dealsnext steps, Bates Nos.	
18		GOO001-00792654 - 65; 2 pgs.	
19	Exhibit 10	11/16/06 E-mail String, Subject:	76
20		Re: Deal review call, Bates Nos.	
21		GOO001-01526638 - 39; 2 pgs.	
22	Exhibit 11	Turner/YouTube (YT) Term Sheet	80
23		10/11/06, Bates Nos.	
24		GOO001-02826036 - 46; 11 pgs.	
25			
		·	

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 58 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Pag	e 172
1		PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
2	E	X H I B I T S (Confidential.)	
3	EXHIBIT		PAGE
4	Exhibit 12	TWDC/Google - Deal Framework,	84
5		Bates Nos. G00001-02502815 - 819;	
6		5 pgs.	
7	Exhibit 13	MTV Networks Video Term Sheet	88
8		Google Draft 12/14/06, Bates Nos.	
9		G00001-02892078 - 083; 6 pgs.	
10	Exhibit 14	2/15/07 E-mail String, Subject:	94
11		Iger, Bates Nos. G00001-01511226	
12		- 27; 2 pgs.	
13	Exhibit 15	2/2/07 Letter To Drummond and	99
14		Walker From Fricklas, Bates Nos.	
15		VIA01475465 - 76; 12 pgs.	
16	Exhibit 16	2/12/07 E-mail Subject: Corporate	100
17		eFax from 12126644733, Bates Nos.	
18		GOO001-02826791 - 98; 8 pgs.	
19	Exhibit 17	6/8/06 E-mail Subject: Fw: Google	102
20		Video Handover deck_v2, Bates Nos.	
21		GOO001-00791569 - 611; 43 pgs.	
22	Exhibit 18	2/2/07 E-mail String, Subject:	110
23		Fwd: What I sent to Viacom,	
24		Bates Nos. G00001-00973152 - 54;	
25		3 pgs.	

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 324-7 Filed 05/21/10 Page 59 of 59

LARRY PAGE - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 17
1		PAGE, L HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2		EXHIBITS (Continued.)
3		
4	EXHIBIT	PAGE
5	Exhibit 19	10/2/07 E-mail String, Subject: 119
6		Re: Idea on promotion on YouTube,
7		Bates Nos. G00001-00989006 - 08;
8		3 pgs.
9		00
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

No. 07-CV-2203

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER

LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

No. 07-CV-3582

YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendants.

----X

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID DRUMMOND
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2009

Job No.: 16392

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 6 of 25

		Page 21
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:17:39	2	Q. So it's your recollection that this was a
10:17:41	3	pricing issue, as far as he was concerned? It was
10:17:44	4	too expensive?
10:17:45	5	A. (No audible response.)
10:17:46	6	Q. An acquisition of YouTube would be too
10:17:48	7	expensive?
10:17:49	8	A. I I recall him having concerns about a
10:17:52	9	price.
10:17:52	10	Q. And no other concerns?
10:17:54	11	A. I don't remember what other concerns he
10:17:56	12	might have had.
10:17:57	13	Q. Now now, is it fair to say that your
10:18:52	14	initial offer to YouTube was in the range of
10:18:56	15	\$615 million?
10:19:00	16	A. Yes, I think that's correct.
10:19:02	17	Q. And the final offer added a billion
10:19:07	18	dollars to that, basically?
10:19:09	19	A. Yes.
10:19:10	20	Q. Now, I take it that the acquisition was
10:19:16	21	embodied in a merger agreement?
10:19:18	22	A. Yes, that's correct.
10:19:20	23	MR. BASKIN: And let me show you, just so
10:19:22	24	we're working off the same page what we'll mark as
10:19:39	25	Drummond 2.
		DI anniolia 2.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 22
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:19:40	2	(Drummond Exhibit Number 2 was marked for
10:19:40	3	identification.)
10:19:40	4	MR. BASKIN: I think that's about as many
10:19:40	5	as we've got.
10:20:10	6	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:20:10	7	Q. Is that a copy of the merger agreement,
10:20:13	8	Mr. Drummond?
10:20:13	9	A. It appears to be.
10:20:15	10	Q. And did you work on the acq
10:20:16	11	negotiation of the merger agreement?
10:20:18	12	A. Yes, I did.
10:20:19	13	Q. How about the scrivening of the merger
10:20:22	14	agreement? Did you work on the scrivening of the
10:20:25	15	merger agreement?
10:20:26	16	MR. SCHAPIRO: You might define
10:20:27	17	"scrivening" for the ladies and gentlemen of the
10:20:29	18	jury.
10:20:30	19	BY MR. BASKIN:
10:20:30	20	Q. Do you know what "scrivening" means,
10:20:33	21	Mr. Drummond?
10:20:34	22	A. Yes.
10:20:34	23	Q. Did you work on the scrivening of the
10:20:37	24	merger agreement?
10:20:38	25	A. I didn't actually write the language, if

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 23
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:20:40	2	that's what you mean, but I was certainly
10:20:42	3	reviewed drafts, and reviewed provisions, and was
10:20:45	4	asked to comment on them.
10:20:46	5	Q. Now, before you entered into this
10:20:49	6	agreement, and before you submitted the transaction
10:20:53	7	to your board for its approval, would I be correct
10:20:57	8	that Google performed a due diligence investigation
10:21:00	9	of YouTube's operations and financing conditions?
10:21:03	10	A. Yes, that's true.
10:21:04	11	Q. And that's customary, isn't it, to perform
10:21:07	12	a due diligence?
10:21:08	13	A. Yes.
10:21:09	14	Q. And maybe you could tell the ladies and
10:21:10	15	gentlemen of the jury what a due diligence is.
10:21:15	16	A. Well, a due diligence investigation is
10:21:18	17	generally what a company will do when they're
10:21:22	18	attempting when you're going to invest the
10:21:25	19	company funds, or, for instance, in acquiring a
10:21:29	20	company, to review the the asset that you're
10:21:32	21	buying, the company that you're buying, and try to
10:21:35	22	understand its its business, and whether or not
10:21:42	23	it's worth some particular amount of money that is
10:21:45	24	proposed to be paid for it.
10:21:48	25	Q. And it is generally your practice your

