

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT**

THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER
LEAGUE LIMITED, *et al* v. YOUTUBE INC,
et al.

Case No.
10-3342

**PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE BRIEF AS *AMICUS CURIAE***

Plaintiffs-Appellants The Football Association Premier League Ltd. et al. submit this response to the motion of Public Knowledge for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae*.

On December 10, 2010, Audible Magic Corp. sought leave to file an *amicus curiae* brief in support of neither party for the purpose of explaining the functions and reliability of its filtering technology. This Court has not yet acted on Audible Magic's motion.¹

The proposed brief by Public Knowledge is largely devoted to responding to Audible Magic's proposed brief and to discussing Audible Magic's filtering technology. *See, e.g.*, Public Knowledge Br. at 3-5, 9, 19. For this reason, Plaintiffs-Appellants believe that Public Knowledge should only be permitted to

file its brief if Audible Magic is granted similar permission.

DATED: April 18, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s

John C. Browne
BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER &
GROSSMANN LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
(212) 554-1400
johnb@blbglaw.com

Charles S. Sims
William M. Hart
Noah Siskind Gitterman
Elizabeth A. Figueira
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Eleven Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 969-3000

¹ Defendants-Appellees neither consented to, nor objected to, the filing of Audible Magic's brief. *See* Appellees' Response to Motions for Leave to File Briefs as Amici Curiae, Nos. 10-3270 & 10-3342 (filed Dec. 16, 2010).