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 24
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:21:50	2	experience that the target company makes available
10:21:54	3	to the would-be acquirer the information the
10:21:59	4	would-be acquirer wants to see?
10:22:01	5	A. Yes, that's my understanding.
10:22:03	6	Q. And is it fair to say that's what happened
10:22:05	7	here as well?
10:22:07	8	A. Yes, that's correct.
10:22:07	9	Q. And prior to the acquisition, did you
10:22:09	10	strike that.
10:22:10	11	Were a large array of documents set up in
10:22:15	12	what might be characterized as a war room?
10:22:20	13	A. (No audible response.)
10:22:21	14	Q. Or would you would you use a different
10:22:23	15	phrase?
10:22:25	16	A. I don't I don't recall the the
10:22:27	17	actual venue, or how things were set up. I know
10:22:30	18	that they we spent a fair bit of time at Wilson
10:22:34	19	Son Sonsini, both negotiating the transaction
10:22:38	20	and and review you know, performing due
10:22:42	21	diligence.
10:22:43	22	Q. And did you have access to the senior
10:22:46	23	executives of YouTube to ask them questions?
10:22:49	24	A. Yes, we did.
10:22:50	25	Q. And did you do that from time to time in

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 10 of 25

		Page 25
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:22:52	2	the course of the due diligence?
10:22:53	3	A. Yes, we did.
10:22:54	4	Q. And in connection with that, do you recall
10:22:57	5	any question you asked them that they refused to
10:22:59	6	answer?
10:23:01	7	A. No, I don't.
10:23:02	8	Q. Do you recall strike that.
10:23:04	9	Who else, other than I take it you
10:23:06	10	weren't doing all the due diligence yourself?
10:23:09	11	A. That's correct.
10:23:09	12	Q. Who else worked on due diligence in in
10:23:12	13	addition to you, sir?
10:23:15	14	A. At Google, Matt Sucherman. He was an
10:23:20	15	in-house Google lawyer who then was in charge of our
10:23:24	16	corporate law group.
10:23:29	17	We also had some other Google lawyers
10:23:35	18	involved in the process. Alex MacGillivray, Glenn
10:23:44	19	Brown, our outside counsel was Simpson Thatcher.
10:23:52	20	There were at least two lawyers from there.
10:23:58	21	Q. How about nonlawyers who participated in
10:24:01	22	due diligence? I assume Credit Suisse First Boston
10:24:08	23	did; is that true?
10:24:10	24	A. They they didn't play as much of a role
10:24:12	25	in what I would call the due diligence, but in terms

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-32 Filed 03/18/10 Page 11 of 25

		Page 26
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
10:24:17	2	of other Google people, I know that Salar Kamangar
10:24:30	3	was one of our product managers. I believe he was a
10:24:33	4	VP at that time.
10:24:34	5	Q. How about Sean Dempsey?
10:24:37	6	A. Of course. I'm forgetting Sean Dempsey,
10:24:39	7	who was worked on the corporate development team,
10:24:42	8	and Salman Ullah, who was his his boss, who ran
10:24:46	9	at the time, ran corporate development for
10:24:50	10	Google, who reported to me.
10:24:51	11	Q. Now, is there also an individual named
10:25:00	12	James Kim? That sounds familiar to you?
10:25:03	13	A. Yes.
10:25:04	14	Q. A banker at Credit Suisse?
10:25:07	15	A. (Witness nods head.)
10:25:08	16	Q. Is that correct?
10:25:09	17	A. I won't dispute that. I think that's
10:25:11	18	true.
10:25:12	19	Q. Well, it may not be now, but he was then;
10:25:14	20	correct?
10:25:15	21	A. That sounds correct, but I I don't
10:25:17	22	remember him well.
10:25:18	23	Q. And did he also participate in the due
10:25:21	24	diligence?
10:25:21	25	A. He may have, but I I don't remember his

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

```
86
 1
                                   DAVID DRUMMOND
 2
   12:25:46
             payments it should make arising out of copyright
   12:25:49
 3
             litigation?
   12:25:50
                  A. I -- I don't remember what our initial
   12:25:52
 5
             position is. I remember that we agreed on a --
   12:25:55
             on -- on a -- what's called a -- you know, a -- a
 7
   12:26:00
             cap or an amount, and expresses a percentage of the
   12:26:04
 8
             purchase price.
   12:26:05
                  Q. Now, in particular, if you'll turn to
   12:26:09
10
             page -- to the exhibit -- to the merger agreement.
   12:26:15
11
             I don't remember what exhibit number is. If you
   12:26:19
             would -- we'll count down for a second --
   12:26:22
13
                       MS. MERINGOLO: Exhibit 2.
   12:26:23
14
            BY MR. BASKIN:
   12:26:23
                  Q. Exhibit 2. So I understand how this
   12:26:25
16
             works, sir, if you first turn to page 61 and 62 of
17
   12:26:34
             the merger agreement --
18
   12:26:38
                       MR. SCHAPIRO: Sorry. Are you giving
   12:26:39
19
             Bates numbers or the page numbers?
   12:26:41
20
            BY MR. BASKIN:
   12:26:41
                       Page numbers of the document. It would be
                  0.
22
   12:26:44
             Bates numbers -123 and -124.
   12:27:00
23
                       Section 9.2 sets up indemnification by the
   12:27:06
24
            company stockholders, the company being YouTube;
25
   12:27:11
            right, sir?
```

```
87
 1
                                   DAVID DRUMMOND
 2
   12:27:12
                  Α.
                       Yes.
   12:27:12
 3
                  Q.
                       Then among the items, I -- that were to be
   12:27:15
             identify -- indemnified, if you go to the top of
   12:27:19
 5
             page 62, was any indemnified copyright action,
   12:27:24
             including any damages arising prior to or after the
   12:27:29
 7
             effective time; right, Mr. Drummond?
   12:27:33
 8
                       Yes, I see that.
                  Α.
   12:27:34
                  Q.
                       Now, on page 17 of the agreement, as I
   12:27:36
10
             understand it, an escrow account was set up; right,
   12:27:47
11
             sir?
   12:27:48
12
                  Α.
                      Yes.
13
   12:27:48
                  Q. And if I understand how this functioned,
14
   12:27:50
            under the escrow account 12.5 percent of the
   12:27:58
15
            aggregate share consideration, that is, 12.5 percent
   12:28:06
16
             of $1.65 billion, was to be set up in an escrow
17
   12:28:13
             account; correct?
18
   12:28:15
                  A. That's correct.
   12:28:16
19
                  Q.
                      So that's roughly -- what? 200 --
   12:28:19
20
             $200 million was to be escrowed?
   12:28:30
                       Sorry. It's 12.5 percent of -- it's --
                  Α.
22
   12:28:32
             the -- the shares.
23
   12:28:32
                  Q.
                       Okay.
   12:28:33
                  A. This is a share deal.
25
   12:28:37
                  Q. Now, then, if I'm right, if you turn to
```

```
88
 1
                                   DAVID DRUMMOND
 2
   12:28:45
             page 64, and the -- and it continues on page 65,
   12:29:06
 3
             Section 9.6(b) limited the actual indemnification
   12:29:17
             for copyright violations to 5 percent of the total
   12:29:23
 5
             number of escrow shares initially deposited in the
   12:29:28
             escrow account; right, Mr. Drummond?
   12:29:30
 7
                        Yes, I see that.
                   Α.
   12:29:32
 8
                        So then roughly do the math. You would
   12:29:40
             take $1.65 billion and multiply that by
   12:29:46
10
             12.5 percent, which I think is $206 million, and
   12:29:53
11
             then you would take 5 percent of that, and so the
   12:29:55
12
             initial escrowed amount for copyright violations was
13
   12:30:02
             approximately $10 million, as set forth in this
   12:30:05
14
             agreement; correct?
   12:30:07
15
                   Α.
                        That would be the -- probably the better
   12:30:10
16
             reading of the language in the original agreement,
17
   12:30:13
             yes.
18
   12:30:14
                        Well, it's the only reading, but you --
   12:30:16
19
             there's a scrivener's error; right?
   12:30:21
20
                  Α.
                        That's correct.
   12:30:22
                   Ο.
                        And this is where the scrivener erred;
22
   12:30:26
             correct?
23
   12:30:26
                  Α.
                        This is where the error took place, yes.
   12:30:29
                   Q. Now, the net effect was --
   12:30:32
25
                        Mark this as Exhibit --
```


		Page 89
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
12:30:44	2	THE REPORTER: 11.
12:30:45	3	MR. BASKIN: 11.
12:30:51	4	(Drummond Exhibit Number 11 was marked for
12:30:51	5	identification.)
12:31:31	6	BY MR. BASKIN:
12:31:32	7	Q. Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 11,
12:31:34	8	Mr. Drummond.
12:31:52	9	Does Exhibit 11 appear to you to be a
12:31:56	10	e-mail communicating to the Sequoia folks the fully
12:32:02	11	executed amendment to the merger agreement?
12:32:06	12	A. Yes, that's what it appears to be.
12:32:08	13	Q. And have you seen this prior to today,
12:32:10	14	sir?
12:32:13	15	A. (No audible response.)
12:32:14	16	Q. By that, I by "this," I mean have you
12:32:17	17	seen the amendment prior to today?
12:32:19	18	A. Yes.
12:32:19	19	Q. I'm not talking about the actual
12:32:21	20	transmittal to Sequoia guys.
12:32:23	21	A. Yes, I believe I've seen the the
12:32:24	22	amendment.
12:32:25	23	Q. Now, if I understand what happened by this
12:32:27	24	amendment, Section 9.6(b) well, strike that.
12:32:33	25	It starts by having a couple whereas

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 90
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
12:32:36	2	clauses, the second one which provides that this
12:32:41	3	corrects a mutual mistake resulting from a
12:32:44	4	scrivener's error; correct?
12:32:46	5	A. That's correct.
12:32:48	6	Q. And the mistake was in Section 9.6(b), as
12:32:55	7	we discussed before; right, Mr. Drummond?
12:32:57	8	A. That's right.
12:32:58	9	Q. And basically, what this does, if I
12:33:01	10	understand it correctly, it changes the size of the
12:33:07	11	escrow available to Google for copyright
12:33:11	12	infringement actions from 5 percent of the total
12:33:17	13	number of escrowed shares, to 5 percent of the
12:33:23	14	aggregate share price aggregate share
12:33:27	15	consideration; is that right?
12:33:28	16	A. That's right.
12:33:29	17	Q. So now, instead of having 5 percent of
12:33:32	18	approximately, I believe, \$200 million available as
12:33:41	19	an indemnification for copyright infringement, this
12:33:45	20	amendment makes available 5 percent of
12:33:48	21	\$1.65 billion; correct?
12:33:51	22	A. That's correct.
12:33:53	23	Q. So basically, it increased the escrow from
12:34:02	24	about \$10.3 million available for copyright
12:34:07	25	infringement actions to \$82.5 million? Something in

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 91
	1	DAVID DRUMMOND
12:34:12	2	that range, sir?
12:34:15	3	A. Well, it corrected the error in the
12:34:17	4	original agreement.
12:34:18	5	Q. And the effect of correcting the error was
12:34:20	6	basically the indemnification flowing to Google
12:34:23	7	increased by 800 percent?
12:34:25	8	A. Well, I guess I would argue it never
12:34:28	9	increased. The the agreement was the agreement,
12:34:31	10	and it was just a incorrectly memorialized.
12:34:36	11	Q. Now, what do you recall occasioned the
12:34:38	12	discovery of the scrivener's error?
12:34:46	13	A. You know, I don't I don't recall who
12:34:49	14	actually noticed it. It was brought to my
12:34:52	15	attention, I believe, by Matt Sucherman who had
12:34:58	16	worked on on the deal.
12:35:01	17	Q. Well, the amendment was executed, it looks
12:35:04	18	like, approximately April 18th, 2007; is that
12:35:07	19	correct?
12:35:07	20	A. That's what it says.
12:35:08	21	Q. And Viacom filed this lawsuit in March of
12:35:13	22	2006; is that right, Mr. Drummond?
12:35:18	23	A. That sounds generally correct. I don't
12:35:20	24	know. I'd have to refer to something to to get
12:35:22	25	that exact

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

A - 575


```
174
 1
                                   DAVID DRUMMOND
   15:59:01
 2
                        Could you read to yourself the -- the
   15:59:03
 3
             paragraph begins:
   15:59:06
                  Deployment of such preventive measures
   15:59:09
                  cannot be conditioned on first reaching a
   15:59:12
                  commercial agreement.
   15:59:13
 7
             Do you see that, Mr. Drummond?
   15:59:39
 8
                       Yes, I -- I've read that paragraph.
   15:59:41
                  Q.
                       Now, Mr. Cotton seemed to believe that it
   15:59:44
10
             was your, Google's, express policy to offer its
   15:59:49
11
             advanced technology only to copyright owners that
   15:59:54
             submit to YouTube's commercial demands. Was that an
13
   15:59:59
             accurate characterization of your position?
   16:00:01
14
                       MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection as to what
   16:00:02
             Mr. Cotton believes.
   16:00:04
16
                        THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what
17
   16:00:05
             Mr. -- I can't comment on what Mr. Cotton believes.
18
   16:00:08
             As I stated -- as I said before, we had a -- our
   16:00:12
19
             position was that we were -- we were offering the
   16:00:14
20
             techniques that we've discussed before to help
   16:00:18
             content owners identify content to partners.
22
   16:00:26
             BY MR. BASKIN:
   16:00:26
23
                       Meaning people that entered into licenses
   16:00:29
             with you?
   16:00:29
25
                  A. People that we -- we had business
```

```
175
 1
                                   DAVID DRUMMOND
 2
   16:00:31
             relationships with.
   16:00:32
 3
                       And if an NBC or Viacom was unwilling to
   16:00:36
             license their content to you --
   16:00:38
                  Α.
                       Well, we knew that it was their view that,
   16:00:40
             you know, as expressed in this letter, that we
   16:00:43
 7
             needed to -- to deploy this technology to meet legal
   16:00:47
             obligations. Obviously, we disagreed with that.
   16:00:50
                  Q.
                       And I take it, in fact, you did not deploy
   16:00:52
10
             the technology for either Viacom or NBC; is that
   16:00:57
11
             correct?
   16:00:57
                  Α.
                       I don't recall that we did.
13
   16:01:02
                  Q. Now, by the way, in reaching that
   16:01:04
14
             conclusion that you had no obligation to do so, was
   16:01:11
15
            that on advice of counsel, or how did you come about
   16:01:15
16
             that -- that conclusion?
17
   16:01:15
                       It's privileged, I believe. It was on
18
   16:01:17
             advice of counsel.
   16:01:36
19
                       MR. BASKIN: And I'll first direct this to
   16:01:53
20
             your attorney, but do you want to tell us which
   16:01:55
             counsel gave that advice that you're relying on?
22
   16:01:59
                       MR. SCHAPIRO: No.
   16:02:05
23
                       MR. BASKIN: Okay. Now -- after Google
   16:03:33
             acquired YouTube -- just one second. Oh, here it
25
   16:04:50
             is.
```

		Page
UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,))))	
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.) NO. 07-CV-2103	
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE INC.,)	
Defendants.)	
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	-/)))))	
Plaintiffs, vs.)) NO. 07-CV-3582	
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,)))	
Defendants.)))	
30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF WEDNESDAY, JULY 16,	FORNIA	
BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, CSR LICENSE NO. 9830 JOB NO. 15373	RPR, CLR	

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 192
15:43:55	1	my memory.
15:43:57	2	Q Let's turn to Exhibit 21.
15:43:59	3	A Okay.
15:44:05	4	Q Exhibit 21 consists of handwritten notes also
15:44:08	5	interspersed with some other pages. Just focusing on
15:44:12	6	that handwritten notes at the moment, are those your
15:44:16	7	notes?
15:44:17	8	A Looks like my handwriting, for lack of a
15:44:23	9	better way of putting it.
15:44:25	10	Q And this set of documents that we've labeled
15:44:35	11	Exhibit 21 was produced to us all as one set, I
15:44:39	12	believe. Would this be maintained as a single file by
15:44:49	13	you? Do you recall?
15:44:52	14	A No, I don't recall. My guess is it was
15:44:54	15	probably just a stack of stuff and somehow I think you
15:44:56	16	guys interpret it as one document. I think it's a
15:45:00	17	lot
15:45:00	18	Q Okay.
15:45:00	19	A of documents that were probably sitting
15:45:02	20	together.
15:45:10	21	Q Looking at the first page of Exhibit 21
15:45:16	22	A Okay.
15:45:17	23	Q actually, do you know what these are notes
15:45:22	24	of on the first page notes from?
15:45:38	25	A It looks like notes on diligence, like asking

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-33 Filed 03/18/10 Page 49 of 62

		Page 193
15:45:42	1	questions of them of what they're doing and how it's
15:45:44	2	going.
15:45:45	3	Q Would they be notes of the due diligence
15:45:49	4	meetings with YouTube personnel at Wilson Sonsini's
15:45:52	5	offices?
15:45:52	6	A That's highly possible, yeah. I don't know
15:45:54	7	the answer, but it seems like that's definitely a
15:45:57	8	possibility here.
15:45:58	9	Q When you take notes in a due diligence
15:46:01	10	setting, do you make every effort to accurately record
15:46:06	11	what's going on?
15:46:08	12	MR. VOLKMER: Objection to the form.
15:46:10	13	THE WITNESS: If this was done in the
15:46:13	14	context context of me doing due diligence, I try to
15:46:17	15	write as much as I can down.
15:46:20	16	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Q. And as accurately as
15:46:22	17	possible?
15:46:22	18	A Yeah. I wouldn't make up stuff. Yeah,
15:46:26	19	absolutely. Some of it might not be what I heard. It
15:46:29	20	might be my interpretation or might be other people's
15:46:32	21	interpretation that I don't agree with. So that
15:46:32	22	doesn't mean there's not much truth in here either
15:46:39	23	communicated to me or
15:46:39	24	Q Okay.
15:46:39	25	A that's how it was, but

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 194
15:46:42	1	Q Several lines just a few lines down from
15:46:44	2	the top there's a reads "Brent/Gideon"; correct?
15:46:49	3	A Uh-huh.
15:46:50	4	Q Does that indicate that you met with Brent
15:46:53	5	Hurley and Gideon Yu during due diligence?
15:46:57	6	A It could. Typically that's not what I would
15:47:00	7	put in my notes, but maybe because this was a while
15:47:03	8	ago, I had a different style back then. So it could
15:47:06	9	either mean that those were the two folks that were
15:47:08	10	giving me the information or could mean follow-up with
15:47:13	11	Brad and Gideon or something.
15:47:13	12	So I don't want to give you 100 percent
15:47:15	13	comfort on that, but it seems logical that it could
15:47:18	14	have been the two folks that were there.
15:47:20	15	Q And you say it's not what that's not what
15:47:21	16	you would normally write who you met with in your
15:47:25	17	notes. Where would you normally write?
15:47:26	18	A I probably normally have like you know,
15:47:27	19	although this is up at the top, but I probably have
15:47:30	20	like a category of like Credit Suisse people, you
15:47:32	21	know, lawyers, client people, et cetera, in different
15:47:35	22	columns, and I don't have it, but this was a while
15:47:38	23	ago. Maybe my style has changed since then. Maybe I
15:47:44	24	should go back to my old style.
15:47:52	25	Q If you could flip back a couple of pages

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 195
15:47:55	1	A Okay.
15:47:56	2	Q to page 1865
15:47:59	3	A All righty.
15:48:01	4	Q in Exhibit 21. At the top of that page,
15:48:10	5	could you read the first two lines at the top of that
15:48:12	6	page, since it's your handwriting.
15:48:14	7	A Sure.
15:48:15	8	That doesn't mean it will be correct when I
15:48:18	9	read it either. "No copyright issues; DMCA (no
15:48:24	10	issues). Don't target because we can't profit from
15:48:29	11	these pages."
15:48:35	12	Q And do you know what "DMCA" is referring to
15:48:38	13	there?
15:48:40	14	MR. TAFFET: Just for point of clarity, if
15:48:43	15	it's there seems to be a 1, 2, and 3, if you go to
15:48:48	16	the prior page. This is a continuation of number two.
15:48:50	17	I don't know if that
15:48:51	18	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Okay.
15:48:51	19	MR. TAFFET: changes the witness's answer,
15:48:53	20	but it's
15:48:54	21	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Thank you, Mr. Taffet.
15:48:55	22	Q Why don't we, so we have the full context,
15:48:57	23	that's helpful, have you look at page 1864. The last
15:49:02	24	two lines have a number two circled.
15:49:09	25	Could you read those two lines?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 196
15:49:12	1	A You mean the "Search Deal" and the
15:49:15	2	"Partnerships"?
15:49:16	3	Q I believe
15:49:17	4	A The first two lines of two you're saying?
15:49:19	5	Yeah.
15:49:19	6	Q Look at the bottom two lines of the page.
15:49:21	7	A Okay. Yeah. Got it. My bad. I
15:49:23	8	misunderstood you.
15:49:23	9	So it says "Partnerships (Studio\Labels) most
15:49:31	10	aggressively monetized."
15:49:33	11	Q Is it your understanding that the next two
15:49:36	12	lines on that page are a part of the same set of notes
15:49:40	13	about point two?
15:49:40	14	A Yeah, it looks to be a carryover. That's
15:49:42	1 5	right. I think that's fair.
15:49:47	16	Q And in that context, what does "No copyright
15:49:54	17	issues DMCA refer to"?
15:49:56	18	MR. VOLKMER: Objection to the form of the
15:49:57	19	question.
15:49:58	20	THE WITNESS: I would assume it's under the
15:50:04	21	subcategory of "Partnerships (Studio/Labels)."
15:50:18	22	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Q. Do you recall whether
15:50:19	23	this was something that is notes of something you were
15:50:21	24	told?
15:50:23	25	A Yeah, I think that's what all of this is, is

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-33 Filed 03/18/10 Page 53 of 62

		Page 197
15:50:25	1	the note. Oh, you're referring specifically to my
15:50:28	2	comment where I might put down my own opinion?
15:50:30	3	Q Yes. You asked exactly
15:50:31	4	A Oh, sorry.
15:50:32	5	Q where you said a number, so
15:50:34	6	A I would not have expressed my own opinion on
15:50:37	7	that statement, so it was definitely told to me by
15:50:39	8	someone else.
15:50:40	9	Q And do you recall who that person was?
15:50:45	10	A I don't.
15:50:45	11	Q And
15:50:50	12	A Someone from YouTube.
15:50:51	13	Q And do you recall the significance of the
15:50:55	14	last line that we've been discussing, don't which
15:50:58	15	is on page 1865 that says "Don't target because we
15:51:03	16	can't profit from these pages"?
15:51:04	17	MR. VOLKMER: Object to the form of the
15:51:06	18	question.
15:51:15	19	(Whereupon, record read by the Reporter as
15:51:15	20	follows:
15:50:52	21	"Question: And do you recall the
15:50:54	22	significance of the last line that we've
15:50:56	23	been discussing, don't which is on page
15:51:00	24	1865 that says 'Don't target because we
15:51:03	25	can't profit from these pages'?")

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

Case 1:07-cv-02103-LLS Document 222-33 Filed 03/18/10 Page 54 of 62

		Page 198
15:51:16	1	THE WITNESS: You good with that question?
15:51:20	2	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Q. If you understood it.
15:51:22	3	I'll rephrase it if you didn't.
15:51:24	4	A Yeah, if you could rephrase it. I think
15:51:25	5	Q Okay. Looking at page 1865
15:51:27	6	A Yeah.
15:51:27	7	Q we've been discussing a number of lines.
15:51:30	8	The last one reads "Don't target because we can't
15:51:33	9	profit from these pages"; correct?
15:51:35	10	A Uh-huh.
15:51:35	11	Q Do you recall the significance of that
15:51:38	12	statement?
15:51:39	13	A I think by "significance" you mean the
15:51:41	14	importance of it?
15:51:42	15	Q What does it mean?
15:51:43	16	A Okay. The meaning of it. Sorry. Got it.
15:51:45	17	Thank you.
15:51:45	18	It my gut tells me that there's something
15:52:04	19	that I missed between the "No copyright issues DMCA
15:52:08	20	line," and "Don't target because we can't profit from
15:52:10	21	these messages" I'm sorry " from these pages,"
15:52:13	22	because it seems as though what they're saying here is
15:52:15	23	that some of this stuff was monetized or there weren't
15:52:21	24	copyright issues, and then other stuff wasn't targeted
15:52:23	25	for revenue monetization, because they can't profit

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 199
15:52:25	1	from those pages.
15:52:27	2	Q And to the best of your recollection,
15:52:30	3	somebody from YouTube would have explained that to
15:52:32	4	you?
15:52:32	5	A Yes.
15:52:32	6	Q But you don't recall who specifically?
15:52:34	7	A No.
15:52:37	8	MR. HOHENGARTEN: I think we need to change
15:52:38	9	video tapes.
15:52:42	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of video
15:52:44	11	tape number three in the continuing deposition of
15:52:47	12	Storm Duncan on July 16th, 2008. The time is
15:52:55	13	3:52 p.m.
15:52:56	14	We are off the record.
15:52:57	15	(Recess taken.)
16:04:54	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
16:04:57	17	video tape number four in the continuing deposition of
16:05:01	18	Storm Duncan on July 16th, 2008. The time is
16:05:06	19	4:05 p.m. We're off the record we're back on the
16:05:10	20	record.
16:05:11	21	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Okay.
16:05:12	22	Q Continuing with Exhibit 21, if you would turn
16:05:18	23	to Bates page 1957, and do you see roughly in the
16:05:32	24	middle of the page there's a line that begins with
16:05:35	25	"60 percent"?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 200
16:05:35	1	A Uh-huh.
16:05:35	2	Q Can you read that line and the next line
16:05:38	3	please?
16:05:38	4	A Sure. "60 percent is 'Premium.'
16:05:42	5	Professionally produced. Legitimate and
16:05:49	6	illegitimate."
16:05:50	7	Q And do you recall what the significance or
16:05:52	8	meaning of those notes are?
16:05:57	9	MR. VOLKMER: Object to the form of the
16:05:58	10	question.
16:06:01	11	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Q. Did you understand my
16:06:02	12	question?
16:06:02	13	A The meaning of those notes are? So are you
16:06:05	14	asking what do I mean by "legitimate and
16:06:09	15	illegitimate," or
16:06:09	16	Q That would be part of my question certainly.
16:06:11	17	A You want to break it down into parts then?
16:06:14	18	Q What do you mean by "legitimate,
16:06:17	19	illegitimate"?
16:06:18	20	A Okay. I think.
16:06:19	21	MR. VOLKMER: I'm going to object to the form
16:06:20	22	of that question.
16:06:22	23	You can proceed.
16:06:23	24	THE WITNESS: Can you read it back?
16:06:24	25	MR. HOHENGARTEN: Q. Actually. I'll just

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 201
16:06:26	1	A Okay.
16:06:28	2	Q What is meant in these notes by "legitimate"
16:06:31	3	and "illegitimate"?
16:06:34	4	A Okay. Okay. My recollection is that there's
16:06:39	5	professionally produced content which is by, you know,
16:06:42	6	a studio or someone professional that would own that
16:06:46	7	content, and legitimate and illegitimate is whether it
16:06:51	8	was put up in agreement with YouTube and that producer
16:06:53	9	or put up by someone else without the agreement of
16:06:57	10	that producer.
16:06:58	11	Q Okay. And does does do those notes
16:07:02	12	reflect something that somebody told you as opposed to
16:07:04	13	your own thoughts?
16:07:09	14	A Definitely something that someone told me as
16:07:12	15	opposed to my own thoughts to your question.
16:07:16	16	Q And do you recall who?
16:07:32	17	A I don't.
16:07:34	18	Q Do you recall whether it was somebody from
16:07:36	19	YouTube?
16:08:07	20	A I don't.
16:08:07	21	Q Do you recall whether it was somebody from
16:08:10	22	Google?
16:08:10	23	A I don't.
16:08:10	24	Q And do you recall roughly when this
16:08:14	25	information was imparted to you?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

	Page 1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT C	
VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC., COMEDY PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, LLC,)))))))
Plaintiffs,))
vs.) NO. 07-CV-2203
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,	,))
Defendants.) \
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiffs, vs.)) NO. 07-CV-3582
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and GOOGLE, INC.,)))
Defendants.) \
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF M SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF FRIDAY, AUGUST 22,	FORNIA
BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO HOWARD, CSR, CSR LICENSE NO. 9830 JOB NO. 15500	RPR, CLR

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC. 805 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (212)705-8585

		Page 78
	1	DUNTON
12:08:31	2	saying "oh, so by the way, after our discussion on
12:08:34	3	friday, I did a little exercise on friday and went
12:08:36	4	through all the most viewed/most discussed/top
12:08:40	5	favorites/top rated to try and figure out what
12:08:43	6	percentage is or has copyrighted material."
12:08:50	7	Do you see that?
12:08:51	8	A I do.
12:08:52	9	Q It then reads "it was over 70%."
12:09:00	10	Do you see that?
12:09:01	11	A I do.
12:09:01	12	Q Does and you then say first of all,
12:09:10	13	when we're talking about when you are talking about
12:09:12	14	"most viewed/most discussed/top favorite/top rated,"
12:09:15	15	you're talking about videos on YouTube; correct?
12:09:19	16	A I believe what I'm talking about here, there
12:09:23	17	is a "Videos" tab on YouTube, and on that we show or
12:09:29	18	have shown the most viewed, most discussed, how many
12:09:34	19	number of comments, top favorite, and top rated videos
12:09:38	20	for that day.
12:09:41	21	Q And that's what you went through, the most
12:09:44	22	viewed, most discussed, top favorites, top rated
12:09:52	23	videos, and you found that over 70 percent were or
12:09:54	24	contained copyrighted material; correct?
12:09:59	25	A So I don't remember this chat specifically,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 79
	1	DUNTON
12:10:02	2	but I do remember conversations at the time about
12:10:08	3	premium content on YouTube that is professionally
12:10:12	4	produced content.
12:10:13	5	So I do have a recollection following some
12:10:19	6	discussions at looking at the most viewed, most
12:10:22	7	discussed, top rated, top favorites, we call those the
12:10:27	8	browse pages, for that day and tried to determine,
12:10:30	9	based on the video still, if it was premium content or
12:10:33	10	not.
12:10:33	11	Q And premium content is copyrighted content;
12:10:36	12	correct?
12:10:37	13	MR. KRAMER: Objection; the question is
12:10:38	14	vague.
12:10:38	15	THE WITNESS: I have no idea if premium
12:10:41	16	content is copyrighted content.
12:10:43	17	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay.
12:10:45	18	THE WITNESS: But we certainly used that term
12:10:48	19	interchangeably for a long, long time, "copyrighted,"
12:10:53	20	"premium."
12:10:53	21	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay.
12:10:59	22	Q Why were you doing this exercise?
12:11:03	23	A So I took a look at the most viewed, top
12:11:06	24	rated, et cetera, videos for that day, because there
12:11:09	25	was some discussion about premium content on YouTube,

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 80
	1	DUNTON
12:11:13	2	and I wanted to see, I wanted to look for that day and
12:11:18	3	see how much what what content was being viewed
12:11:22	4	that day.
12:11:23	5	Q Did you have premium content in February
12:11:32	6	2006?
12:11:35	7	A There was certainly content I would define as
12:11:38	8	"premium" on the website in February 2006, yes.
12:11:41	9	Q In the sense that it was copyrighted,
12:11:43	10	correct, but you didn't have a separate an
12:11:47	11	established content category in February 2006; did
12:11:50	12	you?
12:11:50	13	MR. KRAMER: Objection to the extent that
12:11:51	14	that question includes a legal conclusion. The term
12:11:57	15	"copyrighted" is vague.
12:11:59	16	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Ms. Dunton, is it vague
12:12:01	17	to you when I use the term "copyright"?
12:12:08	18	A Yes.
12:12:08	19	Q Why?
12:12:16	20	A I don't know that I have now or have ever had
12:12:20	21	a very good understanding of copyrights.
12:12:23	22	Q Okay. But in the instant message we've just
12:12:36	23	read, you concluded that over 70 percent of the most
12:12:44	24	viewed, most discussed, top favorite, and top rated
12:12:48	25	videos were copyrighted material; correct?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 81
	1	DUNTON
12:12:51	2	A No.
12:12:52	3	Q Is that not what the document says,
12:12:54	4	Ms. Dunton?
12:12:56	5	A I can tell you at one time I looked at the
12:13:00	6	most viewed, top rated content for that day and
12:13:06	7	determined that it was premium content. I I have
12:13:09	8	to add, whatever is on the most viewed varies wildly,
12:13:15	9	wildly depending on whatever is going on, the popular
12:13:18	10	culture in the news at the time.
12:13:20	11	So to look at that at any point in time and
12:13:23	12	try to make a determination on what is generally being
12:13:26	13	viewed on YouTube would be incorrect.
12:13:29	14	I'm sure if you looked at it yesterday, it
12:13:32	15	would be all Barrack Obama, and I can look at it
12:13:35	16	yesterday and say "Everything on YouTube is
12:13:37	17	Barrack Obama." So when I did this that day, I looked
12:13:41	18	at the most viewed, most discussed, top rated for that
12:13:44	19	day, and I believe I came, by looking at the stills,
12:13:47	20	the determination that around 70 percent of it was
12:13:50	21	premium content.
12:13:51	22	Q But you didn't say premium content in this
12:13:53	23	IM. You said "copyrighted material"; correct?
12:13:56	24	MR. KRAMER: The document speaks for itself.
12:13:58	25	You can answer.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 82
	1	DUNTON
12:13:58	2	THE WITNESS: We used the term, correct or
12:14:01	3	not, interchangeably. "Copyright" and "premium."
12:14:05	4	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Even in 2006
12:14:07	5	A Even
12:14:07	6	Q that's your testimony?
12:14:08	7	A even in 2006, yes.
12:14:09	8	Q And in 2006, there was no established
12:14:12	9	category of content on YouTube called "premium
12:14:14	10	content"; was there?
12:14:15	11	MR. KRAMER: Objection; the question is
12:14:16	12	vague.
12:14:16	13	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
12:14:17	14	"established category of content"?
12:14:19	15	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Was there content on the
12:14:21	16	website that was treated differently because it was
12:14:27	17	premium?
12:14:29	18	A We didn't treat any content differently in
12:14:31	19	2006.
12:14:32	20	Q Okay. Let's move on in the exchange.
12:14:42	21	After you said it was over 70 percent
12:14:44	22	copyrighted material, you say "hah shit never mind."
12:14:52	23	Were you hoping that you were hoping that
12:14:56	24	the number that you found would be lower than
12:14:58	25	70 percent; weren't you?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 83
	1	DUNTON
12:15:00	2	A I have no idea what I was hoping at the time
12:15:03	3	that I wrote this.
12:15:04	4	Q Okay. And Steve Chen responds, "hahaha."
12:15:14	5	That's the convention in instant message for laughing;
12:15:19	6	right?
12:15:20	7	A Yes.
12:15:20	8	Q So he thinks it's funny that over 70 percent
12:15:24	9	of the most viewed, most discussed, top favorite, top
12:15:28	10	rated videos on YouTube that day were copyrighted?
12:15:33	11	MR. KRAMER: Calls for speculation.
12:15:34	12	THE WITNESS: So a couple of things. I said,
12:15:40	13	from looking at the video stills, I thought over
12:15:43	14	70 percent or 70 percent or whatever it is were
12:15:45	15	premium. I have no idea what Steve Chen thought at
12:15:48	16	the time.
12:15:48	17	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay.
12:15:49	18	Q But all he responded first of all, you
12:15:52	19	didn't say premium. You said "copyrighted"; correct?
12:15:56	20	MR. KRAMER: Document speaks for itself.
12:15:58	21	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay.
12:15:59	22	Q And Steve responded "hahaha"; correct?
12:16:07	23	A Steve says, "hahaha" in this chat; that is
12:16:12	24	correct.
12:16:12	25	Q Okay. When you keep saying "premium

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 84
	1	DUNTON
12:16:14	2	content," what do you mean?
12:16:15	3	A I mean content that looks to be
12:16:18	4	professionally produced. It's glossy. It's not what
12:16:22	5	we would define at the time as user-generated content.
12:16:26	6	Q Okay. Steve then says "maryrose, you're
12:16:35	7	fired." But that was in gest; correct?
12:16:46	8	A I imagine it I imagine it was in gest,
12:16:48	9	correct.
12:16:49	10	Q He didn't fire you; did he?
12:16:50	11	A Steve Chen did not fire me.
12:16:52	12	Q Okay. Then you respond "oh, what I meant to
12:16:55	13	say after I found that 70%, I went and flagged it all
12:16:58	14	for review."
12:16:59	15	What does it mean to flag it for review?
12:17:03	16	A At the time, it meant it goes into a queue
12:17:07	17	that somebody at YouTube reviews.
12:17:13	18	Q Reviews for what purpose?
12:17:15	19	A They can review it for many different
12:17:17	20	purposes. Things are flagged because users find them
12:17:21	21	inappropriate. Anything that users feel break our
12:17:24	22	Terms of Use.
12:17:25	23	Q Okay. But you did not flag it all for
12:17:31	24	review; did you?
12:17:33	25	A I don't know. I don't believe I flagged the

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 85
	1	DUNTON
12:17:39	2	videos I saw on the most viewed for review.
12:17:43	3	Q Okay. This is a joke; right? You're being
12:17:50	4	sarcastic
12:17:51	5	A Which part?
12:17:53	6	Q in the IM?
12:17:54	7	A Which part?
12:17:55	8	Q That you went and flagged it all for review?
12:17:58	9	A I'm probably being sarcastic, yes.
12:18:00	10	Q And Steve writes back "Oh! Of course."
12:18:05	11	He's being sarcastic back; correct?
12:18:10	12	MR. KRAMER: Calls for speculation.
12:18:12	13	THE WITNESS: I don't know if Steve is being
12:18:14	14	sarcastic or not.
12:18:15	15	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Do you think he actually
12:18:17	16	thought that you flagged all 70 percent for review?
12:18:24	17	A I don't know what he thought.
12:18:25	18	Q Okay. Was there a flag for copyright
12:18:38	19	infringement in 2006, in February of 2006?
12:18:42	20	A On the YouTube.com website?
12:18:45	21	Q On the YouTube yes.
12:18:48	22	A On the user facing
12:18:52	23	Q As an admin tool.
12:19:00	24	A I don't recall.
12:19:01	25	Q Okay. Was it the practice of employees at

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 94
	1	DUNTON
	2	AFTERNOON SESSION
	3	
13:15:52	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:15 p.m.
13:15:56	5	We are back on the record.
13:15:57	6	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Ms. Dunton, you mentioned
13:16:08	7	something earlier today called featured videos. Can
13:16:13	8	you please explain what featured videos are?
13:16:17	9	A Featured videos are videos on the YouTube.com
13:16:22	10	Homepage that are selected from our from our base
13:16:34	11	of videos.
13:16:35	12	Q Selected for what purpose?
13:16:39	13	A To highlight, to show entertaining,
13:16:47	14	relevance, content for our community.
13:16:51	15	Q Okay. When did the featured videos feature
13:16:54	16	first appear on the site?
13:16:57	17	A I don't know when it first appeared. It
13:16:59	18	it was already there when I started at YouTube.
13:17:01	19	Q Okay. Were you involved at any time in
13:17:10	20	deciding which particular videos would be used as
13:17:13	21	featured videos?
13:17:15	22	A Yes.
13:17:15	23	Q How? What can you sorry. Let me
13:17:21	24	withdraw that.
13:17:21	25	Can you describe your involvement with that?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 95
	1	DUNTON
13:17:26	2	A For some period of time in YouTube's history,
13:17:30	3	I would I would find videos to feature on the
13:17:36	4	YouTube.com Homepage.
13:17:37	5	Q And you actually spent a lot of time doing
13:17:40	6	that; didn't you?
13:17:41	7	A What do you mean by "a lot"?
13:17:44	8	Q Were you up up late a lot of nights
13:17:49	9	looking for featured videos?
13:17:51	10	A I was I was up late almost every night in
13:17:54	11	YouTube's history working in some way, shape, or form,
13:17:57	12	so
13:17:58	13	Q Let's I need this one; okay.
13:18:03	14	MS. MAGUIRE: Yeah.
13:18:11	15	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay.
13:18:30	16	(Document marked Dunton Exhibit 6
13:18:30	17	for identification.)
13:18:30	18	MR. DESANCTIS: Q. Let me show you what's
13:18:31	19	been marked as Exhibit 6. Hand that out.
13:18:47	20	This is an e-mail exchange between you and
13:18:49	21	someone named Jamie Byrne. It's dated September 7th,
13:18:55	22	2006, Bates No. GOO001-510555.
13:19:03	23	Can you please review this document,
13:19:05	24	Ms. Dunton?
13:19:06	25	A Yes.

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 96
	1	DUNTON
13:19:25	2	Q I just wanted to direct your attention
13:19:28	3	A I'm sorry. I just want to finish reading it
13:19:30	4	real quick.
13:19:31	5	Q Okay.
13:19:44	6	A Okay.
13:19:46	7	Q I just wanted to direct your attention to
13:19:49	8	your e-mail to Jamie Byrne that starts in the middle
13:19:55	9	of the page, and it begins "Hi, I'm up at 3AM doing my
13:19:59	10	normal hunting for featured videos."
13:20:01	11	So was it a normal activity for you to hunt
13:20:06	12	for featured videos, as you say, late into the night?
13:20:09	13	MR. KRAMER: Objection; vague as to time.
13:20:13	14	THE WITNESS: I I would try and feature a
13:20:16	15	new video, maybe a couple of new videos, every day.
13:20:20	16	MR. DESANCTIS: Okay. Okay.
13:20:25	17	Q Now, how would it be determined how would
13:20:28	18	you determine which videos would appear on the site as
13:20:31	19	featured videos?
13:20:35	20	A I would kind of take note of what was popular
13:20:40	21	and, you know, what's going on in pop culture, the
13:20:44	22	news. I would often do searches. That sounds funny,
13:20:47	23	but I'd often do searches on the website for sort
13:20:52	24	of for adjectives. I'd look for things like
13:20:55	25	"awesome," "funny" or "silly," and look for videos

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.

		Page 97
	1	DUNTON
13:20:59	2	that were, I thought, were going to be entertaining,
13:21:01	3	and sort of highlighted. You know, the best of
13:21:04	4	YouTube, and I thought the community would like, that
13:21:06	5	they would enjoy watching.
13:21:09	6	Q And when you were looking through videos,
13:21:25	7	were you looking through the entirety of the videos on
13:21:29	8	the YouTube site, or were you would you only search
13:21:32	9	perhaps what was posted that day?
13:21:37	10	A Oh, my gosh. I couldn't I I couldn't
13:21:40	11	tell you. I would I would do searches, yes.
13:21:42	12	Q Okay.
13:21:43	13	A In a search box. Just like a regular user, I
13:21:46	14	would type in "awesome," "funny" and just look at
13:21:48	15	whatever the results were.
13:21:49	16	Q And when you found one you wanted to use as a
13:21:51	17	featured video, what would you what would you do in
13:21:56	18	order to make it actually appear as a featured video
13:21:59	19	on the site?
13:22:00	20	A We had a tool. Actually, I'll step back.
13:22:02	21	Initially, we didn't have a tool, and I would
13:22:05	22	send them to Steve, and Steve would have to hard code
13:22:07	23	them into the Homepage.
13:22:08	24	Q Is sorry.
13:22:11	25	Steve is Steve Chen?

DAVID FELDMAN WORLDWIDE, INC.